

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

GROUND STATE SOLUTIONS FOR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS IN THE PRESENCE OF A MAGNETIC FIELD

ZHENYU GUO AND YAN DENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we are dedicated to studying the Schrödinger equations in the presence of a magnetic field. Based on variational methods, especially the Mountain Pass Theorem, we obtain ground state solutions for the system under certain assumptions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The linear Schrödinger equation is a basic tool of quantum mechanics, and it provides a description of the dynamics of a particle in a non-relativistic setting. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation arises in different physical theories, e.g., the description of Bose-Einstein condensates and nonlinear optics, see [7] and the references cited there. Both the linear and the nonlinear Schrödinger equations have been widely considered in the literature, see [1, 15, 13, 16, 14]. The authors in [8] considered the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + |u|^{2^*-2}v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = \mu |v|^{2^*-1} + |u|^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 4$, $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu \geq 0$. They obtained existence and nonexistence results, depending on the value of the parameters λ and μ .

In the nonlocal framework, only few and recent works deal with fractional magnetic Schrödinger equations like

$$(-\Delta)_A^s u + V(x)u = f(x, |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Up to normalization constants, $(-\Delta)_A^s$ can be defined on smooth complex valued functions $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ as

$$(-\Delta)_A^s u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\varepsilon^c(x)} \frac{e^{-i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(x) - u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $B_\varepsilon(x)$ denotes a ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with radius $\varepsilon > 0$ centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic field, Schrödinger equation, Ground states.

This paper is supported by NSFLN(2021-MS-275) and EFLN(LJKQZ2021093).

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

To the best of our knowledge, the earliest existence result for the nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equation is the paper by Esteban and Lions where the magnetic field is assumed to be constant. Their approach was generalized to the periodic magnetic fields by Arioli and Szulkin [3].

For instance, d'Avenia and Squassina in [9] studied the existence of ground state solutions to the above equation, when $\epsilon = 1$, V is constant and f is a subcritical or critical nonlinearity. Fiscella proved the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for a fractional magnetic problem with homogeneous boundary conditions in [10]. Then, in [6], Zhang obtained the existence of mountain pass solutions which tend to the trivial solution as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for a fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation involving critical frequency and critical growth.

Moreover, Vincenzo Ambrosio [2] studied the following fractional Schrödinger-Poisson equation with magnetic field

$$\epsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)_{A\backslash\epsilon}^s u + V(x)u + \epsilon^{-2t}(|x|^{2t-3} * |u|^2)u = f(|u|^2) + |u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, $s \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, $t \in (0, 1)$, $2_s^* = \frac{6}{3-2s}$ is the fractional critical exponent, $(-\Delta)_{A\backslash\epsilon}^s$ is the fractional magnetic Laplacian, $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous potential, $A : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth magnetic potential and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a subcritical nonlinearity. Under a local condition on the potential V , they studied the multiplicity and concentration of nontrivial solutions as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In particular, they related the number of nontrivial solutions with the topology of the set where the potential V attains its minimum.

On the other hand, for quite a long time, some interesting papers (see [4, 5, 11] and the references therein) dealt with Schrödinger equations like

$$(-i\nabla + A)^2 u = \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u}{|x|^s}, \quad u \in D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and related systems thereof, viz.

$$\begin{cases} (-i\nabla + A)^2 u = \mu_1 \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u}{|x|^s} + \frac{\alpha\gamma}{2^*(s)} \frac{|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^\beta}{|x|^s}, \\ (-i\nabla + B)^2 v = \mu_2 \frac{|v|^{2^*(s)-2}v}{|x|^s} + \frac{\beta\gamma}{2^*(s)} \frac{|u|^\alpha|v|^{\beta-2}v}{|x|^s}, \\ u \in D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v \in D_B^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $u, v : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $N \geq 3$, $A = (A_1, \dots, A_N)$, $B = (B_1, \dots, B_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ are magnetic vector potentials, $0 \leq s < 2$, $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \gamma > 0$, $\alpha, \beta > 1$ with $\alpha + \beta = 2^*(s) := \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2}$, and Ω is a smooth bounded domain containing the origin as an interior point. Under proper conditions, the existence of ground state solutions to the above equation and systems was established.

However, the conclusion about Schrödinger equations in the presence of a magnetic field needs further study. Thus, by the above works, we consider the problem

Submitted: December 27, 2022

Accepted: June 27, 2023

Published (early view): August 24, 2024

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

$$\begin{cases} (-i\nabla + A)^2 u = \lambda u + |u|^{2^*-2} v, & x \in \Omega, \\ (-i\nabla + A)^2 v = \mu |v|^{2^*-2} v + |u|^{2^*-2} u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with Lipschitz boundary, $N \geq 4$, $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent, $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$. $A = (A_1, \dots, A_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a vector (or magnetic) potential. Let $B := \text{curl } A$. For $N = 3$, this is the usual curl operator and for general N , $B = (B_{jk})$, $1 \leq j, k \leq N$, where

$$B_{jk} := \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j.$$

A can also be thought of 1-form:

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j dx^j,$$

then $B = dA$, i.e.,

$$B = \sum_{j < k} B_{jk} dx^j \wedge dx^k,$$

where B_{jk} are as above. Here B represents an external magnetic field whose source is A .

