

ON SMALL SUBMODULES IN THE TOTAL QUOTIENT RING
OF A COMMUTATIVE RING

Manabu Harada*

As a generalization of Nakayama's lemma, we know the concept of small submodules and many authors have studied those submodules in projectives [1], [3], [7] and [8]. In [2] and [4], we have some applications of small submodules to hollow modules. In this short note, we shall study small submodules from a little different point of view.

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and Q the total quotient ring of R . In the first section, we shall show that R is a small submodule in Q as an R -module if and only if every maximal ideal in R contains a non zero-divisor. In the second section, we assume R is a Dedekind domain. Then we shall determine all small submodules in any direct sums of copies of Q as R -modules.

1. COMMUTATIVE RINGS.

Throughout this note we always assume that a ring R is commutative and has the identity. By Q and $D(R)$ (or briefly D) we shall denote the total quotient ring of R and the set of zero-divisors, respectively. We call an element in $R-D$ *regular*.

LEMMA 1. *Let T be an R -submodule in Q such that $Q = R + T$ and $R \cap T$ contains a regular element x . Then $T = Q$.*

Proof. Let $x^{-1} = r + t$; $r \in R$, $t \in T$. Then $1 = rx + tx \in T$ and so $T \supseteq R$ and $T = Q$.

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a commutative ring and Q its total quotient ring. Then R is small in Q as an R -module if and only if every maximal ideal in R contains a regular element.*

Proof. We assume R is not small in Q as an R -module. Then $Q = R + T$ for some R -module T ($\neq Q$). Since $T \cap R$ is an ideal contained in $D(R)$ by Lemma 1, $TQ = Q$. Hence, we may assume that T is an ideal in Q . Let T' be a maximal ideal in Q containing T and put $M = T' \cap R$.

* This work was partially supported by the multinational project on mathematics of O.E.A. in 1976.

Since $T' = MQ$, M is a prime ideal in R which is contained in D . If there exists an ideal M' in R such that $D \supseteq M' \supseteq M$, $Q \neq M'Q \supseteq MQ = T'$. Hence $M = M'$. Next, we shall show that M is a maximal ideal in R . Let N be an ideal in R , which contains properly M . Then N contains a regular element x from the above observation. Let $x^{-1} = r + p(b/a)$; $b, r \in R$, $a \in R - D$ and $p \in M$, since $Q = R + T'$. Then $(1 - rx)a = pbx \in M$ and $a \notin M$. Hence, $(1 - rx) \in M \subseteq N$, which implies $N = R$. Therefore, D contains the maximal ideal M . Conversely, we assume that D contains a maximal ideal B . Let a be any regular element. Then $R = (a) + B$ and so $1 = ra + b$; $r \in R$, $b \in B$. Hence, $a^{-1} = r + ba^{-1} \in R + BQ$. Therefore, $Q = R + BQ$ and $Q \neq BQ$ since $B \subseteq D$.

COROLLARY 3. *Let R be a noetherian ring with Q the total quotient ring. Let $\{P_i\}$ be the set of associated prime ideals of (0) (see [9], p. 211). Then R is an R -small submodule in Q if and only if every P_i is not maximal.*

COROLLARY 4. *Let R be a semi-local ring. Then R is small in Q if and only if $J(R)$ contains a regular element, where $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical.*

The following proposition is a direct consequence from Theorem 2, however we shall give a proof, which is interesting itself.

PROPOSITION 5. *R is small in Q if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- 1) *There exists an ideal containing properly D .*
- 2) *$Q \neq R$ and $J(R) \supseteq D$.*
- 3) *$J(R) \not\subseteq D$.*

Proof. We assume $Q = R + T$ for some R -submodule T . Let a be regular and $a^{-1} = r + t$; $r \in R$, $t \in T$. Then $1 = ra + ta$ and $ta = 1 - ra \in R \cap T$.

1) Let a be in $A - D$, where A is an ideal containing D . If ta is in D , $1 = ra + ta \in A$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, ta is regular and $Q = T$ from Lemma 1.

2) Since $Q \neq R$, there exists a regular element a such that $a^{-1} \notin R$. If ta is in D , $ra = 1 - ta$ has the inverse in R from the assumption. Hence, so does a , which is a contradiction. Therefore, $Q = T$ from Lemma 1.

3) Let a be in $J(R) - D$. Then $ta = 1 - ra$ is an unit in R . Hence, $Q = T$.

COROLLARY 6. *If $Q \neq R$ and R is one of the followings*

- 1) *R is domain,*
 - 2) *R is local and*
 - 3) *(0) is primary,*
- then R is small in Q .*

Proof. It is clear from the above.

We note that all conditions in Proposition 5 are independent each other and if R is artinian, then $Q = R$.

PROPOSITION 7. *We assume R is small in Q and B is an ideal containing a regular element. Then $B^{-n} = \{x \in Q \mid B^n x \subseteq R\}$ is small in Q .*

Proof. Let $Q = B^{-n} + T$ for some R -module T . Since $QB = Q$, $Q = B^n B^{-n} + B^n T \subseteq R + T \subseteq Q$. Hence, $Q = T$.

