

PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION AND SECONDARY REPRESENTATION OF MODULES

MASOUMEH HASANZAD AND JAFAR A'ZAMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the notions of primary decomposition and secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring with identity. Also we review these concepts over injective and projective modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let R denote a commutative ring (with identity). A submodule N of an R -module M is said to be primary if $N \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$, $m \in M \setminus N$, and $rm \in N$, there exists a positive integer n such that $r^n M \subseteq N$. An R -module M is said to be secondary if $M \neq 0$ and, for each $a \in R$, the endomorphism $\varphi_a : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $\varphi_a(m) = am$ (for $m \in M$) is either surjective or nilpotent. If M is secondary, then $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Rad}(0 : M)$ is a prime ideal, and M is said to be \mathfrak{p} -secondary. Any non-zero quotient of a \mathfrak{p} -secondary module is \mathfrak{p} -secondary.

In this paper, we shall follow Macdonald's terminology concerning secondary representation. We refer the reader to [4, 1, 3] for more details about primary decomposition and secondary representation. For each R -module L , we denote by $m \text{ Ass}_R L$ the minimal elements of the set $\text{Ass}_R L = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid p = 0 :_R x, \text{ for some } 0 \neq x \in L\}$. For each R -module L , we denote by $\text{Att}_R L$ the set of all attached prime ideals of L over the ring R . Also, for any ideal \mathfrak{b} of R , the radical of \mathfrak{b} , denoted by $\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$, is defined to be the set $\{x \in R : x^n \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and set $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (0 :_M \mathfrak{b}^n)$, the set of elements of M which are annihilated by some power of \mathfrak{b} . Finally we denote $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}\}$ by $V(\mathfrak{b})$. For any unexplained notation and terminology we refer the reader to [4, 1].

2. THE RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be an R -module such that $m \text{ Ass}_R M = \text{Ass}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ and $I_j = \bigcap_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$. Then $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{I_j}(M) = 0$ is a minimal primary decomposition for the zero module.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 14B15, 13E05.

Key words and phrases. Associated prime; Cohen–Macaulay ring; Symbolic power.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\text{Ass} \frac{M}{\Gamma_{I_j}(M)} = \text{Ass} M \setminus V(I_j) = \{\mathfrak{p}_j\}.$$

Hence by [4, Theorem 6.6], $\Gamma_{I_j}(M)$ is a \mathfrak{p}_j -primary submodule of M . Now let $0 \neq x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{I_j}(M)$. Since $\text{Ann}_R(x) \subseteq Z_R(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$, it follows that there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\text{Ann}_R(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$. On the other hand, $xI_j^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $I_j^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$. Consequently $\bigcap_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = I_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ and this implies that

$\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{I_j}(M) = 0$. Now by [4, Theorem 6.8], this is a minimal primary decomposition and $\Gamma_{I_j}(M)$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, are uniquely determined. \square

Now we want to present a direct proof for the following corollary, using Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay ring and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t be an R -sequence such that $\sqrt{I} = I = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$ and $\text{Ass}_R \frac{R}{I} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k\}$. Then for all $n \geq 1$, $I^n = \mathfrak{p}_1^{(n)} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_k^{(n)}$ is a minimal primary decomposition for I^n .*

Proof. Since $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{j=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_j = I$, it follows that for all $s_i \in (\bigcap_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \mathfrak{p}_j) \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i$, $s_i \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_j = I$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = I R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ and consequently $\mathfrak{p}_i^n R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also R/I^n is Cohen–Macaulay by [4, Ex. 17.4], and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I^n} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.1)$$

which implies that $\text{Ass}_R \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} \subseteq \text{Ass} \frac{R}{I^n}$. On the other hand, $\frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)} R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}{I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^n R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}{I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} = \bar{0}$

and so $\mathfrak{p}_i \notin \text{Ass} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n}$. Hence

$$\text{Ass}_R \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} \subseteq \text{Ass}_R \frac{R}{I^n} \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_i\} = \{\mathfrak{p}_j\}_{j=1}^k \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Also $\mathfrak{p}_j \in \text{Supp} \frac{R}{I^n}$ and for all $i \neq j$, $\mathfrak{p}_j \notin \text{Supp}_R \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}$, hence $\mathfrak{p}_j \in \text{Supp} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n}$. This

implies that $\mathfrak{p}_j \in m \text{Ass} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n}$. Therefore

$$\{\mathfrak{p}_j\}_{j=1}^k \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_i\} \subseteq \text{Ass}_R \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n}. \quad (2.3)$$

