Vol. 69, No. 1, 2026, Pages 125–141 Published online: December 14, 2025 https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.5023

LEFT AND RIGHT W-WEIGHTED G-DRAZIN INVERSES AND NEW MATRIX PARTIAL ORDERS

DAVID E. FERREYRA, DIJANA MOSIĆ, ALBINA N. PRIORI, AND MARÍA LUZ LLANES

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates a way to define left and right versions of the class of G-Drazin inverses for complex rectangular matrices. More precisely, the concepts of W-weighted left and right G-Drazin inverses are introduced and characterized by means of a simultaneous core-nilpotent decomposition as well as by a certain system of matrix equations. Then new partial orders associated with these weighted generalized inverses are presented and studied.

1. Introduction

The set of complex rectangular matrices of size $m \times n$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the symbols $\mathcal{R}(A)$, $\mathcal{N}(A)$, rank(A), and Ind(A) denote the column space, the null space, the rank, and the index (m = n) of A, respectively.

By considering a fixed nonzero complex matrix W of size $n \times m$ and an arbitrary complex matrix A of size $m \times n$, Cline and Greville [3] showed the existence and uniqueness of a solution X to the system of equations

$$XWAWX = X$$
, $AWX = XWA$, $XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k$

for some positive integer k.

This solution is called the W-weighted Drazin inverse of A and is written as $A^{d,W}$. When m=n and $W=I_n$, we recover the classical Drazin inverse, that is, $A^{d,I_n}=A^d$

It is well known that $A^{d,W} = A[(WA)^d]^2 = [(AW)^d]^2 A$, $WA^{d,W} = (WA)^d$, and $A^{d,W}W = (AW)^d$.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A09; Secondary 06A06, 15A24.

 $[\]it Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ weighted generalized inverses, partial orders, $\it W$ -weighted Drazin inverse, $\it W$ -weighted $\it G$ -Drazin inverse, Drazin pre-order.

The research has been supported by Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (grant PPI 18/C634), by CONICET (grant PIBAA 28720210100658CO), and by Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Facultad de Ingeniería (grant RCD 172/24). The second author is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, grant 451-03-65/2024-03/200124.

Using the W-weighted Drazin inverse, the weighted Drazin pre-order [7] was defined as follows:

$$A \leq^{d,W} B \iff AWA^{d,W} = BWA^{d,W} \text{ and } A^{d,W}WA = A^{d,W}WB.$$

Note that $\leq^{d,W}$ is an extension to the rectangular case of the pre-order \leq^{d} defined and studied in depth by Mitra, Bhimasankaram, and Malik [9]. More interesting results related to pre-orders introduced by the W-weighted Drazin inverse can be found in [8, 10, 14].

Recently, Wang and Liu [17] studied the class of G-Drazin inverses of a square matrix. Recall that X is an inner inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ if it satisfies AXA = A [1].

Definition 1.1 ([17]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $k = \operatorname{Ind}(A)$. Then a matrix X is called a G-Drazin inverse of A if X is an inner inverse of A such that $XA^{k+1} = A^k$ and $A^{k+1}X = A^k$.

The authors observed that, in general, the G-Drazin inverse is not unique. Thus, the class of G-Drazin inverses of A is denoted by $A\{GD\}$. Clearly, $A\{GD\} \subseteq A\{1\} = \{A^- \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} : AA^-A = A\}$. Using the G-Drazin inverses, the authors also introduced a new partial order on the set of square matrices called the G-Drazin partial order.

Definition 1.2 ([17]). Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The matrix A is said to be below the matrix B under the G-Drazin partial order if there exist $X_1, X_2 \in A\{GD\}$ such that $X_1A = X_1B$ and $AX_2 = BX_2$, and we denote this by $A \leq^{GD} B$.

The notions of left and right invertibility as extensions of invertibility motivated many authors to investigate similar problems for various kinds of generalized invertibility. As weaker versions of the G-Drazin inverse, the concepts of left and right G-Drazin inverses were proposed in [16].

Definition 1.3 ([16]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $k = \operatorname{Ind}(A)$. Then a matrix X is called a *left* (resp. *right*) G-Drazin inverse of A if X is an inner inverse of A such that $XA^{k+1} = A^k$ (resp. $A^{k+1}X = A^k$).

The sets of all left (or right) G-Drazin inverses of A will be denoted by $A\{l,GD\}$ (or $A\{r,GD\}$). Replacing $X_1,X_2 \in A\{GD\}$ in Definition 1.2 with $X_1,X_2 \in A\{l,GD\}$ (or $X_1,X_2 \in A\{r,GD\}$), a left (or right) G-Drazin partial order was defined in [16] on the set of square matrices. More details related to the G-Drazin inverses can be found in [5, 6, 12, 13].

Later, Coll et al. [4] extended the G-Drazin inverses to the rectangular case using an appropriate weighted W in Definition 1.1. More precisely, a matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is a W-weighted G-Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ if it satisfies the three equations

$$WAWXWAW = WAW, \quad (AW)^{k+1}XW = (AW)^k, \quad WX(WA)^{k+1} = (WA)^k,$$
 (1.1)

where $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. The set of W-weighted G-Drazin inverses of A is denoted by $A\{W-GD\}$. Moreover, the following binary relation on $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ was considered in order to extend Definition 1.2:

$$A \preceq_W^{GD} B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad WAWX_1 = WBWX_1 \text{ and } X_2WAW = X_2WBW$$
 (1.2)

for some $X_1, X_2 \in A\{GD - W\}$.

