

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

ON HYPONORMALITY AND A COMMUTING PROPERTY OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

HOUCINE SADRAOUI AND BORHEN HALOUANI

ABSTRACT. In this work we give sufficient conditions for hyponormality of Toeplitz operators on a weighted Bergman space when the analytic part of the symbol is a monomial and the conjugate part is a polynomial. We also extend a known commuting property of Toeplitz operators with a harmonic symbol on the Bergman space to weighted Bergman spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D denote the unit disk of radius in the complex plane, $d\nu_\alpha(z) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\pi}(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$ where $dA(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on D and $\alpha > -1$. $L^2(D, d\nu_\alpha)$ denotes the Hilbert space of complex valued functions on D that are square integrable with respect to ν_α . We write $\|f\|^2 = \int_D |f(z)|^2 d\nu_\alpha(z)$. When f is analytic on D , we have

$$f(u) = \sum_0^\infty c_m u^m, \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_0^\infty \frac{m! \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)} |c_m|^2.$$

Denote by $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ the space of analytic functions on D such that $\|f\|^2 < \infty$. It is known that $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ is a Hilbert space [7,13] and an orthonormal basis is given by $e_m(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)}}{\sqrt{m! \Gamma(\alpha+1)}} z^m$. The Toeplitz operator with symbol f on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ is defined by $T_f(k) = P(fk)$ where f is bounded and measurable on D , k is in $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ and P is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, d\nu_\alpha(z))$ onto $B_{a,\alpha}^2$. Hankel operators are defined by $H_f(k) = (I - P)(fk)$, f and k as before. Recall that a bounded operator A on a Hilbert space is hyponormal if $A^*A - AA^*$ is a positive operator. Hyponormality on the Hardy space was studied by C. Cowen in [3, 4]. Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space of the unit disk ($\alpha = 0$) was first considered in [9]. An improvement of the necessary condition therein is due to P. Ahern and Z. Cuckovic [1]. A new necessary condition, due to Z. Cuckovic and R. Curto in a special case is found in [5]. Sufficient conditions, when the analytic part is a monomial are given in [11]. Most results on hyponormality on weighted Bergman spaces treat very special cases of the symbol. We cite for example [8] and [12]. Recent results on hyponormality on weighted Bergman spaces with a general harmonic symbol

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B35; Secondary 15B48, 31A10.

Key words and phrases. hyponormal, weighted Bergman space, polynomial symbol, commuting property, harmonic symbols.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

can be found in [10]. Some results on hyponormality of Toeplitz operators with non harmonic symbols are due to M. Fleeman and C. Liaw [6]. In this work we first give sufficient conditions for the hyponormality of Toeplitz operators with a symbol of the form $f + \bar{g}$ where f is a monomial and g is a polynomial and $\alpha = p$. In the second part we give a generalization of a commuting property of Toeplitz operators with a harmonic symbol on the Bergman space, due to S. Axler and Z. Cuckovic [2], to weighted Bergman spaces.

2. SOME GENERAL RESULTS

We assume f, g are in $L^\infty(D)$. Then we have:

- (1) $T_{f+g} = T_f + T_g$;
- (2) $T_f^* = T_{\bar{f}}$;
- (3) $T_{\bar{f}}T_g = T_{\bar{f}g}$ if f or g analytic on D .

The use of these properties leads to describing hyponormality in more than one form. These are known properties on the unweighted Bergman space [8] and hold also for weighted Bergman spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *Let f, g be bounded and analytic on D . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $T_{f+\bar{g}}$ is hyponormal.
- (ii) $H_g^*H_{\bar{g}} \leq H_f^*H_{\bar{f}}$.
- (iii) $\|(I - P)(\bar{g}k)\| \leq \|(I - P)(\bar{f}k)\|$ for any k in $B_{a,\alpha}^2$.
- (iv) $\|\bar{g}k\|^2 - \|P(\bar{g}k)\|^2 \leq \|\bar{f}k\|^2 - \|P(\bar{f}k)\|^2$ for any k in $B_{a,\alpha}^2$.
- (v) $H_{\bar{g}} = KH_{\bar{f}}$ where K is of norm less than or equal to one.