Below we specify our assumptions. Suppose $A \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, write $\nabla_A u = (\nabla + iA)u$, let

$$H_A^1(\Omega) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \nabla_A u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

and

$$D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla_A u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Both $H_A^1(\Omega)$ and $D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are Hilbert spaces with inner product

$$\text{Re} \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \overline{\nabla_A v} dx \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla_A u \cdot \overline{\nabla_A v} dx \right)$$

respectively, where the bar denotes the complex conjugation. The norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is denoted by

$$|u|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx.$$

The norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ is denoted by

$$|u|_{p,\Omega}^p = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx.$$

Define

$$S_A := \inf_{(u,v) \in D_A(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\|(u,v)\|_{D_A}^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu |v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}},$$

$$S_{A,\lambda} := \inf_{(u,v) \in H_A(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\|(u,v)\|_{H_A}^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} + \mu |v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}},$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

where $D_A := D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, endowed with norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_{D_A}^2 := |\nabla_A u|_2^2 + |\nabla_A v|_2^2$$

and $H_A := H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$, endowed with norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_{H_A}^2 := |\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda|u|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda|v|_{2,\Omega}^2.$$

We look for solutions to problem (1.1) as critical points of the C^1 -functional $J: H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, v) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2(2^*-1)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx \\ & - \frac{\mu}{2^*(2^*-1)} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx - \frac{1}{2^*-1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx. \end{aligned}$$

We are concerned with ground state solutions to (1.1), namely solutions $(u, v) \in H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$ together with both $u \not\equiv 0$ and $v \not\equiv 0$. It is standard that the ground states are the solutions to (1.1) that minimize J on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ (u, v) \in H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : F(u, v) = (0, 0) \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v) = & \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx, \right. \\ & \left. \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

The main results of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For $N \geq 4$, if one of the following conditions*

(a₁) $A \in L_{loc}^N(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $\text{curl } A \equiv 0$, or

(a₂) $A \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, A is continuous at $\bar{x}$, and $\sigma(-\Delta_A - \lambda) \subset (0, +\infty)$,

and $\mu \geq 0$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$ are satisfied, then problem (1.1) has a ground state solution.

Theorem 1.2. *If $N > 4$, $\mu \geq 0$ and (a₁) or (a₂) are satisfied, then for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$\left(m_0^{\frac{1}{2^-2}} u_{\epsilon}, m_0^{\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-2}} u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is a ground state solution of (2.12) and*

$$J_0 \left(m_0^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} u_{\epsilon}, m_0^{\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-2}} u_{\epsilon} \right) = M = M' = \frac{1}{N} \left(k_0^2 + \frac{l_0^2}{2^*-1} \right) S_A^{N/2},$$

where (k_0, l_0) is a solution to (3.2), the definition of u_{ϵ} and problem (2.12) are given in Section 3 and Section 2 respectively.

Theorem 1.3. *If $N = 4$, $\mu \geq 0$ and (a₁) or (a₂) are satisfied, then for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$\left(\sqrt{m_0} u_{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is a ground state solution of (2.12) and

$$J_0 \left(\sqrt{m_0} u_{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} u_{\epsilon} \right) = M = M' = \frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{k}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{l}^2 \right) S_A^2,$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

where $\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}$ is the unique solution to (3.7).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Define

$$S := \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|_2^2}{|u|_{2^*}^2},$$

where

$$D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Then S is attained by functions of the form

$$(U_\epsilon(x), V_\epsilon(x)) := \left(\epsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right), \epsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} V\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$(u_\epsilon(x), v_\epsilon(x)) := (\phi(x)U_\epsilon(x), \phi(x)V_\epsilon(x)),$$

where $\phi \in C_0^1(B_{2r})$ is a cut-off function satisfying $\phi \equiv 1$ in B_r . B_r is the ball centered at 0 with radius $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\phi U_\epsilon)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\phi V_\epsilon)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\Omega} U_\epsilon^{2^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} (1 - \phi^{2^*}) U_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} dx + O(\epsilon^N), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\Omega} V_\epsilon^{2^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} (1 - \phi^{2^*}) V_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*} dx + O(\epsilon^N), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*-1} v_\epsilon dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{2^*-1} V dx + O(\epsilon^N). \quad (2.6)$$

Define

$$S_0 := \inf_{(u,v) \in D(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\|(u,v)\|_D^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu |v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}},$$

where $D := D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, endowed with norm

$$\|(u,v)\|_D^2 := |\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla v|_2^2.$$

Then S_0 is attained by (U, V) , where U, V are positive and satisfy the decay conditions

$$U(x) + V(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{2-N}, \quad |\nabla U(x)| + |\nabla V(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{1-N}. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $A \in L_{loc}^N(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, then $S_A = S_0$.*

This equality is generally known, but for the readers' convenience, we will briefly outline the proof.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Proof. For any $(u, v) \in D_A \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, by the Sobolev and the diamagnetic inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &\geq \frac{|\nabla_A u|_2^2 + |\nabla_A v|_2^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu|v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1}v dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &\geq \frac{|\nabla|u||_2^2 + |\nabla|v||_2^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu|v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1}v dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \geq S_0, \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1)-(2.6), we deduce that $\{u_\epsilon\}$ is bounded in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus for any $\phi \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\epsilon \phi dx \right| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \rightarrow 0,$$

that is, $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, $u_\epsilon^2 \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^{\frac{2^*}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where the duality product is taken with respect to $L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^{\frac{2^*}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the same argument,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Au_\epsilon|^2 dx = \langle |A|^2, |u_\epsilon|^2 \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\delta > 0$. Choosing ϵ small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &\leq \frac{|\nabla_A u_\epsilon|_2^2 + |\nabla_A v_\epsilon|_2^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu|v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1}v dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &= \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|_2^2 + |\nabla v_\epsilon|_2^2 + |Au_\epsilon|_2^2 + |Av_\epsilon|_2^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu|v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1}v dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &\leq \frac{|\nabla U|_2^2 + |\nabla V|_2^2 + |Au_\epsilon|_2^2 + |Av_\epsilon|_2^2 + O(\epsilon^{N-2})}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{2^*} + \mu|V|^{2^*} + |U|^{2^*-1}V dx + O(\epsilon^N)\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} = S_0 + \delta, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $S_A \leq S_0$. Thus $S_A = S_0$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *The embedding $H_A^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is continuous for $1 \leq p \leq 2^*$ and it is compact for $1 \leq p < 2^*$. The embedding $D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $H_A^1(\Omega)$, then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by u_n . Thus $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H_A^1(\Omega)$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ and $|u_n - u|$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Hence, up to a subsequence, $|u_n - u| \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. on Ω .