By relations (2.2) and (2.3), we conclude that $\text{Ass} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} = \{\mathfrak{p}_j\}_{j=1}^k \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$. Now let

$J_i := \bigcap_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \mathfrak{p}_j$; then $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} \right)} = J_i$ and for a large $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $J_i^l \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} \right) = 0$,

which implies that $\frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} \subseteq \Gamma_{J_i} \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right)$. Since $\Gamma_{J_i} \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}} \right) = 0$, it follows from (2.1),

$\frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n} = \Gamma_{J_i} \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right)$, and so by Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\bar{0} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \Gamma_{J_i} \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_1^{(n)}}{I^n} \cap \dots \cap \frac{\mathfrak{p}_k^{(n)}}{I^n}$ which implies that $I^n = \bigcap_{j=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_j^{(n)}$.

Also $\text{Ass} \frac{R}{\frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}}{I^n}} = \text{Ass} \frac{R}{\Gamma_{J_i} \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right)} = \text{Ass} \frac{R}{I^n \setminus V(J_i)} = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$. This shows that $\text{Ass} \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}} = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ and so $\mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary. Consequently $I^n = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}$ is a minimal primary decomposition. \square

We shall prove the following well-known theorem as a dual of Lemma 2.1 with a new proof.

Theorem 2.3 (Dual of Lemma 2.1). *Let R be an Artinian ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module such that $\text{Att}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Then M has a minimal secondary representation as $M = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(M) + \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(M) + \dots + \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_n}(M)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ a \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $M = S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_n$ be a minimal secondary representation with S_i a \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary submodule of M , for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We shall establish the Theorem by showing (a) that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{0 :_R \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, (b) that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ is a secondary module, and (c) $M = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(M) + \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(M) + \dots + \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_n}(M)$.

(a) Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ is a finitely generated submodule of M , there exists $t \geq 1$ such that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M) = 0 :_M \mathfrak{p}_i^t$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \sqrt{0 :_R \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)}$. It is enough to prove that $\sqrt{0 :_R \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)} \neq R$. Suppose this is not true; then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M) = 0$. So $\text{Supp} \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_i^t} \right) \cap \text{Ass}_R(M) = \emptyset$ and hence $\mathfrak{p}_i \notin \text{Ass}_R(M)$. By [4, Exer. 6.8], $\text{Ass}_R(S_i) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ and by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow S_i \oplus S_{i+1} \rightarrow S_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\neq \text{Ass}_R(S_i) \\ &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(S_i \oplus S_{i+1}) \\ &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(S_{i+1}) \cup \text{Ass}_R(S_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ass}_R(S_i \oplus S_{i+1}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M)$, we have $\text{Ass}_R(S_i \oplus S_{i+1}) = \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$, which shows that $\mathfrak{p}_{i+1} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ and this is contradiction.

(b) Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ is Noetherian and $\mathfrak{p}_i^t \cdot \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M) = 0$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ is Artinian. Therefore, this module has finite length. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\neq \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M) \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p}_i\} \cap \text{Ass}_R(M) \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ is coprimary, and so $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ is a secondary module by [4, Exer. 6.9].