We also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *For s and t integers, we have $P(\bar{z}^t z^s) = \frac{s!\Gamma(s-t+\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(s+\alpha+2)(s-t)!} z^{s-t}$ if $s \geq t$ and $P(\bar{z}^t z^s) = 0$ if $s < t$.*

Lemma 2.3. *If $\alpha = p$ is an integer then the matrix of $H_{\bar{z}^m}^*H_{z^m}$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{e_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is given by*

$$d_i = \frac{(m+i)!(i+p+1)!}{i!(m+i+p+1)!} \quad \text{if } i < m$$

and

$$d_i = \left(\frac{(m+i)!(i+p+1)!}{i!(m+i+p+1)!} - \frac{i!(i-m+p+1)!}{(i-m)!(i+p+1)!} \right) \quad \text{if } i \geq m.$$

For the sake of simplification set $Q_r = (r+1)(r+2)\dots(r+p+1) = \frac{\Gamma(r+p+2)}{\Gamma(r+1)}$ for any nonnegative integer r . We have $d_i = \frac{Q_i}{Q_{m+i}}$ if $i < m$ and $d_i = \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i Q_{m+i}}$ if $i \geq m$. We then have the following results.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

3. THE SUFFICIENT CONDITION

Proposition 3.1. *Let n and m be integers with $n > m \geq 1$. Then there exists N_m such that if $n \geq N_m$, then $T_{z^m + \lambda \bar{z}^n}$ is hyponormal on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ if and only if*

$$|\lambda| \leq \inf \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}}, i \geq n \right\}.$$

Proof. Hyponormality is equivalent to $|\lambda|^2 H_{z^n}^* H_{z^n} \leq H_{z^m}^* H_{z^m}$ which is equivalent to the three inequalities

$$|\lambda|^2 \frac{Q_i}{Q_{n+i}} \leq \frac{Q_i}{Q_{m+i}} \text{ if } i < m, \quad (3.1)$$

$$|\lambda|^2 \frac{Q_i}{Q_{n+i}} \leq \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_{i+m}Q_i} \text{ if } m \leq i < n, \quad (3.2)$$

$$|\lambda|^2 \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}{Q_i Q_{n+i}} \leq \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i Q_{m+i}} \text{ if } n \leq i. \quad (3.3)$$

Inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$|\lambda| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}}}, i < m \right\} = \Delta_{m,n}^1.$$

Inequality (3.2) is equivalent to

$$|\lambda| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{(Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m})}{Q_i^2}}, m \leq i < n \right\} = \Delta_{m,n}^2.$$

Inequality (3.3) is equivalent to

$$|\lambda| \leq \inf \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}}, i \geq n \right\} = \Delta_{m,n}^3.$$

For the first inequality (3.1) if we set

$$R(i) = \frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} = \frac{(n+i+1)(n+i+2)\dots(n+i+p+1)}{(m+i+1)(m+i+2)\dots(m+i+p+1)},$$

using logarithmic differentiation we can see that $R(i)$ decreases with i so that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\Delta_{m,n}^1 = \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+m-1}}{Q_{2m-1}}}.$$

For the second inequality (3.2), since $\frac{Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i^2}$ increases with i we get that

$\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{(Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m})}{Q_i^2}$ decreases with i and that

$$\Delta_{m,n}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{Q_{2n-1}}{Q_{m+n-1}} \frac{(Q_{n-1}^2 - Q_{m+n-1}Q_{n-1-m})}{Q_{n-1}^2}}.$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

It is clear that $\Delta_{m,n}^2 \leq \Delta_{m,n}^1$. We also have

$$\Delta_{m,n}^3 \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}} = \frac{m}{n}.$$

