

A NOTE ON THE MAXIMALITY OF THE IDEAL
 OF COMPACT OPERATORS

by H. Porta

Let A, B be rings, and \mathcal{L} and A - B -bimodule, i.e., \mathcal{L} is a left A -module and a right B -module and moreover $s(tu) = (st)u$ for $s \in A$, $t \in \mathcal{L}$ and $u \in B$. A subset $C \subset \mathcal{L}$ is a sub-bimodule if it is an additive subgroup and satisfies $sku \in C$ whenever $k \in C$ and $s \in A$, $u \in B$. If E, F are Banach spaces, we shall denote the space of bounded linear operators $T: E \rightarrow F$ by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ (and by $\mathcal{L}(E)$ when $E = F$). Consider the following situation: $A = \mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$, $B = \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, \ell^q)$, where ℓ^r , $1 \leq r < +\infty$ denotes the (real or complex) Banach space of numerical r -summable sequences.

The bimodule structure is defined by composition

$\ell^p \xrightarrow{U} \ell^p \xrightarrow{T} \ell^q \xrightarrow{S} \ell^q$ (we will use capital letters for operators).

It is clear that the set of compact operators $C = C(\ell^p, \ell^q)$ is a sub-bimodule of \mathcal{L} . We aim to make a few remarks on the following results:

- a) if $1 < q < p < +\infty$, then $C = \mathcal{L}$;
- b) if $1 < p = q < +\infty$, then C is a maximal sub-bimodule (= two sided ideal) of \mathcal{L} ;
- c) if $1 < p \leq q < +\infty$, then all sub-bimodules $S \subset \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $C \subset S$ contain necessarily the identity operator $J: \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^q$.

The statements a) and b) are known; a) goes back to Pitt [3] and is in fact a particular case of Th. A2 in [4], b) coincides with Th. 5.1 in [1] and c) seems to be new.

Our goal here is to observe that a modification of known proofs of b) actually yield c) of which b) is a particular case, and that a) is a corollary of b). This last remark would shorten the proof of Th. A2 in [4] and mildly confirms our suspicion that proving c) first has some methodological advantages. We believe (but have been unable to prove) the following:

CONJECTURE: if $1 < p \leq q < +\infty$, then C is a maximal sub-bimodule,

from which c) follows trivially.

The proof of c) above is obtained by restating meanderingly the ingredients of the proofs of Lemma 5.1 in [1] and Lemmas 1 and 2 in [2]. We denote by $\|x\|_s$ the s -norm of $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, i.e.,

$$\|x\|_s = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^s \right)^{1/s}$$

LEMMA. Let $1 < s < +\infty$, $\epsilon_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $x^k \in \ell^s$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and suppose that $x^k \rightarrow 0$ weakly and $\inf \{\|x^k\|_s; k = 1, 2, \dots\} = \delta > 0$. Then there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ and elements $z^k \in \ell^s$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ such that:

i) $\|x^{n_k} - z^k\|_s \leq \epsilon_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$;

ii) the operator $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^s)$ determined by $T_1 e^k = \frac{z^k}{\|z^k\|_s}$

(where e^k is the k th unit vector $(0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ in ℓ^s) is an isometry and the image $E = T_1(\ell^s)$ of T_1 is a complemented subspace of ℓ^s .

Proof. Define $\epsilon'_n = \min(\epsilon_n, \frac{1}{2} \delta)$. For $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^s$ and n a positive integer denote by $P_n x$ the sequence $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. Let now n_1 be large enough for $\|x^1 - P_{n_1} x^1\|_s \leq \epsilon'_1$ to be true and define $z^1 = P_{n_1} x^1$.

Since $x^n \rightarrow 0$ weakly (i.e., coordinate wise) there is an integer n_2 such that $\|P_{n_1} x^{n_2}\|_s \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon'_2$. Choose N such that $\|x^{n_2} - P_N x^{n_2}\|_s \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon'_2$ and define $z^2 = P_N x^{n_2} - P_{n_1} x^{n_2}$. Clearly $\|x^{n_2} - z^2\|_s \leq$

$$\|x^{n_2} - P_N x^{n_2}\|_s + \|P_N x^{n_2} - P_{n_1} x^{n_2}\|_s \leq \epsilon'_2.$$

The procedure can be iterated

in such a way that $\|x^{n_k} - z^k\|_s \leq \epsilon'_k$ and the vectors z^k have disjoint support, i.e., for each n there is at most one k with $z^k_n \neq 0$.

$$\|z^k\|_s \geq \|x^{n_k}\|_s - \|x^{n_k} - z^k\|_s \geq \delta - \frac{1}{2} \delta =$$

$= \frac{1}{2} \delta > 0$, (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 1 in [2].

Proof of a). Let p^* be the conjugate of p defined by $p^* = p/(p - 1)$. First observe that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, \ell^q)$, $1 < p, q < +\infty$, and

$\sum \|Te_k\|_q^{p^*} < +\infty$, then T is compact.

This is obvious because if $P_n \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$ is the projector on the first n coordinates defined above, then for $x \in \ell^p$ we have $\|(T - TP_n)x\|_q =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|(T - TP_n) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k\|_q = \left\| \sum_{k>n} x_k Te_k \right\|_q \leq \sum_{k>n} |x_k| \|Te_k\|_q \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k>n} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k>n} \|Te_k\|_q^{p^*} \right)^{1/p^*} \leq \|x\|_p \left(\sum_{k>n} \|Te_k\|_q^{p^*} \right)^{1/p^*} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $TP_n \rightarrow T$ in the operator norm.

