Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

A PROPERTY OF HOMOGENEOUS ISOPARAMETRIC SUBMANIFOLDS

CRISTIÁN U. SÁNCHEZ

Abstract. The present paper contains a *new result* concerning the second fundamental form of a compact, connected, *homogeneous,* isoparametric submanifold M of codimension $h \geq 2$ in a Euclidean space.

1. Introduction

The objective of the present paper is to indicate a property of *every* compact, connected, *homogeneous*, irreducible, isoparametric submanifold M of $\mathbb{R}^{n+h}$ ($n = \dim(M)$) which, *to the best of our knowledge*, has not been previously noticed in the literature on the subject.

Let us consider such an isoparametric submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+h}$. It is a Riemannian submanifold with the induced Riemannian metric from $\mathbb{R}^{n+h}$ so we have the associated Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the corresponding second fundamental form $\alpha : T_p(M) \times T_p(M) \longrightarrow T_p^{\perp}(M)$.

The definition of *isoparametric submanifold* of a Euclidean space $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+h}$ has a rich and very interesting history going far back to Levi-Civita, Cartan (and beyond) and has a notorious highlight in the celebrated paper by G. Thorbergsson [16][1]. A detailed account of the development of the different definitions of these submanifolds can be find in chapter 10 (by G. Thorbergsson) of the Handbook of Differential Geometry Vol. I [4]. For a recent addition to this account, the reader may consult also (by the same author) the paper [17].

The definition used in the present paper is the following. Let S be a compact (or non compact) *irreducible* symmetric space and let $(n+h)$ be its dimension. Fix a point p in S and let K be the isotropy subgroup of the point p. The *homogeneous isoparametric submanifolds associated to* S are *the principal orbits* of the *tangential* representation of K on $T_p(S)$. They are considered submanifolds of the Euclidean space $T_p(S) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+h}$ and are, of course, *associated* to the symmetric space S.[2]

In the papers [13] and [14] it is shown that in the tangent bundle $T(M)$ of the isoparametric submanifold M there is a **canonical, smooth**, **completely non-integrable, step 2 distribution** $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega) \subset T(M)$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C30, 53C42, 53C17.

Partial support from U.N. Córdoba and CONICET, Argentina, is gratefully acknowledged.

[1] It is a well known and celebrated result of G. Thorbergsson that for codimension $h \geq 3$, *all* isoparametric submanifolds are homogeneous.

[2] It is a frequent phenomenon in mathematics that an important theorem becomes a definition.

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

Recall that a *distribution* $\mathfrak{D}$ of r-planes ($n > r \geq 2$) in a connected manifold M is *smooth* [15, p. 41] if for any $p \in M$ there is an open set $A = A(p)$ containing p and r *smooth* vector fields $\{X_1, ..., X_r\}$ defined on A such that, $\forall q \in A$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, $X_j(q) \in \mathfrak{D}(q) \subset T_q(M)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(q) = span_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_j(q) : 1 \leq j \leq r\}$. The distribution $\mathfrak{D}$ is said to be **completely non-integrable of *step 2*** if, for each $p \in M$, the vector fields defined in $A(p)$ satisfy:

$$Span_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_j(q), \ [X_k, X_j](q) : 1 \leq k, j \leq r\} = T_q(M), \ \forall q \in A \tag{1}$$

i.e. for $\mathfrak{D}(q) = span_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_j(q)\}$ we have $\mathfrak{D}^2(q) = (\mathfrak{D} + [\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}])(q) = T_q(M)$, $\forall q \in A \subset M$.

We consider, on each $\mathfrak{D}(p)$, the restriction of the inner product $\langle *, * \rangle_p$ on $T_p(M)$. The triple $(M, \mathfrak{D}, \langle *, * \rangle)$ is called a *sub-Riemannian manifold*. The mentioned property of the isoparametric submanifold M is the content of the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a compact, connected, homogeneous, irreducible, isoparametric submanifold M of $\mathbb{R}^{n+h}$ with codimension $h \geq 2$ and let $\alpha(X, Y)$ be its second fundamental form in $\mathbb{R}^{n+h}$. Then for any point $p \in M$ and any $X \in \mathfrak{D}(p) \subset T_p(M)$ we have*