Set $R_1(i) = \frac{Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}$. Using logarithmic differentiation we verify that $R_1(i)$ decreases with i . Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}} = 1$, we get $Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m} \geq Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}$ and $\frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}} \leq 1$. Thus $\Delta_{m,n}^3 \leq \Delta_{m,n}^1$. Let us verify that $\Delta_{m,n}^3 \leq \Delta_{m,n}^2$ for large n . It is enough to verify that the following inequality holds for n large

$$\frac{m^2}{n^2} \leq \frac{Q_{2n-1}}{Q_{m+n-1}} \frac{(Q_{n-1}^2 - Q_{m+n-1}Q_{n-1-m})}{Q_{n-1}^2}.$$

Setting

$$S_{m,n} = \frac{n^2 Q_{2n-1} (Q_{n-1}^2 - Q_{m+n-1} Q_{n-1-m})}{m^2 Q_{m+n-1} Q_{n-1}^2},$$

a computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(Q_{n-1}^2 - Q_{m+n-1} Q_{n-1-m})}{Q_{n-1}^2} &= 1 - \frac{(n^2 - m^2) \dots ((n+p)^2 - m^2)}{n^2 \dots (n+p)^2} \\ &= m^2 A_n^1 - m^4 A_n^2 + \dots + (-1)^p m^{2(p+1)} A_n^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^1 &= \frac{1}{n^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{(n+p)^2}, \quad A_n^2 = \sum_{0 \leq s \neq t}^p \frac{1}{(n+s)^2(n+t)^2}, \dots, \\ A_n^{p+1} &= \frac{1}{n^2 \dots (n+p)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$m^2 A_n^1 - m^4 A_n^2 \geq \frac{m^2}{n^2} + \frac{pm^2}{(n+p)^2} - \frac{p(p+1)m^4}{2n^2(n+1)^2}.$$

Clearly there exists N_m^1 such that for $n \geq N_m^1$, $m^2 A_n^1 - m^4 A_n^2 \geq \frac{m^2}{n^2}$. A similar argument shows that for k odd there exists N_m^k such that for $n \geq N_m^k$, $m^{2k} A_n^k - m^{2(k+1)} A_n^{k+1} \geq 0$ for $3 \leq k \leq p$, ($3 \leq k \leq p-1$, if p is even). If we put $\max\{N_m^k, k \text{ odd}, 1 \leq k \leq p\} = N_m$, and noticing that $\frac{Q_{2n-1}}{Q_{m+n-1}} \geq 1$, we get for $n \geq N_m$,

$$\frac{n^2 Q_{2n-1} (Q_{n-1}^2 - Q_{m+n-1} Q_{n-1-m})}{m^2 Q_{m+n-1} Q_{n-1}^2} \geq 1.$$

For $n \geq N_m$ we get that $T_{u^m + \lambda \bar{u}^n}$ is hyponormal if and only if $|\lambda| \leq \Delta_{m,n}^3$. $\square$

Note that when $m = n$, hyponormality is equivalent to $|\lambda| \leq 1$. We now consider the case $m > n$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let n and m be integers with $m > n \geq 1$. Then $T_{z^m + \lambda \bar{z}^n}$ is hyponormal on $B_{a,2}^2$ if and only if $|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{\frac{Q_{2n-1}}{Q_{m+n-1}}}$.*

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

Proof. Hyponormality is equivalent to $|\lambda|^2 H_{z^n}^* H_{z^n} \leq H_{z^m}^* H_{z^m}$ which is equivalent to the three inequalities

$$|\lambda|^2 \frac{Q_i}{Q_{n+i}} \leq \frac{Q_i}{Q_{m+i}} \quad \text{if } i < n, \quad (3.4)$$

$$|\lambda|^2 \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}{Q_i Q_{n+i}} \leq \frac{Q_i}{Q_{m+i}} \quad \text{if } n \leq i \leq m-1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$|\lambda|^2 \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}{Q_i Q_{n+i}} \leq \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i Q_{m+i}} \quad \text{if } m \leq i. \quad (3.6)$$

Inequality (3.4) is equivalent to

$$|\lambda| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}}}, i < n \right\}.$$

The ratio $\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}}$ increases with i so the inequality (3.4) is equivalent to

$$|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{\frac{Q_{2n-1}}{Q_{m+n-1}}} = \Gamma_{m,n}^1.$$