The relation \preceq_W^{GD} is a pre-order on $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$; however, it is not a partial order because it is not antisymmetric. The concept of the W-weighted G-Drazin inverse was studied for operators between two Banach spaces in [11], and the definition of the strong W-weighted G-Drazin inverse was given in [15]. In particular, a matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ is a strong W-weighted G-Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ if it is a solution to the system of two equations

$$AWXWA = A$$
, $(WA)^dWAWXW = WXW(AW)^dAW$.

The definitions of left and right G-Drazin inverses, as well as the W-weighted G-Drazin inverse and the strong W-weighted G-Drazin inverse, motivated us to consider weighted versions of left and right G-Drazin inverses. The main goal of this article is to present a variant of the system (1.1) and therefore an alternative binary relation to the one given in (1.2) in order to obtain a weighted partial order on the set of complex rectangular matrices. Precisely, as solutions of corresponding systems of two equations, we introduce the W-weighted left (resp. right) G-Drazin inverse for rectangular matrices. Also, the concept of the W-weighted left-right G-Drazin inverse is given as a both left and right G-Drazin inverse. Since left (or right) G-Drazin inverses and G-Drazin inverses are particular cases of our new inverses, we define three new wider classes of already existing generalized inverses. Especially, these new inverses can be applied to propose three new kinds of partial orders on the set of rectangular matrices. As we previously mentioned, based on weighted generalized inverses many pre-orders were defined, but we present partial orders using the W-weighted left (or right) G-Drazin inverse and the W-weighted left-right G-Drazin inverse.

The structure of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce and characterize three new types of generalized inverses for rectangular matrices: the W-weighted left (resp. right) G-Drazin inverses and the W-weighted left-right G-Drazin inverses. Using a simultaneous decomposition, we obtain a canonical form for these generalized inverses. In Section 3, parameterized representations of W-weighted left (resp. right) G-Drazin inverses are obtained. Section 4 is devoted to the study of new binary relations involving the W-weighted left (resp. right) G-Drazin inverses which result in partial orders on the set of rectangular matrices.

Throughout this paper, we fix a nonzero matrix $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and use it as a weight.

2. Weighted left and right G-Drazin inverses

We start with the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is

(i) a W-weighted left G-Drazin inverse of A if the following equalities hold:

$$AWXWA = A, \quad XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k;$$

(ii) a W-weighted right G-Drazin inverse of A if the following equalities hold:

$$AWXWA = A, \quad (WA)^{k+1}WX = (WA)^k;$$

(iii) a W-weighted left-right G-Drazin inverse if X is both W-weighted left and right G-Drazin inverse of A.

We denote by $A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$, $A\{r, W\text{-}GD\}$, and $A\{l, r, W\text{-}GD\}$ the sets of all W-weighted left, right, and left-right G-Drazin inverses of A, respectively.

Remark 2.2. When m = n and $W = I_n$, Definition 2.1 recovers the concepts of left and right G-Drazin inverses [16, Definition 3.1] and G-Drazin inverses [17, Definition 3.1].

Necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix to be a W-weighted left G-Drazin inverse are investigated below.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\};$
- (b) AWXWA = A and $XWAW(AW)^d = (AW)^d$;
- (c) AWXWA = A and $XWAW(AW)^dAW = (AW)^dAW$;
- (d) AWXWA = A and $\mathcal{R}((AW)^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(XWAW)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) It is sufficient to prove the second condition. In fact, from

$$XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k,$$

we have

$$XW(AW)^{k+1}[(AW)^d]^{k+1} = (AW)^k[(AW)^d]^{k+1}.$$

As $AW(AW)^d$ is idempotent, we get

$$XWAW(AW)^d = (AW)^d.$$

- (b) \Rightarrow (c) Trivial.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a) Multiplying $XWAW(AW)^dAW = (AW)^dAW$ from the right side by $(AW)^d(AW)^{k+1}$, we obtain $XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k$. Since AWXWA = A, we deduce that $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$.
- (b) \Leftrightarrow (d) Note that AWXWA = A implies that XWAW is idempotent. Thus, $XWAW(AW)^d = (AW)^d$ is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{R}((AW)^k) = \mathcal{R}((AW)^d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(I_m - XWAW) = \mathcal{R}(XWAW). \qquad \Box$$

In a manner similar to the above theorem, we can characterize the W-weighted right G-Drazin inverses.

Theorem 2.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $X \in A\{r, W\text{-}GD\}$;
- (b) $AWXWA = A \text{ and } (WA)^dWAWX = (WA)^d$;
- (c) AWXWA = A and $WA(WA)^dWAWX = WA(WA)^d$;
- (d) AWXWA = A and $\mathcal{N}(WAWX) \subseteq \mathcal{N}((WA)^k)$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $X \in A\{l, r, W\text{-}GD\};$
- (b) AWXWA = A, $XWAW(AW)^d = (AW)^d$, and $(WA)^dWAWX = (WA)^d$;
- (c) AWXWA = A, $XWAW(AW)^dAW = (AW)^dAW$, and $WA(WA)^dWAWX = WA(WA)^d$:
- (d) AWXWA = A, $\mathcal{R}((AW)^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(XWAW)$, and $\mathcal{N}(WAWX) \subseteq \mathcal{R}((WA)^k)$.