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \alpha\right)(X, X) = 0$$

where $\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \alpha\right)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^{\perp}(\alpha(Y, Z)) - \alpha(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \alpha(Y, \nabla_X Z)$ is the usual covariant derivative of α. ☐

Then, since $\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \alpha\right)(Y, Z)$ *is symmetric by Codazzi's equation*, as a consequence we have

Corollary 1.2. *The restriction of the second fundamental form α from $T_p(M) \times T_p(M)$ to $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega) \times \mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is parallel i.e. $\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \alpha\right)(Y, Z) = 0$ for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{D}(p) \subset T_p(M)$, $\forall p \in M$.* ☐

This corollary may be rephrased by saying:

The sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathfrak{D}, \langle *, * \rangle)$ is parallel.

Furthermore we also have:

Theorem 1.3. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, given any pair of points p and q in M, there exists a C^{∞} horizontal curve $\gamma : [0, b] \longrightarrow M$ such that:*

(i) $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma(b) = q$ and $\gamma'(t) \neq 0$ $\forall t \in [0, b]$ (i.e. γ is regular).

(ii) the curve γ is a *geodesic* of the sub-Riemannian metric defined on M.

(iii) α is **parallel along the curve** γ that is: $\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)} \alpha\right)(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) = 0$, $\forall t \in [0, b]$. ☐

The organization of the paper is the following. In the next section we mention the essential facts concerning the isoparametric submanifolds under consideration while in Section 3 we recall the definition of the distribution $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$. That section also contains Lemma 3.1, which is a consequence of the existence of $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ and an essential property of the distribution. Lemma 3.1 is indicated in [13] as *well known* however, because of its importance for Theorem 1.3, we include a proof in Section 5. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is contained in Section 4. In the proof we make essential use of formula (24) which, for completeness, is proven in the Appendix.

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

2. The submanifolds considered

The mentioned *homogeneous* isoparametric submanifolds M of codimension $h \geq 2$ in Euclidean spaces are the principal orbits of the tangential representation, at a basic point, of a compact (or non compact dual) symmetric space. To obtain one of these submanifolds, we consider a *real simple noncompact* Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with *Cartan decomposition* $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ and Cartan involution θ. Then $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is a maximal compactly embedded subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ [7, Pr.7.4 p.184]. Let K be the analytic subgroup of $Int\,(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ corresponding to the subalgebra $ad_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ of $ad_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ which is compact and let B_θ be the *positive definite, symmetric* bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ defined by

$$B_\theta\,(x,y) := \langle x,y\rangle_\theta = -B\,(x,\theta y)\,. \tag{2}$$

where B is the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

The *principal orbits* of the representation of K on $\mathfrak{p}_0$ are the *isoparametric submanifolds* M of $\mathbb{R}^{n+h} = (\mathfrak{p}_0, \langle *,*\rangle_\theta)$.

This is a consequence of our definition of *isoparametric submanifold* and the connection between irreducible symmetric spaces and the Cartan decomposition of simple Lie algebras. This fact seems to have been indicated for the first time in [12].[3]

Let $\mathfrak{a}_0$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}_0$ and consider the set $\Phi\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$ of roots restricted to $\mathfrak{a}_0$ (*see* [13] *for details and notation*). Let $\Delta\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$ be a *system of simple roots* in $\Phi\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$. For $\lambda \in \Phi\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$, it is usual to define the subspaces associated to the Cartan decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda} &= \left\{x \in \mathfrak{k}_0 : (ad\,(h))^2\,x = \lambda^2\,(h)\,x,\ \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}_0\right\}\\ \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} &= \left\{x \in \mathfrak{p}_0 : (ad\,(h))^2\,x = \lambda^2\,(h)\,x,\ \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}_0\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for which obviously $\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda} = \mathfrak{k}_{0,(-\lambda)}$, $\mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} = \mathfrak{p}_{0,(-\lambda)}$. With them, respect to B_θ (2), we have orthogonal decompositions,

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \sum_{\lambda\in\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)} \mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \qquad \mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \sum_{\lambda\in\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} \tag{4}$$

where $\Phi^+\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$ is the set of roots written with non-negative coefficients in terms of $\Delta\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}_0$ in $\mathfrak{k}_0$.