Inequality (3.5) is equivalent to

$$|\lambda| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{Q_i^2}{(Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n})}}, n \leq i < m \right\}.$$

Again both $\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}}$ and $\frac{Q_{i+n}Q_{i-n}}{Q_i^2}$ increase with i , so we get that $\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{Q_i^2}{(Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n})}$ increases with i which leads to

$$|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{\frac{Q_{2n}}{Q_{m+n}} \frac{Q_n^2}{(Q_n^2 - (p+1)!Q_{2n})}} = \Gamma_{m,n}^2.$$

Since $\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}}$ increases with i and $\frac{Q_i^2}{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}} \geq 1$, we have $\Gamma_{m,n}^1 \leq \Gamma_{m,n}^2$. Inequality (3.6) is equivalent to

$$|\gamma| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}} \frac{Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}}, i \geq m \right\} = \Gamma_{m,n}^3.$$

Using logarithmic differentiation we can verify that $\frac{Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}}$ increases i .

Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m}}{Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}} = 1$, we deduce that $Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m} \leq Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}$ and $Q_i^2 - Q_{m+i}Q_{i-m} \geq Q_i^2 - Q_{n+i}Q_{i-n}$. From the fact that $\frac{Q_{n+i}}{Q_{m+i}}$ increases with i , it follows that $\Gamma_{m,n}^3 \geq \Gamma_{m,n}^1$. This proves the result. $\square$

Note that the result holds also when $m = n$.

Denote by U_1 the unit ball of $B_{a,\alpha}^{2,1}$ the orthogonal of $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ in $L^2(D, d\nu_\alpha(z))$.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

Definition 3.3. For $f \in B_{a,\alpha}^2$, define the set Ω_f by

$$\Omega_f = \left\{ g \in B_{a,\alpha}^2 : \sup_{l \in U_1} |\langle \bar{g}, \bar{k}l \rangle| \leq \sup_{l \in U_1} |\langle \bar{f}, \bar{k}l \rangle| \text{ for any } k \in H^\infty \right\}.$$

We see, from the density of H^∞ in $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ and proposition 3.2, that when g and f are in H^∞ , $g \in \Omega_f$ is equivalent to $T_{f+\bar{g}}$ is hyponormal. The following proposition lists some properties of Ω_f .

Proposition 3.4. For $f \in B_{a,\alpha}^2$, the following holds:

- (1) Ω_f is convex and balanced.
- (2) If $g \in \Omega_f$, then $g + \lambda$ is in Ω_f for any complex number λ .
- (3) $f \in \Omega_f$.
- (4) Ω_f is closed in the weak topology of $L^2(D, d\nu_\alpha(w))$.

The proof of these properties is similar to the case $\alpha = 0$ in [3] and is omitted. Using this proposition we get our first main result when $\alpha = p$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be complex numbers such that $\sum_{i \geq 1} |\gamma_i| \leq 1$, $m \geq 1$ an integer. Then $T_{z^m + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq m} \gamma_n \Gamma_{m,n}^1 \bar{z}^n + \sum_{N_m \leq n} \gamma_n \Delta_{m,n}^3 \bar{z}^n}$ is hyponormal.

4. THE COMMUTING PROPERTY

We continue to use the notations of the previous sections: D denotes the unit disk in the complex plane and $\alpha > -1$ a real number. $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ is the Hilbert space of analytic functions f on D such that $\|f\|^2 = \int_D |f(z)|^2 d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty$, where $d\nu_\alpha(z) = \frac{(\alpha+1)}{\pi} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$ and $dA(z) = r dr d\theta$ is the Lebesgue measure on D . For h bounded measurable on D , the Toeplitz operator T_h is defined on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ by $T_h(f) = P(hf)$ where P is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, d\nu_\alpha)$ on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$. When $\alpha = 0$, S. Axler and Z. Cuckovic showed the following theorem [2]

Theorem 4.1. Suppose g and h are bounded harmonic functions on D . Then $T_g T_h = T_h T_g$ if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) g and h are both analytic on D .
- (ii) $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ are both analytic on D .
- (iii) There exists constants a and b , not both zero, such that $ag + bh$ is constant on D .