In order to obtain more properties and representations of $A^{d,W}$, the following simultaneous decomposition of A and W (called weighted core-nilpotent decomposition) was introduced by Wei [18]:

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad W = Q \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $A_1, W_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{t \times t}$ are nonsingular matrices, $A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-t) \times (n-t)}$ and $W_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-t) \times (m-t)}$ are rectangular matrices such that A_2W_2 and W_2A_2 are nilpotent of indices $\operatorname{Ind}(AW)$ and $\operatorname{Ind}(WA)$, respectively. In particular, if m = n and AW = WA, then Q = P. In this case, if $W = I_n$, then $W_1 = I_t$ and $W_2 = I_{n-t}$.

Now, we provide a characterization of a W-weighted left G-Drazin inverse by means of the weighted core-nilpotent decomposition.

Theorem 2.6. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. If A and W are written as in (2.1), then $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ if and only if

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & X_3 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $X_3W_2A_2 = 0$ and $W_2X_2W_2 \in A_2\{1\}$.

Proof. Consider $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ written as

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_3 \\ X_4 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

according to the sizes of the blocks in A. From (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$AW = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 W_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad XW = P \begin{bmatrix} X_1 W_1 & X_3 W_2 \\ X_4 W_1 & X_2 W_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$
 (2.4)

As A_2W_2 is nilpotent of index k, from (2.4) we get

$$(AW)^k = P \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1},$$

and therefore

$$XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k \Leftrightarrow X_4W_1(A_1W_1)^{k+1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow X_4 = 0.$$
 (2.5)

Now, from (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$AWXWA = A \Leftrightarrow X_1 = (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1}, \ X_3W_2A_2 = 0, \ A_2W_2X_2W_2A_2 = A_2.$$
 (2.6) From (2.5) and (2.6), it follows that (2.2) holds.

Similarly, we can establish the following result concerning W-weighted right G-Drazin inverses.

Theorem 2.7. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. If A and W are written as in (2.1), then $X \in A\{r, W - GD\}$ if and only if

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ X_4 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$

where $A_2W_2X_4 = 0$ and $W_2X_2W_2 \in A_2\{1\}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, we get a canonical form for the W-weighted left-right G-Drazin inverses.

Corollary 2.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. If A and W are written as in (2.1), then $X \in A\{l, r, W\text{-}GD\}$ if and only if

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$

where $W_2X_2W_2 \in A_2\{1\}$.

It is clear that if m = n and $W = I_n$ in (2.1), we recover the classical corenilpotent decomposition of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of index k,

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $t = \text{rank}(A^k)$, $A_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{t \times t}$ is nonsingular, and $A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-t) \times (n-t)}$ is nilpotent of index k.

Corollary 2.9. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\operatorname{Ind}(A) = k$. If A is written as in (2.7), then the following hold:

- (a) $X \in A\{l, GD\}$ if and only if $X = P\begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & X_3 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}$, where $X_3 A_2 = 0$ and $X_2 \in A_2\{1\}$;
- (b) $X \in A\{r, GD\}$ if and only if $X = P\begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ X_4 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}$, where $A_2X_4 = 0$ and $X_2 \in A_2\{1\}$;
- (c) $X \in A\{l, r, GD\}$ if and only if $X = P\begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}$, where $X_2 \in A_2\{1\}$.

Remark 2.10. Notice that if m = n and $W = I_n$, the set of all G-Drazin inverses of A coincides with the set of all left-right G-Drazin inverses of A, that is, $A\{GD\} = A\{l, r, GD\}$.

3. Parametrizing W-weighted left and right G-Drazin inverses

The following two lemmas are due to Penrose.

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times q}$, $A^- \in A\{1\}$, and $B^- \in B\{1\}$. Then the equation AXB = C is consistent (in X) if and only if $AA^-CB^-B = C$, in which case the general solution is

$$X = A^-CB^- + Y - A^-AYBB^-.$$

where Y is an arbitrary matrix.

Lemma 3.2 ([1]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, $D \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, and $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times q}$. The matrix equations

$$AX = B \quad and \quad XD = E \tag{3.1}$$

have a common solution if and only if each equation separately has a solution and AE = BD. In this case, the general solution of (3.1) is

$$X = A^{-}B + ED^{-} - A^{-}AED^{-} + (I_{n} - A^{-}A)Y(I_{p} - DD^{-}),$$

for arbitrary $A^- \in A\{1\}$, $D^- \in D\{1\}$, and $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$.

Now, we provide a parameterized representation of the W-weighted left G-Drazin inverses.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then the general solution of the system

$$AWXWA = A \quad and \quad XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k, \tag{3.2}$$

is given by

$$X = (I_m - YWAW)(AW)^d W^- + Y + (AW)^- (I_m - AWYW)(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A(WA)^-,$$

for arbitrary $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and for fixed but arbitrary $W^- \in W\{1\}$, $(AW)^- \in (AW)\{1\}$, and $(WA)^- \in (WA)\{1\}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, the equation $XW(AW)^{k+1}=(AW)^k$ has a solution if and only if

$$(AW)^{k}(W(AW)^{k+1})^{-}W(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^{k}$$
 (3.3)

for some $(W(AW)^{k+1})^- \in W(AW)^{k+1}\{1\}$. Note that the equation (3.3) holds for $(W(AW)^{k+1})^- = [(AW)^d]^{k+1}W^-$. In fact, we know that $W(AW)^d = (WA)^dW$, $(WA)^{k+1}W = W(AW)^{k+1}$, and $BB^d = B^m(B^d)^m$ for any square matrix B with