Recall that , for any pair $\lambda,\mu \in \Phi\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)$, we have the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}&[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda},\mathfrak{p}_{0,\mu}] \subset \mathfrak{p}_{0,(\lambda+\mu)} + \mathfrak{p}_{0,(\lambda-\mu)}\\ &[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda},\mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda}] \subset \mathfrak{p}_{0,(2\lambda)} + \mathfrak{a}_0,\\ &[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda},\mathfrak{a}_0] = \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{0,\delta} = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{0,\delta} = \{0\}$ if δ is not a root.

Let us fix a *regular* element $E \in \mathfrak{a}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$, call $M = Ad\,(K)\,E \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$ its orbit and let K_E be the isotropy subgroup of K at E. The regularity of E implies that the

[3]We thank the anonymous referee for this observation.

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

isotropy subalgebra (corresponding to) K_E is $\mathfrak{k}_{0,E} = \mathfrak{m}_0$. Furthermore the tangent and normal spaces of M at E are:

$$T_E(M) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)} [\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, E] = \sum_{\lambda\in\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} \text{ and } T_E^{\perp}(M) = \mathfrak{a}_0 \tag{6}$$

2.1. **The manifolds of complete flags $M = K/T^n$.** An important special case of the above isoparametric submanifolds are the submanifolds of the form $M = K/T^n$ where T^n is a maximal torus of the *compact, connected, simple, adjoint (i.e. centerless)* Lie group K. Let $\mathfrak{u}_0$ be the compact simple Lie algebra corresponding to K and let $\mathfrak{u}_0^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_0$ be the complexification $\mathfrak{u}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ *considered as a real Lie algebra*. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \left(\mathfrak{u}_0^{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ [7, p. 185, (7.5)] and we may consider, as in the previous case, the principal orbits of the adjoint action of K which are isoparametric submanifolds of $\mathfrak{p}_0 = i\mathfrak{u}_0$. They are *manifolds of complete flags* of the form $M = K/T^n$ for a maximal torus of the group K.

Let us take a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}_0 \subset \mathfrak{u}_0$ so $i\mathfrak{t}_0 \subset i\mathfrak{u}_0$ is a *maximal abelian* subspace of $i\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{t}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{t}_0) \subset \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. We have the roots in $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{h})$ and the restricted roots are those in $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_0, i\mathfrak{t}_0)$. They are just the roots of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_0$. *Also in this case we shall use the general notation indicated above.*

3. Distribution

The roots of $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{a}_0)$ are written in terms of $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{a}_0)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$ linear combination with *non-negative* coefficients. It is usual to define the *height of a root* as the sum of these coefficients and we may consider in $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{a}_0)$ the subsets Ω and Γ of roots of *odd* and *even* height respectively. Then $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{a}_0) = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ and we may consider, associated to the set Ω, a subspace $\mathfrak{D}_E(\Omega) \subset T_E(M)$ (6) defined by $\mathfrak{D}_E(\Omega) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda}$. This subspace is *invariant* by the action of the isotropy subgroup at E. Hence $\mathfrak{D}_E(\Omega)$ defines a *distribution* $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ on the manifold M by translation with the action of the group K. Then at each point $q = Ad(g)E \in M$ we have: $\mathfrak{D}_q = \mathfrak{D}_q(\Omega) = Ad(g)\mathfrak{D}_E(\Omega) \subset T_q(M)$. It is clear that the distribution $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is well defined. As we indicated above in [13] it is shown that $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is **smooth and completely non-integrable of step 2** distribution in M.

The presence in M of the completely non-integrable step 2 distribution $\mathfrak{D}_q(\Omega)$ has the following consequence. Recall that, given the distribution $\mathfrak{D}$, a curve $\gamma : [0,b] \longrightarrow M$ is said to be *horizontal for* $\mathfrak{D}$ if $\gamma'(t) \in \mathfrak{D}(\gamma(t))$ $\forall t \in [0,b]$ and *regular*if $\gamma'(t) \neq 0$ $\forall t$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a compact, connected, homogeneous isoparametric submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+h} = (\mathfrak{p}_0, \langle *,* \rangle_\theta)$ and consider in M the smooth distribution $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$, defined above, which is **completely non-integrable of** **step 2**. Then for any two points p, q in M there exists a **horizontal,** C^∞, **regular** curve $\gamma : [0,b] \longrightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma(b) = q$.*

A proof of Lemma 3.1 is included in Section 5.