(c) Let $m \in M$, so $m = s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n$ for some $s_i \in S_i$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathfrak{p}_i^{t_i} \cdot S_i = 0$ for some $t_i \geq 1$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_i^{t_i} \cdot s_i = 0$ and so $s_i \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. \square

3. INJECTIVE AND PROJECTIVE MODULES

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be an injective R -module and let N be a \mathfrak{p} -primary submodule of E . Then $(0 :_E (N :_R E))$, if non-zero, is \mathfrak{p} -secondary.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$. If $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $a^n \in (N :_R E)$ for some positive integer n , so that a^n annihilates $(0 :_E (N :_R E))$. On the other hand, if $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then we can show that $a(0 :_E (N :_R E)) = (0 :_E (N :_R E))$.

Let $x \in (0 :_E (N :_R E))$. There is a well-known homomorphism $\varphi : \frac{R}{(N :_R E)} \longrightarrow E$ for which $\varphi(b + (N :_R E)) = bx$ for all $b \in R$. Multiplication by a on $\frac{R}{(N :_R E)}$ is a monomorphism. So the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{(N :_R E)} & \xrightarrow{a} & \frac{R}{(N :_R E)} \\ & & \varphi \downarrow & & \\ & & E & & \end{array}$$

can be completed with a homomorphism $\psi : \frac{R}{(N :_R E)} \longrightarrow E$ which makes the extended diagram commute. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \varphi(1 + (N :_R E)) \\ &= \psi(a + (N :_R E)) \\ &= \psi(a(1 + (N :_R E))) \\ &= a\psi(1 + (N :_R E)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in a(0 :_E (N :_R E))$, because

$$(N :_R E)\psi(1 + (N :_R E)) = \psi(N :_R E) = 0_E;$$

this implies that $\psi(1 + (N :_R E)) \in (0 :_E (N :_R E))$ and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be ideals of R and E an injective R -module. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (0 :_E I_i) = (0 :_E \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i).$$

Proof. See [5, Lemma 2.2]. □

We recall that an injective R -module E is said to be an injective cogenerator of R if, for every R -module M and every non-zero $m \in M$, there is a homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow E$ such that $\varphi(m) \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let E be an injective Noetherian R -module. Then E has a secondary representation, and $\text{Att}(E) \subseteq \text{Ass}(E)$. More precisely, let $0 = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \dots \cap N_n$ be a minimal primary decomposition for the zero submodule of E , with N_i a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodule of E , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

$$E = (0 :_E (N_1 :_R E)) + (0 :_E (N_2 :_R E)) + \dots + (0 :_E (N_n :_R E)) \quad (*)$$

and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $(0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ is either zero or \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary.

Moreover, if j is an integer such that $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $J = \{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n\}$, then $E = \sum_{i \in J} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ if and only if $\bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)$ annihilates E . Consequently, if E is an injective cogenerator of R , then $(*)$ is a minimal secondary representation for E , and $\text{Att}(E) = \text{Ass}(E)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $(0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ is either zero or \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary. Generally, $(0 :_R E) = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i :_R E) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (N_i :_R E)$. Since $(0 :_E \bigcap_{i=1}^n (N_i :_R E)) = (0 :_E (0 :_R E))$, we have $e(0 :_R E) = 0$ for each $e \in E$. Thus $E \subseteq (0 :_E (0 :_R E))$, and it follows that $E = (0 :_E \bigcap_{i=1}^n (N_i :_R E))$. Now, we have $E = \sum_{i=1}^n (0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ by Lemma 3.2. Hence $\text{Att}(E) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$, which shows that $\text{Att}(E) \subseteq \text{Ass}(E)$.

For each set such as J , we can write:

$$\sum_{i \in J} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E)) = (0 :_E \bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)).$$

Now, if $\bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)$ annihilates E , then $E \subseteq \sum_{i \in J} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ and so clearly $E = \sum_{i \in J} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ if and only if $\bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)$ annihilates E .