By the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem, we see that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega), \text{ where } 1 \leq q < 2^*.$$

Since $H_A^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$, there exists a constant C such that $|u_n - u|_{2^*, \Omega}^2 \leq C$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\Omega_\epsilon := \Omega \cap B_\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\epsilon^c := \Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon,$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

where B_ϵ is the ball centered at 0 with radius ϵ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |u_n - u|^p dx &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |u_n - u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2^*}} \left(\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} 1^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-p}{2^*}} \\ &\leq C (\epsilon^N)^{\frac{2^*-p}{2^*}} \\ &= O \left(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2)(2^*-p)}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from the Rellich-Kondrachov Compactness Theorem that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon^c} |u_n - u|^p dx = o(1).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{p, \Omega}^p = 0.$$

So the embedding $H_A^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is continuous for $1 \leq p \leq 2^*$ and compact for $1 \leq p < 2^*$. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $A \in L_{loc}^N(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, then S_A is attained by a $u \in D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $\text{curl } A \equiv 0$.*

Proof. (Necessary condition) Assume that S_A is attained at (u, v) , which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} + \mu |v|^{2^*} + |u|^{2^*-1} v dx = 1.$$

Using the diamagnetic inequality and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$S_A = |\nabla_A u|_2^2 + |\nabla_A v|_2^2 \geq |\nabla |u||_2^2 + |\nabla |v||_2^2 \geq S_0 = S_A.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_A u| &= |\nabla |u|| = \left| \text{Re} \left(\nabla u \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} \right) \right| = \left| \text{Re}(\nabla u + iAu) \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} \right|, \\ |\nabla_A v| &= |\nabla |v|| = \left| \text{Re} \left(\nabla v \frac{\bar{v}}{|v|} \right) \right| = \left| \text{Re}(\nabla v + iAv) \frac{\bar{v}}{|v|} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have $\text{Im} \left(\nabla u \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} \right) = 0$ and $\text{Im} \left(\nabla v \frac{\bar{v}}{|v|} \right) = 0$, which are equivalent to $A = -\text{Im} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{u} \right) = -\text{Im} \left(\frac{\nabla v}{v} \right)$. Since $\text{curl} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{u} \right) = 0$ and $\text{curl} \left(\frac{\nabla v}{v} \right) = 0$, we infer that $\text{curl } A = 0$.

(Sufficient condition) Assume $\text{curl } A = 0$. By [12], there exists $\vartheta \in W_{loc}^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\nabla \vartheta = A$. Let

$$(u_\epsilon(x), v_\epsilon(x)) = \left(U_\epsilon(x) e^{-i\vartheta(x)}, V_\epsilon(x) e^{-i\vartheta(x)} \right),$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and (U_ϵ, V_ϵ) is defined in (2.1). It follows from Lemma 2.1 that (u_ϵ, v_ϵ) is a minimizer for S_A . □

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $A \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $N > 4$ and $\sigma(-\Delta_A - \lambda) \subset (0, +\infty)$, where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is the spectrum in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If there exists $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\lambda > 0$ and A is continuous at $\bar{x}$, then $S_{A,\lambda}$ is attained by some $(u, v) \in H_A$ such that $u \not\equiv 0$, $v \not\equiv 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $\bar{x} = 0$. Setting

$$\theta(x) := - \sum_{j=1}^N A_j(0)x_j,$$

we have

$$\nabla\theta(x) = (-A_1(0), \dots, -A_N(0)) = -A(0),$$

which implies that $(\nabla\theta + A)(0) = 0$. Then by continuity, there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying

$$|(\nabla\theta + A)(x)|^2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}, \quad \forall |x| < \delta. \quad (2.8)$$

There exists $\rho > 0$ such that $B_\rho \subset \Omega$. Let $2r := \min\{\delta, \rho\}$ and

$$(u_\epsilon(x), v_\epsilon(x)) = \left(\phi(x)U_\epsilon(x)e^{i\theta(x)}, \phi(x)V_\epsilon(x)e^{i\theta(x)} \right).$$

Since (2.2), (2.3) and (2.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 - \lambda|u_\epsilon|^2 + |\nabla_A v_\epsilon|^2 - \lambda|v_\epsilon|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla(\phi U_\epsilon)|^2 + \phi^2 U_\epsilon^2 |\nabla\theta + A|^2 - \lambda\phi^2 U_\epsilon^2) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla(\phi V_\epsilon)|^2 + \phi^2 V_\epsilon^2 |\nabla\theta + A|^2 - \lambda\phi^2 V_\epsilon^2) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla U|^2 + |\nabla V|^2) dx + O(\epsilon^{N-2}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 U_\epsilon^2 dx \\ & \quad - \lambda \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 U_\epsilon^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 V_\epsilon^2 dx - \lambda \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 V_\epsilon^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla U|^2 + |\nabla V|^2) dx + O(\epsilon^{N-2}) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{B_r} (U_\epsilon^2 + V_\epsilon^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |U_\epsilon|^2 dx &\geq \int_{|x| \leq r} \epsilon^{2-N} \left| U\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^2 dx = \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U(y)|^2 dy - \epsilon^2 \int_{|y| \geq \frac{r}{\epsilon}} |U(y)|^2 dy \\ &\geq C\epsilon^2 - C\epsilon^2 \int_{|y| \geq \frac{r}{\epsilon}} |y|^{4-2N} dy = C\epsilon^2 - C\epsilon^2 \int_{|y| \geq \frac{r}{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_r} r^{3-N} dr \\ &= C\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{B_r} |V_\epsilon|^2 dx \geq C\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^{N-2}),$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

where Σ_r is the area of a sphere with radius r . By (2.4)-(2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A,\lambda} &\leq \frac{\|(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon)\|_{H_A}^2}{\left(|u_\epsilon|_{2^*,\Omega}^{2^*} + \mu|v_\epsilon|_{2^*,\Omega}^{2^*} + \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^{2^*-1} v_\epsilon dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla U|^2 + |\nabla V|^2) dx - C\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^{N-2})}{\left(|U|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \mu|V|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{2^*-1} V dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &< S_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $S_{A,\lambda}$ normalized as

$$|u_n|_{2^*,\Omega}^{2^*} + \mu|v_n|_{2^*,\Omega}^{2^*} + \int_\Omega |u_n|^{2^*-1} v_n dx = 1,$$

that is,

$$|\nabla_A u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda|u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda|v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 = S_{A,\lambda} + o(1). \quad (2.10)$$