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us consider our isoparametric submanifold $M = Ad\,(K)\,E \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$ and take two points a and c in M. Let us take the C^∞ **regular** curve $\gamma : [0,b] \longrightarrow M$ (i.e. $\gamma'\,(t) \neq 0$, $\forall t$) such that $\gamma\,(0) = a$, $\gamma\,(b) = c$ given by Lemma 3.1. As usual, to say that the curve γ is C^∞ in $[0,b]$ means that it is defined and is C^∞ in an *open interval* containing $[0,b]$. Let $t \in [0,b]$ we need to show that the second fundamental form α of M in $\mathfrak{p}_0$ satisfies:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)}\alpha\right)\left(\gamma'\,(t)\,,\gamma'\,(t)\right) = 0 \tag{7}$$

Since $\gamma\,(t) \in M$ there exists $w \in K$ such that

$$\gamma\,(t) = Ad\,(w)\,E =: w\,(E)\,, \qquad \gamma'\,(t) \in \mathfrak{D}_{\gamma(t)} = Ad\,(w)\,\mathfrak{D}_E\,(\Omega) \subset T_{\gamma(t)}\,(M)$$

Since K acts on the **ambient space** $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \langle x,y\rangle_\theta)$ *by isometries* then, for each $Y \in T_E\,(M)$, the derivative: $w_*|_E : T_E\,(\mathfrak{p}_0) \longrightarrow T_{\gamma(t)}\,(\mathfrak{p}_0)$ satisfies:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{w_*|_E Y}\alpha\right)\left(w_*|_E\,Y, w_*|_E\,Y\right) = w_*|_E\left(\overline{\nabla}_Y\alpha\right)(Y,Y)\,, \qquad Y \in T_E\,(M)$$

and since

$$w_*|_E\,Z = Ad\,(w)\,Z, \qquad \forall Z \in T_E\,(\mathfrak{p}_0)$$

in order to prove (7) we just need to show that

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\gamma'(0)}\alpha\right)\left(\gamma'\,(0)\,,\gamma'\,(0)\right) = 0, \qquad \gamma'\,(0) \in \mathfrak{D}_E\,(\Omega) \subset T_E\,(M) \tag{8}$$

and so, in turn, to prove (8), it is enough to show that:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_Y\alpha\right)(Y,Y) = 0 \qquad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{D}_E\,(\Omega) \subset T_E\,(M) \tag{9}$$

To initiate the proof of (9), we need to introduce some general notation. Recall that $\Phi^+\,(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0) = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ and let us set:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{u}_0 = \sum_{\lambda\in\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)} \mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda} & \mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0, \\ \mathfrak{q}_0 = \sum_{\lambda\in\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} & \mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_0, \end{array} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Omega) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} \mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda} & \mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Omega) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} \\ \mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Gamma) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Gamma} \mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda} & \mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Omega) \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Gamma) \\ \mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Gamma) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Gamma} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} & \mathfrak{q}_0 = \mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Omega) \oplus \mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Gamma) \end{array} \tag{11}$$

$$\mathfrak{d}_0\,(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Gamma) \tag{12}$$

To compute the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form $\left(\overline{\nabla}_Y\alpha\right)(Y,Y)$ we use the formula (24) (which is proven in the Appendix). That is:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)\left([X,E]\,,[X,E]\right) = -2\left(\left[X, \left([X,[X,E]]\right)_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\right]_{\mathfrak{a}_0}\right), \qquad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{u}_0 \subset \mathfrak{k}_0$$

Let us take any *non-zero* $Y \in \mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Omega) = \mathfrak{D}_E\,(\Omega) \subset T_E\,(M) \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$. Since, by (5) and (11), $\mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Omega) = [\mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Omega)\,,\mathfrak{a}_0]$ we may take $X \in \mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Omega)$ such that $Y = [X,E]$. Then,*for that* X, we have to evaluate: $\left(\left[X, \left([X,[X,E]]\right)_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\right]\right)_{\mathfrak{a}_0}$. Let us start by noticing that:

$$[X,[X,E]] \in [\mathfrak{u}_0\,(\Omega)\,,\mathfrak{q}_0\,(\Omega)]$$

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

Then we have to study the product $[\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega), \mathfrak{q}_0(\Omega)]$ and to that end compute:

$$[\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega), \mathfrak{q}_0(\Omega)] = \sum_{\lambda,\gamma\in\Omega} [\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}_{0,\gamma}]$$

so we need to compute the brackets $[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}_{0,\gamma}]$ (for $\lambda, \gamma \in \Omega$). By (5), for any pair $\lambda, \gamma \in \Omega$, we have :

$$[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}_{0,\gamma}] \subset \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}_{0,(2\lambda)} + \mathfrak{a}_{0,} & \text{if } \gamma = \lambda \\ \mathfrak{p}_{0,(\lambda+\mu)} + \mathfrak{p}_{0,(\lambda-\mu)} & \text{if } \gamma \neq \lambda \end{cases}$$

Clearly, if $(\lambda+\gamma)$ is a root,(or 2λ is a root) it is positive and belongs to Γ. On the other hand if $(\gamma-\lambda)$ is a root then $|\gamma-\lambda|$ **is a root and** and since $\mathfrak{p}_{0,(\gamma-\lambda)} = \mathfrak{p}_{0,|\gamma-\lambda|}$ it also belongs to Γ. Therefore, for any pair λ, $\gamma \in \Omega$, we have:

$$[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}_{0,\gamma}] \subset \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \sum_{\mu\in\Gamma} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\mu} = \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)$$

Then we have the inclusion:

$$[\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega), \mathfrak{q}_0(\Omega)] \subset \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)$$

and in turn

$$[X,[X,E]] \in \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_0(\Gamma)$$

This clearly yields:

$$([X,[X,E]])_{\mathfrak{q}_0} = ([X,[X,E]])_{(\mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)\cap\mathfrak{q}_0)} \in \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)$$

Now, multiplying again by X, we have:

$$\left[X, ([X,[X,E]])_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\right] \in [\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega), \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)] \tag{13}$$

then we need to compute the product $[\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega), \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)]$. Recalling (10) we observe that, by the definitions of $\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega)$ and $\mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{u}_0(\Omega), \mathfrak{d}_0(\Gamma)] &= \left[\left(\sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} \mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}\right), \left(\mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \sum_{\mu\in\Gamma} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\mu}\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} [\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{a}_0] + \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega}\sum_{\mu\in\Gamma} [\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}_{0,\mu}] \end{aligned}$$

so we study each of the last two terms separately. By (5), for the first term it holds:

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} [\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda}, \mathfrak{a}_0] = \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega} \mathfrak{p}_{0,\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{q}_0$$

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

while, again by (5), for the second one we similarly have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega}\sum_{\mu\in\Gamma}\left[\mathfrak{k}_{0,\lambda},\mathfrak{p}_{0,\mu}\right] \\ \subset\ & \sum_{\lambda\in\Omega}\sum_{\mu\in\Gamma}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{0,(\lambda+\mu)}+\mathfrak{p}_{0,|\lambda-\mu|}\right)=\sum_{\lambda\in\Omega}\sum_{\mu\in\Gamma}\mathfrak{p}_{0,(\lambda+\mu)}+\sum_{\lambda\in\Omega}\sum_{\mu\in\Gamma}\mathfrak{p}_{0,|\lambda-\mu|} \\ \subset\ & \sum_{\delta\in\Phi^{+}(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{a}_0)}\mathfrak{p}_{0,\delta}=\mathfrak{q}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtained

$$\left[\mathfrak{q}_0\left(\Omega\right),\mathfrak{d}_0\left(\Gamma\right)\right]\subset\mathfrak{q}_0$$

and in turn, by (13), we have:

$$\left[X,\left(\left[X,\left[X,E\right]\right]\right)_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\right]\in\mathfrak{q}_0$$

Then we clearly get:

$$\left(\left[X,\left(\left[X,\left[X,E\right]\right]\right)_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\right]\right)_{\mathfrak{a}_0}=0$$

because $\mathfrak{q}_0$ and $\mathfrak{a}_0$ are **orthogonal to each other**. So our $Y=[X,E]\in\mathfrak{q}_0\left(\Omega\right)$ satisfies:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[X,E\right]\right)=\left(\overline{\nabla}_{Y}\alpha\right)\left(Y,Y\right)=0$$

Then we have proven (9) and Theorem 1.1.