 $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, by taking B = AW and m = k + 1 we obtain

$$\begin{split} W(AW)^{k+1}[(AW)^d]^{k+1}W^-W(AW)^{k+1} &= WAW(AW)^dW^-W(AW)^{k+1}\\ &= WA(WA)^d[WW^-W](AW)^{k+1}\\ &= WA(WA)^dW(AW)^{k+1}\\ &= WA(WA)^d(WA)^{k+1}W\\ &= (WA)^{k+1}W\\ &= W(AW)^{k+1}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the general solution of the second equation in (3.2) is given by

$$X = (AW)^{k}(W(AW)^{k+1})^{-} + Z - ZW(AW)^{k+1}(W(AW)^{k+1})^{-}$$

for an arbitrary $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, which is equivalent to

$$X = (AW)^{k} [(AW)^{d}]^{k+1} W^{-} + Z - ZW(AW)^{k+1} [(AW)^{d}]^{k+1} W^{-}$$

$$= (AW)^{d} W^{-} + Z - ZWAW(AW)^{d} W^{-}$$
(3.4)

for an arbitrary $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

Now, substituting (3.4) in the first equation of (3.2), we have

$$AW(AW)^{d}W^{-}WA + AWZWA - AWZWAW(AW)^{d}W^{-}WA = A,$$

or equivalently

$$AWZW(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A = (I_m - AW(AW)^d)A.$$
 (3.5)

Note that the above equation is consistent (in Z). In fact, as AWXWA = A, we have that $\operatorname{rank}(AW) = \operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(WA)$, whence $AW(AW)^-A = A$ and $A(WA)^-WA = A$. Moreover, as $(WA)^- \in [W(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A]\{1\}$, we obtain

$$AW(AW)^{-}(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})A(WA)^{-}W(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})A$$

$$= [AW(AW)^{-}A(I_{m} - W(AW)^{d}A)][(WA)^{-}WA(I_{m} - W(AW)^{d}A)]$$

$$= [A(I_{m} - W(AW)^{d}A)][(WA)^{-}WA(I_{m} - W(AW)^{d}A)]$$

$$= [(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})][A(WA)^{-}WA](I_{m} - W(AW)^{d}A)$$

$$= [(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})]A(I_{m} - W(AW)^{d}A)$$

$$= (I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})A$$

$$= (I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})A.$$

Thus, the general solution of (3.5) is given by

$$Z = (AW)^{-} PA(WA)^{-} + Y - (AW)^{-} AWYWPA(WA)^{-},$$
 (3.6)

where $P := I_m - AW(AW)^d$.

Now, note that as $A(WA)^-WA = A$ we have

$$PA(WA)^{-}WAW(AW)^{d} = (I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})[A(WA)^{-}WA]W(AW)^{d}$$

= $(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})AW(AW)^{d}$
= 0.

Thus, substituting (3.6) in (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{split} X &= (AW)^{d}W^{-} + (AW)^{-}PA(WA)^{-} + Y - (AW)^{-}AWYWPA(WA)^{-} \\ &- [(AW)^{-}PA(WA)^{-} + Y - (AW)^{-}AWYWPA(WA)^{-}]WAW(AW)^{d}W^{-} \\ &= (AW)^{d}W^{-} + (AW)^{-}PA(WA)^{-} + Y - (AW)^{-}AWYWPA(WA)^{-} \\ &- YWAW(AW)^{d}W^{-} \\ &= (I_{m} - YWAW)(AW)^{d}W^{-} + Y + (AW)^{-}(I_{m} - AWYW)PA(WA)^{-} \\ &= (I_{m} - YWAW)(AW)^{d}W^{-} + Y \\ &+ (AW)^{-}(I_{m} - AWYW)(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})A(WA)^{-}. \end{split}$$

Parameterized expressions for the W-weighted right G-Drazin inverses are also given below.

Theorem 3.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then the general solution of the system

$$AWXWA = A \quad and \quad (WA)^{k+1}WX = (WA)^k,$$

is given by

$$X = W^{-}(WA)^{d}(I_{n} - WAWY) + Y + (AW)^{-}A(I_{n} - WA(WA)^{d})(I_{n} - WYWA)(WA)^{-},$$

for arbitrary $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and for fixed but arbitrary $W^- \in W\{1\}$, $(AW)^- \in (AW)\{1\}$, and $(WA)^- \in (WA)\{1\}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $k = \max\{\operatorname{Ind}(AW), \operatorname{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then the general solution of the system

$$AWXWA = A$$
, $XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k$, and $(WA)^{k+1}WX = (WA)^k$ (3.7) is given by

$$X = (AW)^{d}W^{-}$$

$$- (AW)^{-}A(I_{n} - WA(WA)^{d})WYW(I_{m} - AW(AW)^{d})A(WA)^{-}$$

$$+ [W^{-}(WA)^{d} + (AW)^{-}A(I_{n} - WA(WA)^{d})$$

$$+ (I_{m} - W^{-}(WA)^{d}WAW)Y](I_{n} - WAW(AW)^{d}W^{-}),$$
(3.8)

for arbitrary $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and for fixed but arbitrary $W^- \in W\{1\}$, $(AW)^- \in (AW)\{1\}$, and $(WA)^- \in (WA)\{1\}$.