Observing that $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ are bounded in $H_A^1(\Omega)$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u, & v_n &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H_A^1(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u, & v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u, & v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ a.e. on } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|u_n|_{2^*,\Omega}^{2^*} + \mu|v_n|_{2^*,\Omega}^{2^*} + \int_\Omega |u_n|^{2^*-1} v_n dx \leq 1.$$

Setting

$$w_n := u_n - u, \quad z_n := v_n - v,$$

then $w_n \rightharpoonup 0, z_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_A^1(\Omega)$ and $w_n \rightarrow 0, z_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on Ω . By the diamagnetic inequality and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_A u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 &\geq |\nabla|u_n||_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla|v_n||_{2,\Omega}^2 \geq S_\lambda, \\ S_{A,\lambda} + \lambda(|u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2) + o(1) &\geq S_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.9), we see that

$$\lambda(|u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2) \geq S_\lambda - S_{A,\lambda} > 0,$$

which means $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$. Since $w_n \rightharpoonup 0, z_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_A^1(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_A u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 &= \int_\Omega |\nabla_A w_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla_A u|^2 dx + 2 \int_\Omega \nabla_A w_n \cdot \overline{\nabla_A u} dx \\ &= |\nabla_A w_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^2 + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\nabla_A v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 = |\nabla_A z_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2 + o(1).$$

Then (2.10) yields

$$S_{A,\lambda} = |\nabla_A w_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda|u|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A z_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda|v|_{2,\Omega}^2 + o(1). \quad (2.11)$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

From Brezis-Lieb Lemma, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |u + w_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v + z_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u + w_n|^{2^*-1} |v + z_n| dx \\ &= |u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx + |w_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |z_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*-1} |z_n| dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \leq 1$$

and

$$|w_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |z_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*-1} |z_n| dx \leq 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \left(|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\quad + \left(|w_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |z_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*-1} |z_n| dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + o(1) \\ &\leq \left(|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + \frac{1}{S_{\lambda}} \left(|\nabla w_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla z_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 \right) + o(1) \\ &\leq \left(|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + \frac{1}{S_{\lambda}} \left(|\nabla_A w_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A z_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 \right) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.9), (2.11) and the fact that $S_{A, \lambda} > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |v|_{2, \Omega}^2 \\ &\leq S_{A, \lambda} \left(|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{S_{A, \lambda}}{S_{\lambda}} - 1 \right) \left(|\nabla_A w_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A z_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 \right) + o(1) \\ &< S_{A, \lambda} \left(|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$, we have

$$\frac{|\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |v|_{2, \Omega}^2}{\left(|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \mu |v|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \leq S_{A, \lambda}.$$

In summary, $S_{A, \lambda}$ is attained by (u, v) . □

In order to obtain Theorem 1.1, we consider the limit case ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lambda = 0$)

$$\begin{cases} (-i\nabla + A)^2 u = |u|^{2^*-2} v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-i\nabla + A)^2 v = \mu |v|^{2^*-2} v + |u|^{2^*-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Thus, we search nontrivial solutions to (2.12) as critical points of the functional

$$J_0(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2(2^* - 1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx \\ - \frac{1}{2^*(2^* - 1)} \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx - \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx$$

defined in $D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In particular, we investigate ground state solutions of (2.12) of the form $(ku_\epsilon, lu_\epsilon)$ with $k, l > 0$. So we consider

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := \left\{ (u, v) \in (D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : F_0(u, v) = (0, 0) \right\}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$F_0(u, v) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx, \right. \\ \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx \right)$$

$$\mathcal{N}'_0 := \left\{ (u, v) \in (D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D_A^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : H_0(u, v) = 0 \right\}, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$H_0(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx \\ - \frac{2^*}{2^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx - \frac{\mu}{2^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx.$$

For the limit case, define $M := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_0} J_0(u, v)$ and $M' := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0} J_0(u, v)$. Then we obtain the following.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

3.1. The limit problem for $N > 4$.

Lemma 3.1. Define a function $f_N : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_N(m) = m^{2^* - 1} - m^{2^* - 3} + \mu.$$

Then the function f_N is strictly increasing and satisfies

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow 0^+} f_N(m) = -\infty \text{ and } \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} f_N(m) = +\infty,$$

namely, f_N has at least one zero point. Let $k, l > 0$ satisfy

$$k^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l^2 \leq \frac{2^*}{2^* - 1} k^{2^* - 1} l + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \mu l^{2^*}. \quad (3.1)$$

Considering the system

$$\begin{cases} k^{2^* - 3} l = 1, \\ \mu l^{2^* - 1} + k^{2^* - 1} = l, \\ k, l > 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

we have

$$k_0^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_0^2 = \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{k_i^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_i^2\} \leq k^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l^2, \quad (3.3)$$

where (k_i, l_i) are solutions of system (3.2), (k_0, l_0) is a particular solution of system (3.2).

Proof. By a simple calculation of system (3.2), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{2^*-1} - \left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{2^*-3} + \mu = 0.$$

Clearly, f_N has at most finite multiple solutions and system (3.2) has some solutions correspondingly.

(i) If f_N has a unique zero point m_1 , then system (3.2) has a unique solution denoted as (k_1, l_1) .

(ii) If f_N has n zero points, which are denoted as $m_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, we can assume $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n$, then system (3.2) has n solutions correspondingly denoted as $(k_i, l_i) = \left(m_i^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}, m_i^{\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-2}}\right)$. Thus, there exists a minimum one, which is denoted as $(k_0, l_0) := \left(m_0^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}, m_0^{\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-2}}\right)$. Then

$$k_0^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_0^2 := \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{k_i^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_i^2\},$$

where (k_0, l_0) is one of the solutions of system (3.2).