Now, let E be an injective cogenerator of R . It is enough to show that, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, the ideal $\bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)$ does not annihilate E . To prove this, let I be a non-zero arbitrary ideal of R , and $y \in I$. Since E is an injective cogenerator of R , there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow E$ such that $\varphi(y) \neq 0$. Hence $0 \neq \varphi(y) = y\varphi(1)$. Then $\varphi(1)$ is an element of E which is not annihilated by y , and so not annihilated by I . Thus E is not annihilated by $\bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)$ and so $E = \sum_{i=1}^n (0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$, which shows that $\text{Att}(E) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} = \text{Ass}(E)$. □

Remark 3.4. If E is an injective module over the Noetherian ring R , then there is a family $\{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of prime ideals of R for which $E \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} E \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha} \right)$, and if $\{\mathfrak{q}_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Phi}$ is a second family of prime ideals of R for which $E \cong \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} E \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}_\beta} \right)$, then there is a bijection $\gamma : \Lambda \rightarrow \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha = \mathfrak{q}_{\gamma(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. The set $\{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is

thus uniquely determined by E ; we shall denote this set by $\text{Occ}(E)$, and refer to its members as the *prime ideals which occur in the direct decomposition of E* .

Theorem 3.5. *Let E be an injective Noetherian R -module. Then*

$$\text{Att}(E) = \{\mathfrak{p}' \in \text{Ass}(E) \mid \mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Occ}(E)\}.$$

Proof. Let $0 = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_n$ be a minimal primary decomposition for the zero submodule of E , with N_i a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodule of E (for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Then

$$E = (0 :_E (N_1 :_R E)) + (0 :_E (N_2 :_R E)) + \cdots + (0 :_E (N_n :_R E)), \quad (**)$$

and (for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) $(0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ is either zero or \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary. Also, a minimal secondary representation for E can be written from (**). For proving the Theorem, we shall show: (a) that $(0 :_E (N_i :_R E)) = 0$ for each i for which \mathfrak{p}_i is not contained in any \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Occ}(E)$, and (b) that if j is an integer (with $1 \leq j \leq n$) for which \mathfrak{p}_j is contained in some \mathfrak{p} belonging to $\text{Occ}(E)$, then $\sum_{i \in J} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E)) \neq E$, where $J = \{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n\}$ so that $(0 :_E (N_j :_R E))$ cannot be omitted from (**).

(a) Let i be an integer (with $1 \leq i \leq n$) such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Occ}(E)$. If $\{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a family of prime ideals of R for which $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E)) &\cong (0 :_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha})} (N_i :_R E)) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (0 :_{E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha})} (N_i :_R E)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to show that $(0 :_E (N_i :_R E)) = 0$, it is enough to show that $(0 :_{E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})} (N_i :_R E)) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Occ}(E)$. For such a \mathfrak{p} , we have $(N_i :_R E) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, since $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, there is $r \in (N_i :_R E) \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that multiplication by r on $E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$ provides an automorphism of $E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$, and consequently $(0 :_{E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})} (N_i :_R E)) = 0$.

(b) Assume that j is an integer (with $1 \leq j \leq n$) for which $\mathfrak{p}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Occ}(E)$. Suppose that $E = \sum_{i \in J} (0 :_E (N_i :_R E))$ and J defined as before. Then, by Theorem 3.3, E is annihilated by $a_j = \bigcap_{i \in J} (N_i :_R E)$ and so $E(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$ is annihilated by a_j . Hence $a_j \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(k)}$. Now $a_j \not\subseteq (N_j :_R E)$; let $r \in a_j \setminus (N_j :_R E)$. Then $r \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(k)}$. We know that if $f : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a natural ring homomorphism, then $f \otimes id_E : E \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $\ker f \otimes E \subseteq \ker(f \otimes id_E)$, for each $e \in E$ we have $r \otimes e = re \in \ker(f \otimes id_E)$. Thus, there exists $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $s(re) = 0 \in N_j$. But $s \notin \mathfrak{p}_j$ and $re \notin N_j$, this is in contradiction with the fact that N_j is \mathfrak{p}_j -primary. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be an R -module. Then $\mathfrak{q}M$ is a \mathfrak{p} -secondary submodule of M for every \mathfrak{p} -secondary ideal \mathfrak{q} of R .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{q} be a \mathfrak{p} -secondary ideal of R and $r \in R$. We consider two cases and prove the lemma. Case 1: $r \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $r \in \sqrt{0 :_R \mathfrak{q}}$ and so $r^n \mathfrak{q} = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $r^n \mathfrak{q}M = 0$ and the result follows. Case 2: $r \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then $r^n \mathfrak{q} \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $r\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}$. Hence $r\mathfrak{q}M = \mathfrak{q}M$. It is clear that $\sqrt{0 :_R \mathfrak{q}M} = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a representable projective R -module and let $M = S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_n$, with S_i a \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary submodule (for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), be a minimal secondary representation of M . Then*