Proof. As in the proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, each equation $XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k$ and $(WA)^{k+1}WX = (WA)^k$ separately has a solution. Also, we know that $[(AW)^d]^{k+1}W^- \in W(AW)^{k+1}\{1\}$ and $W^-[(WA)^d]^{k+1} \in (WA)^{k+1}W\{1\}$. Thus, from Lemma 3.2, the general solution of the last two equations in (3.7) is given by

$$X = W^{-}(WA)^{d} + (AW)^{d}W^{-} - W^{-}(WA)^{d}WAW(AW)^{d}W^{-} + (I_{m} - W^{-}(WA)^{d}WAW)Z(I_{n} - WAW(AW)^{d}W^{-})$$
(3.9)

for arbitrary Z.

Substituting (3.9) in AWXWA = A we get

$$A(I_n - WA(WA)^d)WZW(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A = A(I_n - WA(WA)^d).$$
(3.10)

Since $(AW)^-$ and $(WA)^-$ are inner inverses of $A(I_n - WA(WA)^d)W$ and $W(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A$, respectively, the equation (3.10) is consistent (in Z), and therefore from Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$Z = (AW)^{-} A (I_n - WA(WA)^d)(WA)^{-} + Y$$
$$- (AW)^{-} A (I_n - WA(WA)^d)WYW(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A(WA)^{-}.$$

Now, replacing this expression of Z in (3.9) and using the facts that

$$(I_m - AW(AW)^d)A(WA)^-WAW(AW)^d = 0$$

and

$$(WA)^d WAW (AW)^- A(I_n - WA(WA)^d) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} X &= (AW)^d W^- + W^- (WA)^d (I_n - WAW (AW)^d W^-) \\ &+ (AW)^- A (I_n - WA(WA)^d) (I_n - WAW (AW)^d W^-) \\ &+ (I_m - W^- (WA)^d WAW) Y (I_n - WAW (AW)^d W^-) \\ &- (AW)^- A (I_n - WA(WA)^d) WYW (I_m - AW (AW)^d) A (WA)^-, \end{split}$$

which implies (3.8).

When m = n and $W = I_n$, we get some useful parameterized representations of the different lateral G-Drazin inverses.

Corollary 3.6. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $A^- \in A\{1\}$. Then

$$A\{l,GD\} = \{Y + (I_n - YA)A^d + A^-(I_n - AY)(I_n - AA^d)AA^- : Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\};$$

$$A\{r,GD\} = \{Y + A^d(I_n - AY) + A^-A(I_n - AA^d)(I_n - YA)A^- : Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\};$$

$$A\{l,r,GD\} = \{A^d + A^-A(I_n - AA^d)[I_n - Y(I_n - AA^d)AA^-] + (I_n - AA^d)Y(I_n - AA^d) : Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\}.$$

4. Weighted left and right G-Drazin partial orders

It is well known that the binary relation \preceq^d (called the Drazin pre-order) is only a pre-order on $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, because the nilpotent part of matrices is not considered. To extend the Drazin pre-order to the rectangular case, the order $\preceq^{d,W}$ [7], and more recently the order \preceq^{GD}_W [4], were introduced and characterized. However, none of these weighted matrix orders has the antisymmetric property, and each therefore yields only pre-orders on $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$. The aim of this section is to give new binary relations on the set $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ by using W-weighted left (resp. right) G-Drazin inverses, resulting in matrix partial orders.

Definition 4.1. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ be a nonzero matrix and $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Then we say that

(i) A is below B under the W-weighted left G-Drazin order (denoted by $A \leq_W^{l,GD} B$) if there exist $X_1, X_2 \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that

$$AWX_1 = BWX_1, \quad X_2WA = X_2WB;$$

(ii) A is below B under the W-weighted right G-Drazin order (denoted by $A \leq_W^{r,GD} B$) if there exist $X_1, X_2 \in A\{r, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that

$$AWX_1 = BWX_1, \quad X_2WA = X_2WB;$$

(iii) A is below B under the W-weighted left-right G-Drazin order (denoted by $A \leq_W^{l,r,GD} B$) if there exist $X_1, X_2 \in A\{l,r,W\text{-}GD\}$ such that

$$AWX_1 = BWX_1$$
, $X_2WA = X_2WB$.

Remark 4.2. When m = n and $W_n = I_n$ in the above definition, we recover [16, Definition 7.1] and [17, Definition 3.1].

Our first result gives some useful characterizations of the binary relation $\leq_W^{l,GD}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. If A and W are written as in (2.1), then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $A \leq_W^{l,GD} B$;
- (b) there exists $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWX = BWX and XWA = XWB;
- (c) there exists $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & X_3 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$

$$B = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & -A_1 W_1 X_3 W_2 B_2 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$
(4.1)

where $X_3W_2A_2 = 0$, $W_2X_2W_2 \in A_2\{1\}$, $A_2W_2X_2 = B_2W_2X_2$, and $X_2W_2A_2 = X_2W_2B_2$;

(d) there exists $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWXWB = BWXWA = A.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) If $A \leq_W^{l,GD} B$, there exist $X_1, X_2 \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that $AWX_1 = BWX_1$ and $X_2WA = X_2WB$. Now, we consider the matrix $X := X_1WAWX_2$. First, we note that $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$. In fact,

$$AWXWA = (AWX_1WA)WX_2WA = AWX_2WA = A$$

and

$$XW(AW)^{k+1} = X_1WAW(X_2W(AW)^{k+1}) = X_1WAW(AW)^k$$

= $X_1W(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k$.