Fix $k, l > 0$ satisfying (3.2) and let $h := \frac{(2^*-1)k^2+l^2}{l(2^*k^{2^*-1}+\mu l^{2^*-1})}$, then

$$k_i = kh^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}, \quad l_i = lh^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}},$$

which implies that $\frac{k_i}{l_i} = \frac{k}{l}$, so (k_i, l_i) are solutions of system (3.2). Since

$$0 < k_i \leq k, \quad 0 < l_i \leq l, \quad (3.4)$$

we have

$$k_i^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_i^2 \leq k^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l^2.$$

Thus

$$k_0^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_0^2 = \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{k_i^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l_i^2\} \leq k^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l^2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume $(au_\epsilon, bu_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_0$, then $F_0(au_\epsilon, bu_\epsilon) = (0, 0)$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A(au_\epsilon)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |au_\epsilon|^{2^*-1} bu_\epsilon dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A(bu_\epsilon)|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |bu_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |au_\epsilon|^{2^*-1} bu_\epsilon dx = 0, \end{cases}$$

then the above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx} = a^{2^*-3} b, \\ \frac{1}{b^{2^*-2}} \cdot \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx} - \mu - \frac{a^{2^*-1}}{b^{2^*-1}} = 0, \end{cases}$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

then

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2^*-1} - \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2^*-3} + \mu = 0.$$

Since f_N admits a minimum nontrivial zero point m_0 , we assume $m_0 = \frac{a}{b}$. Then we obtain

$$a = \left[m_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}},$$

$$b = \left[m_0^{3-2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}.$$

Thus

$$\left(\left[m_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} u_\epsilon, \right. \\ \left. \left[m_0^{3-2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} u_\epsilon \right) \in \mathcal{N}_0$$

and system (3.2) has a solution $(k_0, l_0) = \left(m_0^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}, m_0^{\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-2}} \right)$. Since $\mathcal{N}_0 \subset \mathcal{N}'_0$, then $M' \leq M$. Also by $J'_0(k_0 u_\epsilon, l_0 u_\epsilon) = 0$ and $(k_0 u_\epsilon, l_0 u_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_0 \subset \mathcal{N}'_0$, we have

$$M' \leq M \leq J_0 \left(m_0^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} u_\epsilon, m_0^{\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-2}} u_\epsilon \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left(k_0^2 + \frac{1}{2^*-1} l_0^2 \right) S_A^{N/2}.$$

Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}'_0$ be a minimizing sequence, such that $J_0(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow M'$. By Sobolev embedding and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_A \left(|u_n|_{2^*}^2 + \frac{1}{2^*-1} |v_n|_{2^*}^2 \right) \\ \leq |\nabla_A u_n|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2^*-1} |\nabla_A v_n|_2^2 \\ = \frac{2^*}{2^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*-1} v_n dx + \frac{\mu}{2^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*} dx \\ \leq \frac{2^*}{2^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*} dx + \frac{\mu}{2^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By direct computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(S_A^{\frac{2-N}{4}} |u_n|_{2^*} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2^*-1} \left(S_A^{\frac{2-N}{4}} |v_n|_{2^*} \right)^2 \\ \leq \frac{2^*}{2^*-1} \left(S_A^{\frac{2-N}{4}} |u_n|_{2^*} \right)^{2^*-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^*-1} S_A^{\frac{2-N}{4}} |v_n|_{2^*} \right) + \frac{\mu}{2^*-1} \left(S_A^{\frac{2-N}{4}} |u_n|_{2^*} \right)^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$k_0^2 + \frac{1}{2^*-1} l_0^2 \leq S_A^{1-\frac{N}{2}} \left(|u_n|_{2^*}^2 + \frac{1}{2^*-1} |v_n|_{2^*}^2 \right).$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 M' + o_n(1) &= J_0(u_n, v_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_A u_n|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2(2^* - 1)} |\nabla_A v_n|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{2^*(2^* - 1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*} dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^* - 2} u_n v_n dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \left(|\nabla_A u_n|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} |\nabla_A v_n|_2^2 \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{S_A}{N} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \right] \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{N} \left(k_0^2 + \frac{l_0^2}{2^* - 1} \right) S_A^{N/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So $M' = \frac{1}{N} \left(k_0^2 + \frac{l_0^2}{2^* - 1} \right) S_A^{N/2}$ and we have $\left(m_0^{\frac{1}{2^* - 2}} u_\epsilon, m_0^{\frac{3 - 2^*}{2^* - 2}} u_\epsilon \right)$ is a ground state solution of (2.12). $\square$

3.2. The limit problem for $N = 4$. In this subsection, we consider the limit problem for general $N = 4$. We notice that in the previous subsection the key points consist in the existence of a zero point of the function f_N and the solution of the system (3.2). Similarly as the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$f(m) = m^3 - m + \mu, \quad m > 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} kl = 1, \\ \mu l^3 + k^3 = l, \\ k, l > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we give the following properties.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$.*

(i) *If $\mu = 0$, $\mathcal{N}'_0$ does not contain semitrivial couples.*

(ii) *If $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, $\mathcal{N}'_0$ does not contain semitrivial couples $(u, 0)$ and $M' < \inf_{(0, v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0} J_0(0, v)$.*

Proof. (i) If $\mu = 0$, then

$$H_0(u, v) = |\nabla_A u|_2^2 + \frac{1}{3} |\nabla_A v|_2^2 - \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u|^3 v dx.$$

Assume $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0$, if $u = 0$, $v \neq 0$, then $H_0(u, v) = H_0(0, v) = |\nabla_A v|_2^2$, which is in contradiction with the definition of $\mathcal{N}'_0$. Likewise, if $v = 0$, $u \neq 0$, we also get a contradiction.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

(ii) It is obvious that if $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, $\mathcal{N}'_0$ does not contain semitrivial couples $(u, 0)$. Next we prove the second part of (ii). Let $(0, v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0$, we have

$$H_0(0, v) = \frac{1}{3} \left(|\nabla_A v|_2^2 - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |v|^4 dx \right) = 0$$

and

$$J_0(0, v) = \frac{1}{6} |\nabla_A v|_2^2 - \frac{1}{12} \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |v|^4 dx = \frac{1}{6} |\nabla_A v|_2^2 - \frac{1}{12} |\nabla_A v|_2^2 = \frac{1}{12} |\nabla_A v|_2^2.$$