Assume now that S is a sub-bimodule of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, \ell^q)$, $1 < p \leq q < +\infty$ such that $C \subset S$ and $C \neq S$, or equivalently, such that all compact operators belong to S and there is a non-compact $T' \in S$. This means that for some sequence x^1, x^2, \dots weakly convergent to 0 in ℓ^p , we have $\|T'x^n\|_q \geq \delta_1 > 0$ for some δ_1 and all $n = 1, 2, \dots$; then also $\|x^n\|_p \geq \delta > 0$ for some δ and all

$n = 1, 2, \dots$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a sequence $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that $\sum \varepsilon_n^{p^*} = \varepsilon^{p^*}$ and let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ and z^1, z^2, \dots be as in the lemma above, corresponding to these ε_n . It is clear that $\frac{1}{2}\delta \leq \|z^k\|_p \leq \Delta$ for some Δ and all k and therefore the operator T_1 in the lemma can be modified by an invertible diagonal operator $D \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$ in such a way that $S_1 = T_1 D : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ satisfies $S_1 e^k = z^k$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Consider now, for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ arbitrary scalars, the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x^{n_j} \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (x^{n_j} - z^j) \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z^j \right\|_p \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| \|x^{n_j} - z^j\|_p + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z^j \right\|_p \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| \varepsilon_j + \|S_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e^j \right)\|_p \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e^j \right\|_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j^{p^*} \right)^{1/p^*} + \|S_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e^j \right)\|_p \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e^j \right\|_p + \|S_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e^j \right)\|_p.$$

This clearly shows that there is a well defined bounded operator $S: \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ satisfying $Se^k = x^{n_k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and in fact $\|(S - S_1)e^k\|_p = \|x^{n_k} - z^k\|_p \leq \varepsilon_k$. Let now $T'' = T'S \in S$. Setting $y^k = T''e^k = Tx^{n_k} \in \ell^q$ we have $\|y^k\|_q \geq \delta > 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and since $e^k \rightarrow 0$ weakly we also have $y^k \rightarrow 0$ weakly in ℓ^q . Hence the lemma above applies again: let $\{y^{m_k}\}$ be a sub-sequence of $\{y^k\}$ and $\{w^k\}$ satisfy $\|y^{m_k} - w^k\|_q \leq \varepsilon^k$ with $\{w^k\}$ equivalent to the unit basis of ℓ^q . If $S' \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$ is defined by $S'e^k = e^{m_k}$ we obviously have $T = T''S' \in S$ and $Te^k = y^{m_k}$. Let us denote by $U \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ the operator (corresponding to T_1 in the lemma) determined by $Ue^k = w^k$ and by $J: \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^q$ the identity map. We have $\|UJe^k - Te^k\|_q = \|w^k - Te^k\|_q \leq \varepsilon_k$ so that $UJ - T \in \mathcal{L}$ is compact by the first part of this proof. Therefore $UJ = (UJ - T) + T \in S$. But the subspace generated by $\{w^k\}$ being complemented in ℓ^q (see lemma) and isomorphic to ℓ^q , there is a $U' \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ such that $U'U \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ is the identity operator. Then $J = (U'U)J = U'(UJ) \in S$, as claimed.

Proof of a). First let us observe that b) implies that every operator $W \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ of the form $W = W_1W_2$, $W_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, \ell^q)$, $W_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q, \ell^p)$ for some $p \neq q$, must necessarily be compact. In fact, the family M of such operators is a two sided ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ which contains all operators of finite rank. Thus, the closure of M contains $\mathcal{C}(\ell^q)$. But the closure of M is different from $\mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ because the identity in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^q)$ is at distance one from any proper ideal such as M . But \mathcal{C} being maximal by b), it follows that $M\mathcal{C}$ closure $M = \mathcal{C}$.

Assume now that $1 < q < p < +\infty$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, \ell^q)$ is not compact. Then there is a sequence $\{x^n\}$ in ℓ^p such that $x^n \rightarrow 0$ weakly and $\|Tx^n\|_q \geq \delta > 0$ for some δ . It follows that $\|x^n\|_p \geq \delta' > 0$ also for an appropriate δ' . Now we apply the lemma again to produce a

sequence $\{z^k\}$ in ℓ^p such that: i) there is an operator $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$ satisfying $Te^k = z^k$ and ii) z^k is near x^{n_k} , so that also $\|Tz^k\|_q \geq \delta/2$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Consider now the operator $W = W_1W_2$ where $W_1 = T$ and $W_2 = T_1J$ for $J: \ell^q \rightarrow \ell^p$ the identity. From the first remark, W must be compact, and in particular $\|We^k\|_q \rightarrow 0$. But this contradicts $We^k = TT_1Je^k = TT_1e^k = Tz^k \not\rightarrow 0$. Then T is compact, and the proof of a) is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] FELDMAN, I.A., I.C. GOHBERG and A.S. MARKUS, *Normally solvable operators, and ideals associated with them*. Izv. Moldavsk Fil. Akad. Nauk SSSR 10, no.76(1960) 51-69 (Russian). English translation in A.M.S. Transl., Ser.2,61(1967) 63-84.
- [2] PELCZYŃSKI, A., *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19(1960) 209-228.
- [3] PITT, H.R., *A note on bilinear forms*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 11(1936) 174-180.
- [4] ROSENTHAL, H.R., *On quasi-complemented Subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\nu)$* . J. Funct. Anal., 4(1969) 176-214.

University of Illinois at Urbana
Champaign. E.E.U.U.

Recibido en noviembre de 1971.