In what follows we will show that the above result holds on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ for any $\alpha > -1$.

4.1. The second main result. We begin by recalling some definitions from [2].

Definition 4.2. A function $u \in C(D) \cap L^1(D, d\nu_\alpha)$ is said have the area version of the invariant mean value property if $\int_D u \circ \varphi d\nu_\alpha = u(\varphi(0))$ for any $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(D)$.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

Definition 4.3. If $u \in C(D)$, the radialization of u is given by $R(u)(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(we^{i\theta}) d\theta$.

We can state the result that is used in the generalization as follows

Lemma 4.4. Suppose $u \in C(D) \cap L^1(D, d\nu_\alpha)$. Then u is harmonic on D if and only if $\int_D u \circ \varphi d\nu_\alpha = u(\varphi(0))$ and $R(u \circ \varphi) \in C(\overline{D})$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(D)$.

Proof. If u is harmonic then $u \circ \varphi$ is also harmonic and it is easy to see that $\int_D u \circ \varphi d\nu_\alpha = u(\varphi(0))$. Since $R(u \circ \varphi)$ is constant by the mean value property, then $R(u \circ \varphi) \in C(\overline{D})$. Assume now that $\int_D u \circ \varphi d\nu_\alpha = u(\varphi(0))$ and $R(u \circ \varphi) \in C(\overline{D})$.

Let ψ be an automorphism of the disk. We have

$$\int_D R(u \circ \varphi)(\psi(w)) d\nu_\alpha(w) = \int_D \int_0^{2\pi} u(\varphi(\psi(w)e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} d\nu_\alpha(w).$$

Set as in [2] $\varphi(\psi(w)e^{i\theta}) = f_\theta(w)$. Then f_θ is an automorphism of the disk and we can easily verify [2] that $|(f_\theta^{-1})'(z)| \leq C$ for all $z \in D$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. If we write $f_\theta(z) = \zeta \frac{\lambda - z}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z}$ with $|\zeta| = 1$ and $|\lambda| < 1$, then we have $1 - |f_\theta^{-1}(z)|^2 = \frac{(1-|z|^2)(1-|\lambda|^2)}{|1-\bar{\gamma}z|^2}$ where $\gamma = e^{i\mu}\lambda$ for some real μ . Thus noting that $1 - |f_\theta^{-1}(z)|^2 \leq C_1(1 - |z|^2)$, and changing variables we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_D |u(\varphi(\psi(w)e^{i\theta}))| d\nu_\alpha(w) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{\alpha+1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_D |u(z)| |(f_\theta^{-1})'(z)|^2 (1 - |f_\theta^{-1}(z)|^2)^\alpha dA(z) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq C_2 \int_D |u(z)| d\nu_\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

So Fubini's theorem leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D \int_0^{2\pi} u(\varphi(\psi(w)e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} d\nu_\alpha(w) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_D u(\varphi(\psi(w)e^{i\theta})) d\nu_\alpha(w) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_D u \circ f_\theta(w) d\nu_\alpha(w) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} u(f_\theta(0)) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

ie

$$\int_D R(u \circ \varphi)(\psi(w)) d\mu_\alpha(w) = \int_0^{2\pi} u(\varphi(\psi(0)e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = R(u \circ \varphi)(\psi(0)).$$

Thus $R(u \circ \varphi)$ is continuous and has the area version of the invariant mean value theorem. By [2] it is harmonic on D . Since $R(u \circ \varphi)$ is radial we deduce that it is constant and equal to $R(u \circ \varphi)(0)$. So $\int_0^{2\pi} u \circ \varphi(re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = u \circ \varphi(0)$. This holds for

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

any φ automorphism of the unit disk. As in [2] we deduce that u is harmonic on D . $\square$

For φ an automorphism of the unit disk, define the operator on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ defined by $V_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi \cdot (\varphi')^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.