Moreover,

$$AWX = (AWX_1)WAWX_2 = BWX_1WAWX_2 = BWX$$

and

$$XWA = X_1WAW(X_2WA) = X_1WAWX_2WB = XWB.$$

 $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ Let $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ be such that AWX = BWX and XWA = XWB. Thus, Theorem 2.6 implies

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & X_3 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $X_3W_2A_2=0$ and $W_2X_2W_2\in A_2\{1\}$. Let us consider the partition of $B=P\left[\begin{smallmatrix}B_1&B_2\\B_4&B_2\end{smallmatrix}\right]Q^{-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} AWX &= P \begin{bmatrix} W_1^{-1} & A_1W_1X_3 \\ 0 & A_2W_2X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \\ BWX &= P \begin{bmatrix} B_1(W_1A_1)^{-1} & B_1W_1X_3 + B_3W_2X_2 \\ B_4(W_1A_1)^{-1} & B_4W_1X_3 + B_2W_2X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \\ XWA &= P \begin{bmatrix} W_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & X_2W_2A_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \\ XWB &= P \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^{-1}B_1 & (A_1W_1)^{-1}B_3 + X_3W_2B_2 \\ 0 & X_2W_2B_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$AWX = BWX \Leftrightarrow A_1 = B_1, B_4 = 0, B_3W_2X_2 = 0, A_2W_2X_2 = B_2W_2X_2.$$
(4.3)

In consequence,

$$XWA = XWB \Leftrightarrow B_3 + A_1W_1X_3W_2B_2 = 0, \ X_2W_2A_2 = X_2W_2B_2.$$
 (4.4)

From (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain the desired implication.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ This implication follows from direct calculations.

 $(d)\Rightarrow (a)$ Let $X\in A\{l,W\text{-}GD\}$ be such that AWXWB=BWXWA=A. Taking Y=XWAWX we have

$$AWYWA = AW(XWAWX)WA = (AWXWA)WXWA = AWXWA =$$

and

$$YW(AW)^{k+1} = XWAW[XW(AW)^{k+1}] = XW(AW)^{k+1} = (AW)^k.$$

Thus, $Y \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$. Moreover, as AWXWA = A and BWXWA = A, we obtain

$$AWY = (AWXWA)WX = AWX = BW(XWAWX) = BWY.$$

Similarly, from AWXWB = A, we get YWA = YWB. Thus, $A \leq_W^{l,GD} B$.

Remark 4.4. By the proof of Theorem 4.3, we deduce that

$$A\{l, W\text{-}GD\} \cdot WAW \cdot A\{l, W\text{-}GD\} \subseteq A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}.$$

Corollary 4.5. Let $X, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be of the form (4.1). Then Z is a W-weighted left G-Drazin inverse of B if and only if

$$Z = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & Z_3 \\ 0 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $Z_3W_2B_2 = X_3W_2B_2$ and $Z_2 \in B_2\{l, W_2\text{-}GD\}.$

Proof. Let $Z \in B\{W\text{-}GD\}$ and consider

$$Z = P \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & Z_3 \\ Z_4 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \tag{4.6}$$

according to the sizes of the blocks in B. From (4.1) and (4.6), we have

$$BW = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 W_1 & -A_1 W_1 X_3 W_2 B_2 W_2 \\ 0 & B_2 W_2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$ZWBW = P \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 W_1 A_1 W_1 & M \\ Z_4 W_1 A_1 W_1 & N \end{bmatrix} P^{-1},$$
(4.7)

where $S = -A_1W_1X_3W_2B_2W_2$, $M = Z_1W_1S + Z_3W_2B_2W_2$, and $N = Z_4W_1S + Z_2W_2B_2W_2$.

As BW is an upper-triangular block matrix with its block A_1W_1 nonsingular, from [2, Theorem 7.7.2], we get

$$(BW)^d = P \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^{-1} & R \\ 0 & (B_2W_2)^d \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}$$
 for some matrix R . (4.8)

Now, from (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain

$$ZWBW(BW)^{d} = P \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1}W_{1} & Z_{1}W_{1}A_{1}W_{1}R + M(B_{2}W_{2})^{d} \\ Z_{4}W_{1} & Z_{4}W_{1}A_{1}W_{1}R + N(B_{2}W_{2})^{d} \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$
(4.9)

Thus, from (4.8) and (4.9), direct calculations imply that $ZWBW(BW)^d = (BW)^d$ holds if and only if

$$Z_{1} = (W_{1}A_{1}W_{1})^{-1},$$

$$Z_{4} = 0,$$

$$Z_{3}W_{2}B_{2}W_{2} = X_{3}W_{2}B_{2}W_{2},$$

$$Z_{2}W_{2}B_{2}W_{2}(B_{2}W_{2})^{d} = (B_{2}W_{2})^{d}.$$

$$(4.10)$$

From (4.10), we obtain

BWZWB

$$=P\begin{bmatrix}A_1 & A_1W_1Z_3W_2B_2-A_1W_1X_3W_2B_2W_2Z_2W_2B_2-A_1W_1X_3W_2B_2\\ 0 & B_2W_2Z_2W_2B_2\end{bmatrix}Q^{-1},$$

whence

$$BWZWB = B \Leftrightarrow B_2W_2Z_2W_2B_2 = B_2, Z_3W_2B_2 = X_3W_2B_2.$$
 (4.11)

Thus,
$$(4.10)$$
 and (4.11) imply (4.5) .