5. Proof of Lemma 3.1

Let $\langle *,*\rangle_p$ $(p\in M)$ be an inner product defined on $\mathfrak{D}\left(p\right)$ varying smoothly with $p\in M$. The triple $(M,\mathfrak{D},\langle *,*\rangle)$ is called a *sub-Riemannian manifold*. A particular case is when (*as it is the situation here*) we start with a Riemannian manifold M and take the restriction, of the inner product in each tangent space, to $\mathfrak{D}$. A Lipschitz curve $\gamma:[0,b]\longrightarrow M$ is called *horizontal* if $\gamma'\left(t\right)\in\mathfrak{D}\left(\gamma\left(t\right)\right)$ for *almost every* $t\in[0,b]$. The length of γ is defined in the usual way as: $L\left(\gamma\right)=\int_0^b\left\langle\gamma'\left(t\right),\gamma'\left(t\right)\right\rangle_{\gamma(t)}dt$ and for two points $x,y\in M$ it is usual to define

$$d\left(x,y\right)=\inf\left\{L\left(\gamma\right):\gamma\text{ is horizontal, }\gamma\left(0\right)=x\text{ and }\gamma\left(b\right)=y\right\}\tag{14}$$

If the set of horizontal Lipschitz curves joining x with y is *not empty* for any (x,y) then d is a distance on M called the *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* [5]. Since M is connected,and the distribution satisfies (1) (the Hormander condition) the Chow-Rashevski Theorem [9, 2.1.2 p.44] [5, p.95] indicates that the set of Lipschitz curves joining x and y is not empty for every pair (x,y). Furthermore, by the *topological theorem* [9, 2.13 p.44] the topology of the Carnot-Carathéodory distance coincides with the manifold topology. Since M is compact every sequence in M has a convergent subsequence hence every Cauchy sequence converges. Therefore M with the Carnot-Carathéodory distance d is *complete*. If (M,d) is complete, the closed balls are compact and the argument in [1, Cor 3.49, p. 91] shows that the infimum in (14) is attained. That is, there is at least one horizontal curve γ joining x with y such that $L\left(\gamma\right)=d\left(x,y\right)$ (in general γ is not unique). This γ

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina — Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

is called a *length minimizing curve (or length minimizer).* This curve is Lipschitz (differentiable *almost everywhere* on $[0,b]$).

In our situation, we have on the manifold M a distribution $\mathfrak{D}$ which is *a bracket generating of step 2* which means that *for every point* $p\in M$ and some local set of fields $\{X_1,...,X_r\}$ (defined in an open set A containing p) generating $\mathfrak{D}(p)$, $\forall p\in A\subset M$ we have: $\mathfrak{D}^2(p)=(\mathfrak{D}+[\mathfrak{D},\mathfrak{D}])(p)=T_p(M)$. That is (1) is satisfied.

The point to be mentioned here is that *length-minimizers* can be of *two types* which are respectively called *normal* and *abnormal* (see [8, p.329]). But it is well known that **distributions of step 2 have not abnormal length-minimizers** (see for instance [10, p. 318, Th.4] also [6, Section 1.4 p.6] and [2, Th. 3.7, 3.8 p.390]) so we are left with the normal ones. The *normal length-minimizers* are those which satisfy the *geodesic equation* [1, p. 121, Th.4.25]. Then given two points x, y in M there is a normal minimizer joining them. This curve in M is C^∞ and parametrized by *constant non-zero speed*, hence, it is *regular* in M [1, p. 122, Cor. 4.27]. Then, it can be parametrized by arc length. Compare also [10, p. 318, Th.4].

This yields the proof of Lemma 3.1.

6. Appendix

Computation of $\overline{\nabla}\alpha$.