For every $r > 0$, $(t(r)rv, t(r)v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0$ with $t(r) = \left(\frac{(3r^2+1)^2\mu}{4r^3+\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and then

$$M' \leq J_0(t(r)rv, t(r)v) = \frac{(3r^2+1)^2\mu}{12(4r^3+\mu)} |\nabla_A v|_2^2.$$

So according to the definition of infimum, we obtain that

$$M' \leq \frac{(3r^2+1)^2\mu}{4r^3+\mu} \inf_{(0,v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0} J_0(0, v).$$

As $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $r = 4\mu$, we have $\frac{(3r^2+1)^2\mu}{4r^3+\mu} < 1$. Thus $M' < \inf_{(0,v) \in \mathcal{N}'_0} J_0(0, v)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. As we said before, assume $(au_\epsilon, bu_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_0$, then $F_0(au_\epsilon, bu_\epsilon) = (0, 0)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla_A(au_\epsilon)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |au_\epsilon|^3 bu_\epsilon dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla_A(bu_\epsilon)|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |bu_\epsilon|^4 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |au_\epsilon|^3 bu_\epsilon dx = 0, \end{cases}$$

similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$a = \left[m_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_\epsilon|^4 dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$b = \left[\frac{1}{m_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla_A u_\epsilon|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_\epsilon|^4 dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then system (3.2) has a minimum solution $(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}) = \left(\sqrt{m_0}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} \right)$. Since $\mathcal{N}_0 \subset \mathcal{N}'_0$, we have

$$M' \leq M \leq J_0 \left(\sqrt{m_0} u_\epsilon, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} u_\epsilon \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{k}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{l}^2 \right) S_A^2 = M',$$

that is, $\left(\sqrt{m_0} u_\epsilon, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} u_\epsilon \right)$ is a ground state solution of (2.12).

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

If $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$, let $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}'_0$ be a minimizing sequence such that $J_0(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow M'$. By proposition 3.2, we assume $u_n \neq 0$ and $v_n \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M' + o_n(1) &= J_0(u_n, v_n) = \frac{1}{4} \left(|\nabla_A u_n|_2^2 + \frac{1}{3} |\nabla_A v_n|_2^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} S_A \left(|u_n|_4^2 + \frac{1}{3} |v_n|_4^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{k}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{l}^2 \right) S_A^2, \end{aligned}$$

thus $M' = \frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{k}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{l}^2 \right) S_A^2$. We have $\left(\sqrt{m_0} u_\epsilon, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} u_\epsilon \right)$ is a nontrivial ground state solution of (2.12). $\square$

3.3. Ground state solution for (1.1). In this section, we study the existence of ground state solutions of problem (1.1) and we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Before proving the main result, we show some lemmas. Since

$$F'(u, v)[u, v] = \left((2 - 2^*) \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx \right), (2 - 2^*) \int_\Omega |v|^{2^*} dx \right) \neq (0, 0)$$

for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $\mathcal{N}$ is a C^1 -manifold, where $\mathcal{N}$ is defined in (2.12).

Lemma 3.3. *If $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$ and $\mu \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Taking $u \in H_A^1(\Omega)$, and $\theta = \frac{|\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2}{|\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2}$, $\bar{\theta} := \frac{|\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2}{|u|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*}}$.

Then m_0 is a strictly positive solution of

$$m^{2^*-1} - \theta m^{2^*-3} + \mu = 0,$$

so we have

$$\left((m_0 \bar{\theta})^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} u, (m_0^{3-2^*} \bar{\theta})^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} u \right) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

$\square$

Now, let

$$\mathcal{B} := \inf_{w \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(w(t)),$$

where $\Gamma := \{w \in C([0, 1], H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)) : w(0) = (0, 0), J(w(1)) < 0\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *If $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{B} < M$.*

Proof. In order to prove $\mathcal{B} < M$, we may assume $0 \in \Omega$ without loss of generality. Then by the definition of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_\epsilon|_{2^*, \Omega}^2 &= S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^N), \\ |U_\epsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2 &\geq C\psi_N(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \\ |\nabla U_\epsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2 &= S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^{N-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

for some $C > 0$, where

$$\psi_N(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \epsilon^2 & \text{if } N > 4, \\ \epsilon^2 |\log \epsilon| & \text{if } N = 4. \end{cases}$$

Define $(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) := (kU_\epsilon, lU_\epsilon)$, where $(k, l) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k, l > 0$ and $(kU_\epsilon, lU_\epsilon)$ is a ground state solution of the limit problem (2.12). Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\epsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2 &\geq C\psi(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \\ |v_\epsilon|_{2^*, \Omega}^2 &= l^{2^*} S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^N), \\ |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2 &= k^2 S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \\ |\nabla v_\epsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2 &= l^2 S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \\ \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon^{2^*-1} v_\epsilon dx &= k^{2^*-1} l S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^N). \end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$k^2 + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} l^2 = \frac{2^*}{2^* - 1} k^{2^*-1} l + \frac{\mu}{2^* - 1} l^{2^*},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(tu_\epsilon, tv_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(tu_\epsilon)|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{\Omega} |tu_\epsilon|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2(2^* - 1)} |\nabla(tv_\epsilon)|_{2, \Omega}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu}{2^*(2^* - 1)} \int_{\Omega} |tv_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx - \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |tu_\epsilon|^{2^*-1} tv_\epsilon dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} t^2 \left[\left(k^2 + \frac{l^2}{2^* - 1} \right) S^{N/2} - \lambda C\psi(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{N-2}) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \left[\left(k^2 + \frac{l^2}{2^* - 1} \right) S^{N/2} + O(\epsilon^N) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 (NA - \lambda C\psi(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^2)) - \frac{1}{2_s^*} t^{2^*} (NA + O(\epsilon^N)). \end{aligned}$$

We consider

$$h(t) := \frac{t^2}{2} a_\epsilon - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} b_\epsilon,$$

where

$$a_\epsilon = NA - \lambda C\psi(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad b_\epsilon = NA + O(\epsilon^N).$$