In a manner similar to Theorem 4.3, we derive characterizations of the W-weighted right G-Drazin order.

Theorem 4.6. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. If A and W are written as in (2.1), then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $A \leq_W^{r,GD} B$;
- (b) there exists $X \in A\{r, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWX = BWX and XWA = XWB;
- (c) there exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ such that

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ X_4 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \quad and \quad B = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ -B_2 W_2 X_4 A_1 W_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$
(4.12)

where $A_2W_2X_4=0,\ W_2X_2W_2\in A_2\{1\},\ A_2W_2X_2=B_2W_2X_2,$ and $X_2W_2A_2=X_2W_2B_2;$

(d) there exists $X \in A\{r, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWXWB = BWXWA = A.

Corollary 4.7. Let $X, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be of the form (4.12). Then Z is a W-weighted right G-Drazin inverse of B if and only if

$$Z = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ Z_4 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$

where $B_2W_2Z_4 = B_2W_2X_4$ and $Z_2 \in B_2\{r, W_2\text{-}GD\}$.

Theorem 4.8. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. If A and W are written as in (2.1), then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $A \leq_W^{l,r,GD} B$;
- (b) there exists $X \in A\{l, r, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWX = BWX and XWA = XWB:
- (c) there exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ such that

$$X = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \quad and \quad B = P \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \tag{4.13}$$

where $W_2X_2W_2 \in A_2\{1\}$, $A_2W_2X_2 = B_2W_2X_2$, and $X_2W_2A_2 = X_2W_2B_2$; (d) there exists $X \in A\{l, r, W-GD\}$ such that AWXWB = BWXWA = A. Corollary 4.9. Let $X, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be of the form (4.13). Then Z is a W-weighted left-right G-Drazin inverse of B if and only is

$$Z = P \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$

where $Z_2 \in B_2\{l, r, W_2\text{-}GD\}$.

In order to prove that the binary relations $\leq_W^{l,GD}$, $\leq_W^{r,GD}$ and $\leq_W^{l,r,GD}$ are partial orders on the set of complex rectangular matrices, we need an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 4.10. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(a)} & \textit{If } A \leq_W^{l,GD} B, \; \textit{then } B\{l,W\text{-}GD\} \subseteq A\{l,W\text{-}GD\}. \\ \text{(b)} & \textit{If } A \leq_W^{r,GD} B, \; \textit{then } B\{r,W\text{-}GD\} \subseteq A\{r,W\text{-}GD\}. \\ \text{(c)} & \textit{If } A \leq_W^{l,r,GD} B, \; \textit{then } B\{l,r,W\text{-}GD\} \subseteq A\{l,r,W\text{-}GD\}. \end{array}$

Proof. (a) Since $A \leq_W^{l,GD} B$, by Theorem 4.3 (b), there exists $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWX = BWX and XWA = XWB. Suppose that $Y \in B\{l, W-GD\}$, i.e., BWYWB = B and $YWBW(BW)^d = (BW)^d$. We will prove that $Y \in$ $A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$. In fact, as A = AWXWA due to $X \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$, we have

$$AWYWA = (AWXWA)WYW(AWXWA)$$

$$= AW(XWA)WYW(AWX)WA$$

$$= AW(XWB)WYW(BWX)WA$$

$$= AWXW(BWYWB)WXWA$$

$$= AW(XWB)WXWA$$

$$= AW(XWA)WXWA$$

$$= (AWXWA)WXWA$$

$$= AWXWA$$

$$= AWXWA$$

From (4.1) and [2, Theorem 7.7.1], we have

$$(AW)^{d} = P \begin{bmatrix} (A_{1}W_{1})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$(BW)^{d} = P \begin{bmatrix} (A_{1}W_{1})^{-1} & R \\ 0 & (B_{2}W_{2})^{d} \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}$$

$$(4.14)$$

for some matrix R.

From (4.14), it is easy to see that $(AW)^d = (BW)^d BW (AW)^d$. Hence, using that AWX = BWX, $XWAW (AW)^d = (AW)^d$, and $YWBW (BW)^d = (BW)^d$:

$$\begin{split} YWAW(AW)^d &= YW(AWX)WAW(AW)^d = YWBW(XWAW(AW)^d) \\ &= YWBW(AW)^d = YWBW(BW)^dBW(AW)^d \\ &= (BW)^dBW(AW)^d = (AW)^d. \end{split}$$

A similar proof can be applied to statements (b) and (c).

Theorem 4.11. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. Then the binary relation $\leq_W^{l,GD}$ is a partial order on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

Proof. Clearly, the binary relation $\leq_W^{l,GD}$ is reflexive. Suppose $A,B,C\in\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ are such that $A\leq_W^{l,GD}B$ and $B\leq_W^{l,GD}C$. By Theorem 4.3 (b) we know that there exist $X\in A\{l,W\text{-}GD\}$ and $Y\in B\{l,W\text{-}GD\}$ such that AWX=BWX,XWA=XWB,BWY=CWY, and YWB=YWC. Now, by applying Lemma 4.10, we deduce that $Y\in A\{l,W\text{-}GD\}$. Thus,

$$AWYWC = AWYWB = AW(XWA)WYWB = AWXW(BWYWB)$$

= $AW(XWB) = AWXWA = A$.