Lemma 4.5. *The operator V_φ is unitary.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha + 1) \int_D |f \circ \varphi(w)|^2 |\varphi'(w)|^2 |\varphi'(w)|^\alpha (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha \frac{dA(w)}{\pi} \\ &= (\alpha + 1) \int_D |f(z)|^2 |\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(z))|^\alpha (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2)^\alpha \frac{dA(z)}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2)^\alpha = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |(\varphi^{-1})'(z)|^\alpha$ and $(\varphi^{-1})'(z) = \frac{1}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(z))}$ the result follows. $\square$

Since $V_\varphi = T_{(\varphi')^{1+\alpha/2}} C_\varphi$ and $V_\varphi^* = V_\varphi^{-1}$, the adjoint is given by $V_\varphi^* f = (\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1})^{-1-\alpha/2} f \circ \varphi^{-1}$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and is therefore omitted.

Lemma 4.6. *For φ an automorphism of the unit disk and h bounded measurable on D , we have $V_\varphi T_h V_\varphi^* = T_{h \circ \varphi}$.*

We can now state the main result, which is a generalization of theorem 1 in [2]. The proof being similar is omitted.

Theorem 4.7. *Let g and h be bounded and harmonic on the unit disk D . Then $T_g T_h = T_h T_g$ on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) g and h are analytic on D .
- (ii) $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ are analytic on D .
- (iii) There exist constants a and b in $\mathbb{C}$, not both zero such that $ag + bh$ is constant on D .

As in [2] we obtain a characterization of normality of Toeplitz operators, with a harmonic symbol, on $B_{a,\alpha}^2$.

Corollary 4.8. *Let f be bounded harmonic on D . Then T_f is normal if and only if $f(D)$ lies on some line in $\mathbb{C}$.*

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of scientific research at King Saud University for its funding of this work through Research Group Project no RG-1439-68.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3555>.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ahern and Z. Cuckovic, *A mean value inequality with applications to Bergman space operators*. Pacific J. Math. **173** (1996), no. 2, 295–305.
- [2] S. Axler and Z. Cuckovic, *Commuting Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols*. Integral Equations and Operator theory, Vol **14** (1991), pp 1–12.
- [3] C. Cowen, *Hyponormal and subnormal Toeplitz operators*. in Surveys of Some Results in Operator Theory, Vol.1, Pitman Research Notes in Math, Vol. **171**, Longman, Harlow, 1988, pp 155–167.
- [4] C. Cowen, *Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **103** (1988), pp 809–812.
- [5] R. Curto and Z. Cuckovic, *A new necessary condition for the hyponormality of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*. Journal of Operator Theory, 2018.
- [6] M. Fleeman and C. Liaw, *Hyponormal Toeplitz operators with non-harmonic symbol acting on the Bergman space*. Operators and Matrices, 2019, **1**, pp 61–83.
- [7] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*. Springer, 2000.
- [8] A. Phukon, *Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators with polynomial symbols on weighted Bergman spaces*. Arab. J. Math. 2017, **6**, pp 87–94.
- [9] H. Sadraoui, *Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space and cohyponormality of composition operators on the Hardy space*. Thesis, Purdue University, 1992.
- [10] H. Sadraoui, *Hyponormality on general Bergman spaces*. Filomat, 2019, **33:17**, pp 5537–5741.
- [11] H. Sadraoui and M. Guediri, *Hyponormal Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*. Oper. Matrices **11** (2017), no. 3, 669–677, DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.7153/oam-11-44>.
- [12] I. Wang, J. Lee and Sw. Park, *Hyponormal Toeplitz operators with polynomial symbols on weighted Bergman spaces*. J Inequal Appl. 2014, **335**, pp 1–8.
- [13] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. Dekker, New York, 1990.

(Houcine Sadraoui) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 2455 RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA
Email address: sadrawi@ksu.edu.sa

(Borhen Halouani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 2455 RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA
Email address: halouani@ksu.edu.sa

Submitted: September 2, 2022

Accepted: December 27, 2022

Published (early view): August 22, 2024