2. DEDEKIND DOMAINS.

In this section, we assume R is a Dedekind domain.

LEMMA 8. *Let R be a Dedekind domain. Then every R -small submodule in Q is contained in a small submodule of a form $\sum P_i^{-n_i}$, where P_i runs through all maximal ideals and the n_i is a natural number for all i .*

Proof. Let S be an R -small submodule in Q . Then so is $R + S$ by Corollary 6. Hence, we may assume $S \supseteq R$. Now $Q/R = \sum_P \oplus \sum_n P^{-n}/R$ by [5]. Since the $\sum_n P^{-n}/R$ is an uni-serial module, every proper submodule is small in it. Hence, $S/R = \sum_{P_i} \oplus P_i^{-n_i}/R$. Since R is small in Q , $\sum P_i^{-n_i}$ is small in Q from the above.

THEOREM 9. *Let R be a Dedekind domain with Q the quotient field. We put $Q^{(I)} = \sum_I \oplus Q_\alpha$; $Q_\alpha = Q$ and I is any index set. Then every R -small submodule in $Q^{(I)}$ is contained in $\sum \oplus S_{\alpha_i}$, where the S_{α_i} is a small submodule given in Lemma 8 and the converse (cf. [3], Proposition 1 and Remark 3).*

Proof. We assume $S \not\subseteq \sum_J \oplus Q_{\alpha_i}$ for any finite subset J of I and show a contradiction. We put $Q^{(I)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus Q_i \oplus \sum \oplus Q_\beta$. When we consider the projection of $Q^{(I)}$ to $\sum \oplus Q_i$, we may assume $Q^{(I)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus Q_i$. Let p_i be the projection of $Q^{(I)}$ to i th component, we may assume $S \subseteq \sum \oplus R_i$ and $p_i(S) \neq 0$ for all i . First, we consider $S_1 = \{s_1 \in R \mid \text{there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } s = s_1 + s_2 + \dots\}$. Since S_1 is an ideal in R , $S_1 = s_1^{(1)}R + s_1^{(2)}R + \dots + s_1^{(t_1)}R$, ($s_1^{(i)} \neq 0$). Let $s^{(1,i)} = s_1^{(i)} + s_2' + \dots + s_{n(1,i)}'$ be in S . Put $m_1' = \max\{n(1,i)\}$ and take m_2 such that $m_1' < m_2$. Let $S_{m_2} = \{s_{m_2} \in R \mid \text{there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } s = 0 + s_2 + \dots + s_{m_2} + \dots\}$. Since $m_1' < m_2$ and $p_{m_2}(S) \neq 0$, $S_{m_2} \neq 0$. Let $S_{m_2} = s_{m_2}^{(1)}R + s_{m_2}^{(2)}R + \dots + s_{m_2}^{(t_2)}R$ and $s^{(2,i)} =$

$= 0 + \dots + s_{m_2}^{(i)} + \dots + s_{n(2,i)}^{(i)}$, ($s_{m_2}^{(i)} \neq 0$). Put $m_2' = \max \{n(2,i)\}$ and take m_3 such that $m_3 > m_2'$. Let $S_{m_3} = \{s_{m_3} \in R \mid \text{there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } s = 0 + s_2' + \dots + s_{m_2} + \dots + s_{m_3} + \dots\}$.

Repeating those arguments, we obtain a sequence $m_1 = 1, m_2, m_3, \dots$

such that $p(S) \supseteq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus S_{m_i} \supseteq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus s_{m_i}^{(1)} R$, where $p = \sum p_{m_i}$. Then

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus s_{m_i} R$ must be small in $p(Q^{(I)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus Q_{m_i}$. Now, we define

$f: \sum \oplus Q_{m_i} \rightarrow Q$ by setting $f(q_{m_i}) = x^{-i} (s_{m_i}^{(1)})^{-1} q_{m_i}$, where $x \in A - A^2$

for a fixed prime A . Then $f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus s_{m_i}^{(1)} R)$ is not small in Q by Lemma

8, which contradicts the assumption that S is small. Therefore,

$S \subseteq \sum_J \oplus Q_{\alpha}$, for some finite subset J of I . The remaining parts are clear from Lemma 8.

We note that if R is a noetherian U.F.D., then we can obtain a similar results to the above.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 466-485.
- [2] P. FLEURY, *Hollow modules and local endomorphism rings*, Pacific J. 53 (1974), 379-385.
- [3] M. HARADA, *Small submodules in projective modules and semi-T-nilpotent sets*, to appear.
- [4] -----, *On hollow modules*, to appear.
- [5] I. KAPLANSKY, *Modules over Dedekind rings and valuation rings*, Tran. Amer. Soc. 72 (1952), 327-340.
- [6] K. MOTOSE, *A note on perfect rings and semi-perfect rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ. 4 (1969) 67-69.
- [7] R. WARE and J. ZELMANOWITZ, *The radical of the endomorphism ring of a projective module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 15-20.
- [8] R. WARE, *Endomorphism ring of projective modules*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1971), 233-256.
- [9] O. ZARISKI and P. SAMUEL, *Commutative algebra I*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1958.

Osaka City University, Japan.

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.