Obviously, for $\epsilon > 0$ and small enough

$$\max_{t>0} h(t) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{a_\epsilon}{b_\epsilon^{(N-2)/N}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} < M,$$

thus

$$\mathcal{B} \leq \max_{t>0} J(tu_\epsilon, tv_\epsilon) < M.$$

□

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Now we define some notions which will be useful in this paper.

$$\mathcal{N}' = \left\{ (u, v) \in (H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : H(u, v) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(u, v) = & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx \\ & - \frac{\mu}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx - \frac{2^*}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ (u, v) \in (H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)) : \mu \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx + 2^* \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx > 0 \right\}$$

denotes the set of admissible pairs. Moreover, if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$, for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'$ we have $\mathcal{N}'$ is a C^1 -manifold being and

$$H'(u, v)[u, v] = (2 - 2^*) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx \right) \neq 0.$$

Noticing that $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ and for some constant $C > 0$, we have

$$H(u, v) \geq \|(u, v)\|^2 - C\|(u, v)\|^{2^*}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\|(u, v)\|^2 := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_A v|^2 dx.$$

Proposition 3.5. *If $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$ and $\mu \geq 0$, then*

$$\inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'} J(u, v) = \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv) = \mathcal{B} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\tilde{t} = \left[\left(|\nabla_A u|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2, \Omega}^2 \right) \left(\frac{\mu}{2^* - 1} |v|_{2, \Omega}^{2^*} + \frac{2^*}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^* - 1} v dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^* - 2}}.$$

Then $(\tilde{t}u, \tilde{t}v) \in \mathcal{N}'$ and $J(\tilde{t}u, \tilde{t}v) \geq \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'} J(u, v)$. If $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists $t > 0$ such that $J(tu, tv) < 0$,

$$\inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv) \geq \mathcal{B}. \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover, if $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'$, then $\tilde{t} = 1$ and we have

$$\inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'} J(u, v) \geq \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv). \quad (3.10)$$

Taking $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \Gamma$, then for a small t , $H(w(t)) > 0$ and

$$H(w(1)) = 2J(w(1)) - \frac{2}{N(2^* - 1)} \left(\mu \int_{\Omega} |w_2(1)|^{2^*} dx + 2^* \int_{\Omega} |w_1(1)|^{2^* - 1} w_2(1) dx \right) < 0,$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

which implies that there exists $t' > 0$ such that $H(w(t')) = 0$, i.e., $w(t') \in \mathcal{N}$. Then

$$\mathcal{B} \geq \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}'} J(u, v). \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), we have

$$\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}'} J(u, v) = \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv) = \mathcal{B}.$$

Next, we prove $\mathcal{B} > 0$. If $J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}'$, then $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ which is in contradiction with the inequality (3.8). So we have $\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}'} J(u, v) = \mathcal{B} > 0$. $\square$

Now we show a relative property before we prove the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$ and $\mu \geq 0$. If a ground state solution (u, v) of (1.1) exists, then (u, v) is nontrivial.*

Proof. Assume $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $J(u, v) = \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} J$. If $v = 0$, then $\langle J'(u, 0), (u, 0) \rangle = 0$ implies $u = 0$. Now suppose that $u = 0$. If $\mu = 0$, then $v = 0$. So let $\mu > 0$ and v is a nontrivial solution to

$$\begin{cases} (-i\nabla + A)^2 v = \mu |v|^{2^*-2} v, & x \in \Omega, \\ v = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ J(0, w) : w \in H_A^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, |\nabla_A w|_{2,\Omega}^2 = \mu \int_{\Omega} |w|^{2^*} dx \right\} \\ & \leq J(0, v) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J = \inf \left\{ J(0, w) : w \in H_A^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, |\nabla_A w|_{2,\Omega}^2 = \mu \int_{\Omega} |w|^{2^*} dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ J(0, w) : w \in H_A^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, |w|_{2,\Omega}^2 = \mu \int_{\Omega} |w|^{2^*} dx \right\} \\ & = \frac{1}{N(2^* - 1)} \inf \left\{ |\nabla_A w|_{2,\Omega}^2 : w \in H_A^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, |\nabla_A w|_{2,\Omega}^2 = \mu \int_{\Omega} |w|^{2^*} dx \right\} \\ & = \frac{\mu^{\frac{2-N}{2}}}{N(2^* - 1)} \inf \left\{ |\nabla_A w|_{2,\Omega}^N : w \in H_A^1(\Omega), |w|_{2^*,\Omega} = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{v} = \left(\frac{\mu}{|\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} v$ satisfies $|\tilde{v}|_{2^*,\Omega} = 1$ and

$$|v|_{2,\Omega}^N = N(2^* - 1) \mu^{(N-2)/2} J(0, v) = \inf \{ |\nabla_A w|_{2,\Omega}^N : w \in H_A^1(\Omega), |w|_{2^*,\Omega} = 1 \},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, if (u, v) is a ground state solution of (1.1), then (u, v) is nontrivial. $\square$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Theorem 3.7. *If $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$, $\mu \geq 0$, then there exists a ground state (u, v) of J such that $J(u, v) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J = \inf_{\mathcal{N}'} J = \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. The functional J satisfies the geometrical assumptions of the Mountain Pass Theorem. By the Sobolev and *Poincaré* inequalities, we have

$$J(u, v) \geq C(|\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2 - |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^{2^*} - |\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^{2^*-1} |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}) \geq d$$

for some $d > 0$ and $\rho = \sqrt{|\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2}$ sufficiently small.