In the present Appendix we give a proof of formula (24) used, in an essential way, in the proof of theorem 1.1 (contained in Section 4).It is important to make here the following:

Remark 6.1. *The isoparametric submanifolds under consideration are **R-spaces** (*orbits of s-representations*) since, in fact, they are all principal orbits of the tangential representations of symmetric spaces.*

We have the Euclidean covariant derivative ∇^E in $(\mathfrak{p},\langle *,*\rangle)$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ associated to the induced metric on M. Also on M we are going to consider the *canonical connection* determined by the decomposition $\mathfrak{k}_0=\mathfrak{m}_0\oplus\mathfrak{u}_0$, (10) which we shall denote by ∇^C [3, p. 200]. We have the second fundamental form of M on $\mathfrak{p}$ and Gauss formula:

$$\nabla^E_U W=\nabla_U W+\alpha(U,W).$$

We need to compute $\nabla^E_U W$. Let us take, for some $X\in\mathfrak{u}_0$, the curve in M of the form

$$\gamma(t)=(Ad(\exp(tX))E). \tag{15}$$

Its tangent vector at E is $\gamma'(0)=[X,E]$ and if we take $t_1>0$ then we may compute the derivative $\gamma'(t_1)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'(t_1)\ &= \left.\tfrac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}(Ad(\exp((t_1+t)X))E)\\ &= \left.\tfrac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}(Ad(\exp((t_1)X))Ad(\exp((t)X))E)\\ &= Ad(\exp((t_1)X))\left.\tfrac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}Ad(\exp((t)X))E\\ &= Ad(\exp((t_1)X))[X,E]\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and so this gives the tangent field along $\gamma(t)$.

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

It is well known that curves like γ in (15) are ∇^C-*geodesics* and that the ∇^C-*parallel* translation along these geodesics is precisely given by (16) [3, p. 200].

Let us take a tangent vector at E

$$[Y,E]\in T_E(M)=[\mathfrak{k}_0,E]=[\mathfrak{u}_0,E]$$

and extend it to a field along γ by

$$[Y,E]^*=Ad(\exp(tX))[Y,E]. \tag{17}$$

Let us compute now, for $X,Y\in\mathfrak{u}_0$, in (10)

$$\nabla^E_{[X,E]}[Y,E]^*=\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}(Ad(\exp(tX))[Y,E])=[X,[Y,E]]\in\mathfrak{p}_0.$$

and writing the Gauss formula for $[X,E]$ and $[Y,E]^*$, we have:

$$\nabla^E_{[X,E]}[Y,E]^*=\nabla_{[X,E]}[Y,E]^*+\alpha([X,E],[Y,E])$$

then, since $\mathfrak{p}_0=\mathfrak{a}_0\oplus\mathfrak{q}_0$, we may take the component in each one of these subspaces. That is:

$$\begin{array}{rl}\nabla_{[X,E]}[Y,E]^*= & ([X,[Y,E]])_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\\ \alpha([X,E],[Y,E])= & ([X,[Y,E]])_{\mathfrak{a}_0}\end{array} \tag{18}$$

Now, we need to compute the covariant derivative of α, which, by definition is:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \left(\overline{\nabla}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)([Y,E],[Z,E])\\ = & \nabla^{\perp}_{[X,E]}\alpha([Y,E],[Z,E])-\alpha\left(\nabla_{[X,E]}[Y,E],[Z,E]\right)-\alpha\left([Y,E],\nabla_{[X,E]}[Z,E]\right)\end{array} \tag{19}$$

Now in [11] (see also [3, p. 212]) it was introduced the **canonical covariant derivative of the second fundamental form** α as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \left(\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)([Y,E],[Z,E])\\ = & \nabla^{\perp}_{[X,E]}\alpha([Y,E],[Z,E])-\alpha\left(\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}_{[X,E]}[Y,E],[Z,E]\right)-\alpha\left([Y,E],\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}_{[X,E]}[Z,E]\right)\end{array} \tag{20}$$

Recall now that a *central* result of the paper [11] is that the condition

$$\left(\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)([Y,E],[Z,E])=0$$

characterizes R-spaces and, since M, as indicated in Remark **6.1, is an R-space ,** we have:

$$0=\nabla^{\perp}_{[X,E]}\alpha([Y,E],[Z,E])-\alpha\left(\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}_{[X,E]}[Y,E],[Z,E]\right)-\alpha\left([Y,E],\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}_{[X,E]}[Z,E]\right) \tag{21}$$

Subtracting now (21) from (19) we get:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \left(\overline{\nabla}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)([Y,E],[Z,E])\\ = & -\alpha(D([X,E],[Y,E]),[Z,E])-\alpha([Y,E],D([X,E],[Z,E]))\end{array} \tag{22}$$

where

$$D=\nabla-\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}$$

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina — Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

is the *difference tensor of the two connections* ∇ and $\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}$. Now, if we take $X = Y = Z$ we obtain, for $X \in \mathfrak{u}_0 \subset \mathfrak{k}_0$:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[X,E\right]\right) = -2\alpha\left(D\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[X,E\right]\right),\left[X,E\right]\right) \tag{23}$$