$$0_R = (0 :_R S_1) \cap (0 :_R S_2) \cap \cdots \cap (0 :_R S_n)$$

is a primary decomposition for the zero ideal of R .

Proof. We can assume that R is local. Hence M is a free module and so $\text{Ann}_R M = 0$. Therefore the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let M be a projective R -module. Then either $\mathfrak{q}M = M$ or $\mathfrak{q}M$ is a \mathfrak{p} -primary submodule of M for every \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal \mathfrak{q} of R .*

Proof. See [2, Theorem 2.2]. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let M be a representable projective module over an integral domain R and let $M = S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_n$, with S_i a \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary submodule (for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), be a minimal secondary representation of M . Also, let $(0 :_R S_i)M \neq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

$$0_M = (0 :_R S_1)M \cap (0 :_R S_2)M \cap \cdots \cap (0 :_R S_n)M$$

is a primary decomposition for the zero submodule of M .

Proof. Clearly, $(0 :_R S_i)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary ideal of R . Since M is projective, $(0 :_R S_i)M$ will be a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodule of M , by Lemma 3.8. Let $x \in (0 :_R S_1)M \cap (0 :_R S_2)M \cap \cdots \cap (0 :_R S_n)M$. So x will be expressed as a finite sum $x = \sum_{t=1}^k r_t m_t$ for $r_t \in (0 :_R S_1)$ and $m_t \in M$. In order to show that $x = 0$, it is enough to consider $x = r_1 m_1$ for $r_1 \in (0 :_R S_1)$ and $m_1 \in M$.

If $r_1 \in (0 :_R S_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, then by Theorem 3.7, $r_1 = 0$ and so $x = 0$. Now, let $r_1 \notin (0 :_R S_j)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. So, there are two cases, $r_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_j$ or $r_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_j$. If $r_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_j$, then $m_1 \in (0 :_R S_j)M$, since $r_1 m_1 \in (0 :_R S_j)M$. Therefore $m_1 = tm$ for some $t \in (0 :_R S_j)$ and $m \in M$. Then $x = r_1 m_1 = r_1 tm = 0$. But, if $r_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_j$, we can assume that $r_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Hence there is $k \geq 1$ such that $r_1^k \in (0 :_R S_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So $r_1^k = 0$ by Theorem 3.7 and consequently $r_1 = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley, 1969. MR 0242802.
- [2] M. Alkan and Y. Tiraş, *Projective modules and prime submodules*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **56** (2006), no. 2, 601–611. MR 2291760.
- [3] I. G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*. In: Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XI (Convegno di Algebra Commutativa, INDAM, Rome, 1971), pp. 23–43. Academic Press, London, 1973. MR 0342506.
- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986. MR 0879273.
- [5] R. Y. Sharp, *Secondary representations for injective modules over commutative Noetherian rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **20** (1976), no. 2, 143–151. MR 0414538.

M. Hasan zad

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh
Ardabili, 56199-11367, Ardabil, Iran

`hasanzad.masoumeh@gmail.com`

J. A'zami ✉

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh
Ardabili, 56199-11367, Ardabil, Iran

`jafar.azami@gmail.com`, `azami@uma.ac.ir`

Received: March 26, 2018

Accepted: July 3, 2018