If $(u, v) \in H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $\mu \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx + 2^* \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J(tu, tv) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \left(|\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^* - 1} \left(\frac{\mu}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1} v dx \right) \rightarrow -\infty, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists a $(PS)_{\mathcal{B}}$ -sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \in H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$ for J at level $\mathcal{B}$, namely, a sequence such that $J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} C(|\nabla u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2) &\leq J(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{2^*} \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle \\ &\leq (\mathcal{B} + 1) + \sqrt{|\nabla_A u_n|_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v_n|_{2,\Omega}^2} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, we have the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded. Thus, up to a subsequence, according to Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists $(u, v) \in H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_A^1(\Omega), & u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), & u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ a.e. on } \Omega, \\ v_n &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H_A^1(\Omega), & v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ a.e. on } \Omega, \\ |u_n|^{2^*-1} &\rightharpoonup |u|^{2^*-1} & & \text{in } L^{2^*/(2^*-1)}(\Omega), \\ |v_n|^{2^*-1} &\rightharpoonup |v|^{2^*-1} & & \text{in } L^{2^*/(2^*-1)}(\Omega), \\ |u_n|^{2^*-3} u_n v_n &\rightharpoonup |u|^{2^*-3} u v & & \text{in } L^{2^*/(2^*-1)}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

So for every $(\xi, \eta) \in H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle J'(u_n, v_n), (\xi, \eta) \rangle - \langle J'(u, v), (\xi, \eta) \rangle| \\ &= \left| (|\nabla_A u_n|_{2,\Omega} - |\nabla_A u|_{2,\Omega}) |\xi|_{2,\Omega} - \int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{2^*-2} v_n - |u|^{2^*-2} v) \eta dx \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^* - 1} (|\nabla_A v_n|_{2,\Omega} - |\nabla_A v|_{2,\Omega}) |\nabla_A \eta|_{2,\Omega} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u_n - u) \xi dx - \frac{\mu}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} (|v_n|^{2^*-1} - |v|^{2^*-1}) \eta dx \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^* - 1} \int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{2^*-1} - |u|^{2^*-1}) \eta dx \right| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

Thus $J'(u, v) = 0$. We claim that $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$. Otherwise,

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (3.12)$$

Since J is continuous and $J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} > 0$, then (u_n, v_n) cannot converge to $(0, 0)$ in $H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)$. Thus, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $(u_n, v_n) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \geq C > 0$, $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking a subsequence $\{(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\}$ of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $(H_A^1(\Omega) \times H_A^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{A}^c$, then

$$\langle J'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \rangle \geq \|(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\|^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Since

$$\langle J'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

we get a contradiction. If we take

$$t_n = \left[\left((2^* - 1) |\nabla_A u_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla_A v_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 \right) \left(\mu |v_n|_{2^*, \Omega}^{2^*} + 2^* \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{2^*-1} v_n dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}$$

and we denote in the same way the functions in $H_A^1(\Omega)$ and their extensions in $\mathbb{R}^N$ putting the function equal to zero in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, we have $(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \in \mathcal{N}'_0$ and so

$$\langle J'_0(t_n u_n, t_n v_n), (t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \rangle = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

In addition, by (3.12),

$$\langle J'_0(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle = \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle + o(1) = o(1). \quad (3.15)$$

Then, using (3.14) and (3.15) we have $t_n \rightarrow 1$. Therefore by Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\mathcal{B} < A = A' \leq \lim_n J(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) = \mathcal{B}$$

getting a contradiction. Thus $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}'$. Likewise, we find $t_n \rightarrow 1$ such that $(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \in \mathcal{N}'$, according to Proposition 3.5 we get

$$\inf_{\mathcal{N}} J \leq J(u, v) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) = \mathcal{B} = \inf_{\mathcal{N}'} J \leq \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J,$$

so the proof is completed, that is, the problem (1.1) has a ground state solution. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, V. Felli, and A. Malchiodi. Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials vanishing at infinity. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 7(1):117–144, 2005.
- [2] V. Ambrosio. Multiplicity and concentration results for fractional Schrödinger-Poisson equations with magnetic fields and critical growth. *Potential Anal.*, 52(4):565–600, 2020.
- [3] G. Arioli and A. Szulkin. A semilinear Schrödinger equation in the presence of a magnetic field. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 170(4):277–295, 2003.
- [4] M. Badiale and G. Tarantello. A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 163(4):259–293, 2002.
- [5] J. Batt, W. Faltenbacher, and E. Horst. Stationary spherically symmetric models in stellar dynamics. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 93(2):159–183, 1986.
- [6] Z. Binlin, M. Squassina, and Z. Xia. Fractional NLS equations with magnetic field, critical frequency and critical growth. *Manuscripta Math.*, 155(1-2):115–140, 2018.

Submitted: December 27, 2022

Accepted: June 27, 2023

Published (early view): August 24, 2024

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3834>.

- [7] J. Byeon and Z.-Q. Wang. Standing wave solutions with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In *Topological methods, variational methods and their applications (Taiyuan, 2002)*, pages 45–52. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [8] P. d’Avenia and J. Mederski. Positive ground states for a system of Schrödinger equations with critically growing nonlinearities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 53(3-4):879–900, 2015.
- [9] P. d’Avenia and M. Squassina. Ground states for fractional magnetic operators. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 24(1):1–24, 2018.
- [10] A. Fiscella, A. Pinamonti, and E. Vecchi. Multiplicity results for magnetic fractional problems. *J. Differential Equations*, 263(8):4617–4633, 2017.
- [11] Z. Guo, M. Melgaard, and W. Zou. Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields and Hardy-Sobolev critical exponents. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, Paper No. 199:1–18, 2017.
- [12] H. Leinfelder. Gauge invariance of Schrödinger operators and related spectral properties. *J. Operator Theory*, 9(1):163–179, 1983.
- [13] X. Shang and J. Zhang. Existence and concentration of ground states of fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials vanishing at infinity. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 21(6):1850048, 24, 2019.
- [14] J. Sun, T.-f. Wu, and Z. Feng. Multiplicity of positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system. *J. Differential Equations*, 260(1):586–627, 2016.
- [15] M. Willem. *Minimax theorems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [16] X.-J. Zhong and C.-L. Tang. Ground state sign-changing solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson system with a critical nonlinearity in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 39:166–184, 2018.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, LIAONING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, DALIAN 116029, CHINA

Email address: guozy@163.com, dengyanab@163.com

Submitted: December 27, 2022

Accepted: June 27, 2023

Published (early view): August 24, 2024