Similarly, we obtain CWYWA = A. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3 (d) we obtain $A \leq_W^{l,GD} C$.

In order to prove that the binary relation is antisymmetric, we have to show that the conjunction $A \leq_W^{l,GD} B$ and $B \leq_W^{l,GD} A$ implies A = B. By Theorem 4.3(b), the second of these conditions ensures that there exists $Y \in B\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$ such that BWY = AWY and YWB = YWA. By Lemma 4.10, we know that $Y \in A\{l, W\text{-}GD\}$. In consequence, A = (AWY)WA = BW(YWA) = BWYWB = B.

By a similar procedure, from Theorem 4.6, Theorem 4.8, and Lemma 4.10 we can identify two new matrix partial orders on the set of complex rectangular matrices.

Theorem 4.12. Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. Then the binary relations $\leq_W^{r,GD}$ and $\leq_W^{l,r,GD}$ are partial orders on the set $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are deeply indebted to the anonymous referees whose insightful comments and invaluable suggestions greatly helped us improve the quality of the paper.

References

- A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, Generalized inverses: Theory and applications, second ed., CMS Books Math./Ouvrages Math. SMC 15, Springer, New York, 2003. MR Zbl
- [2] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer, Generalized inverses of linear transformations, Classics in Applied Mathematics 56, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2009. DOI MR Zbl
- [3] R. E. CLINE and T. N. E. GREVILLE, A Drazin inverse for rectangular matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 29 (1980), 53–62. DOI MR Zbl
- [4] C. Coll, M. Lattanzi, and N. Thome, Weighted G-Drazin inverses and a new pre-order on rectangular matrices, Appl. Math. Comput. 317 (2018), 12–24. DOI MR Zbl
- [5] D. E. FERREYRA, M. LATTANZI, F. E. LEVIS, and N. THOME, Parameterized solutions X of the system AXA = AEA and $A^kEAX = XAEA^k$ for matrix A having index k, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 35 (2019), 503–510. DOI MR Zbl
- [6] D. E. FERREYRA, M. LATTANZI, F. E. LEVIS, and N. THOME, Solving an open problem about the G-Drazin partial order, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 36 (2020), 55–66. DOI MR Zbl

- [7] A. HERNÁNDEZ, M. LATTANZI, and N. THOME, Weighted binary relations involving the Drazin inverse, Appl. Math. Comput. 253 (2015), 215–223. DOI MR Zbl
- [8] A. HERNÁNDEZ, M. LATTANZI, and N. THOME, On some new pre-orders defined by weighted Drazin inverses, Appl. Math. Comput. 282 (2016), 108-116. DOI MR Zbl
- [9] S. K. MITRA, P. BHIMASANKARAM, and S. B. MALIK, Matrix partial orders, shorted operators and applications, Series in Algebra 10, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2010. DOI MR 7bl
- [10] D. Mosić, Weighted binary relations for operators on Banach spaces, Aequationes Math. 90 no. 4 (2016), 787–798. DOI MR Zbl
- [11] D. Mosić, Weighted G-Drazin inverse for operators on Banach spaces, Carpathian J. Math. 35 no. 2 (2019), 171–184. DOI MR Zbl
- [12] D. Mosić, G-outer inverse of Banach spaces operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 481 no. 2 (2020), Paper No. 123501. DOI MR Zbl
- [13] D. Mosić, Solvability to some systems of matrix equations using G-outer inverses, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 36 (2020), 265–276. MR Zbl Available at https://emis.de/ft/34762.
- [14] D. Mosić and D. S. Djordjević, Weighted pre-orders involving the generalized Drazin inverse, Appl. Math. Comput. 270 (2015), 496–504. DOI MR Zbl
- [15] D. Mosić and P. S. Stanimirović, Strong weighted GDMP inverse for operators, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 50 no. 3 (2024), Paper No. 43. DOI MR Zbl
- [16] D. Mosić and L. Wang, Left and right G-outer inverses, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70 no. 17 (2022), 3319–3344. DOI MR Zbl
- [17] H. WANG and X. LIU, Partial orders based on core-nilpotent decomposition, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 488 (2016), 235–248. DOI MR Zbl
- [18] Y. Wei, Integral representation of the W-weighted Drazin inverse, Appl. Math. Comput. 144 no. 1 (2003), 3–10. DOI MR Zbl

D. E. Ferreyra [⊠]

CONICET, Departamento de Matemática, FCEFQyN, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Km 601, 5800 Río Cuarto, Argentina deferreyra@exa.unrc.edu.ar

D. Mosić

Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia dijana@pmf.ni.ac.rs

A. N. Priori

Departamento de Matemática, FCEFQyN, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Km 601, 5800 Río Cuarto, Argentina apriori@exa.unrc.edu.ar

M. L. Llanes

Departamento de Matemática, FCEFQyN, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Km 601, 5800 Río Cuarto, Argentina mllanes@exa.unrc.edu.ar

Received: October 30, 2024 Accepted: March 3, 2025 Early view: March 16, 2025