Now, to complete our computation of $\overline{\nabla}\alpha$, we need to study the difference tensor $D\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[Y,E\right]\right)$. To that end we use the fact that the tangent field $\left[Y,E\right]^*$ in (17), is **parallel respect to the canonical connection $\nabla^{\mathbf{C}}$ along** γ. Then we have:

$$D\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[Y,E\right]\right) = \nabla_{[X,E]}\left[Y,E\right]^* - \nabla^{C}_{[X,E]}\left[Y,E\right]^* = \nabla_{[X,E]}\left[Y,E\right]^*$$

and going back to (18) we obtain

$$D\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[Y,E\right]\right) = \nabla_{[X,E]}\left[Y,E\right]^* = Ta\left(\left[X,\left[Y,E\right]\right]\right) = \left(\left[X,\left[Y,E\right]\right]\right)_{\mathfrak{q}_0}$$

Therefore the equality (23) becomes

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{[X,E]}\alpha\right)\left(\left[X,E\right],\left[X,E\right]\right) = -2\left(\left[X,\left(\left[X,\left[X,E\right]\right]\right)_{\mathfrak{q}_0}\right]_{\mathfrak{a}_0}\right) \tag{24}$$

and we have the sought formula 24.

Acknowledgement

The author expresses his appreciation to the anonymous referee for the important comments that lead to an improvement in the presentation of the paper.

References

[1] Agrachev A., Barilari D., Boscain U. A Comprehensive Introduction to Riemannian and Sub-Riemannian Geometry. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 181.

[2] Agrachev A. A. Sarychev A. V. Sub-Riemannian metrics: Minimality of Abnormal Geodesics Versus Subanaliticity ESAIM Optimization and Calculus of Variations July 1999 Vol. 4 p.377-403.

[3] Berndt J., Console S., Olmos C. Submanifolds and Holonomy. Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics 434 (second edition).

[4] Dillen F., Verstraelen I. (Editors) Handbook of Differential Geometry Vol. I North-Holland Amsterdam 2000.

[5] Gromov M. Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within. Progress in Mathematics Vol. 144, 1996 Birkhäuser Verlag Bassel Switzerland.

[6] Hakavuori E. Sub-Riemannian Geodesics JYU Dissertations 103 University of Jyvaskyla 2019.

[7] Helgason S. *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces* Academic Press, New York and London 1978.

[8] Montgomery R. Survey of singular geodesics. Progress in Mathematics Vol. 144, 1996 Birkhäuser Verlag Bassel Switzerland.

[9] Montgomery R. A Tour of Sub-Riemannian Geometries. Their Geodesics and Applications. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. 91 Amer. Math. Soc. (2006).

[10] Monti R. The regularity problem for sub-Riemannian geodesics. in *Geometric Control Theory and Sub-Riemannian Geometry.* Springer INdAM Series Vol. 5.

[11] Olmos C., Sánchez C. U. A geometric characterization of the orbits of s-representations. *J. Reine. Angew. Math* 420, 195-202 (1991).

[12] Palais R. Terng C. L. A general theory of canonical forms Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 300 (1987) 771-789.

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina **Accepted article · Early view version**

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4941.

[13] Sánchez Cristián U. A canonical distribution on isoparametric submanifolds I Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Vol 61 #1 2020 Pages 113 -130.

[14] Sánchez Cristián U. A canonical distribution on isoparametric submanifolds II Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Vol 62 #2 2021 Pages 491-513.

[15] Warner G. Foundation of Differentiable manifolds and Lie Groups. Scot, Foresman and Co.

[16] Thorbergsson G. Isoparametric foliations and their buildings *Ann. Math. (2)* **133**, 429-446 (1991). MR 1097244.

[17] Thorbergsson G. From isoparametric submanifolds to polar foliations Sao Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences (2022) 16:459-472

CIEM-CONICET, Fa.M.A.F. Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Medina Allende s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, Córdoba, Argentina

Email address: csanchez@famaf.unc.edu.ar

Submitted: September 2, 2024
Accepted: June 3, 2025
Published (early view): June 12, 2025