## ON PERFECT LIE ALGEBRAS

## Enzo R. Gentile and Angel R. Larotonda

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field K. We say that L is perfect if for every ideal I of L is [I,L] = I. Throughout this paper we will assume that K is a field of characteristic O. Examples of perfect Lie algebras are the semisimple's and more generally the semi-direct product of a semisimple Lie algebra by a faithful finite representation of it (See [1], Exercise 4, §6).

In this Note we intend to construct for every non-negative integer m a perfect Lie algebra of dimension 3(m+1) essentially different from those mentioned above. To carry out the construction we make use of the split 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\underline{s}$  with bases e,f,h satisfying:

(0) 
$$[e,h] = 2e \quad [f,h] = -2f \quad [e,f] = h$$

and its irreducible representations.

As it is well known (See [2], Th.12, Chap.III) there exists, for every non-negative integer m, and in the sense of isomorphism, only one irreducible representation V of  $\underline{s}$ , of dimension m+1. V has a (let us call characteristic) basis  $x_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le m$  such that in the representa-

tion 
$$e \longmapsto E$$
  $f \longmapsto F$   $h \longmapsto H$  we have

Let U be an irreducible representation of <u>s</u> of dimension 2m-1 with characteristic basis  $u_k$ ,  $0 \le k \le 2(m-1)$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hu}_{k} &= 2(\text{m-k-1})u_{k} & 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2(\text{m-1}) \\ \text{Fu}_{k} &= u_{k+1} & \text{if } 0 \leqslant k < 2(\text{m-1}) & \text{and } \text{Fu}_{2(\text{m-1})} &= 0 \\ \text{Eu}_{k} &= k(-2\text{m+k+1})u_{k-1} & \text{if } 0 < k \leqslant 2(\text{m-1}) & \text{and } \text{Eu}_{0} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM. For every non-negative integer m, there is a unique (in the sense of isomorphism) structure of perfect Lie algebra over the K-vec-

tor space

$$L = \underline{s} \oplus V \oplus U$$

satisfying all the following conditions:

- i) The structure on s coincides with (0)
- ii)  $\underline{s}$  induces (by the adjoint representation) the representations given by (1) and (2) on V and U respectively
- iii) [V,U] = [U,U] = 0
- iv) [V,V] = U.

*Proof.* We have to define the products  $[x_i, x_i] \in U$ 

(3) 
$$[x_{i},x_{j}] = \sum_{k=0}^{2(m-1)} c_{ij}^{k} \qquad 0 \leq i,j \leq m$$

consistently with the conditions

$$(a_{ij})$$
  $c_{ij}^{k} + c_{ji}^{k} = 0$   $(b_{ij})$   $H.[x_{i},x_{j}] = [Hx_{i},x_{j}] + [x_{i},Hx_{j}]$ 

$$(c_{ij})$$
 F. $[x_i, x_j] = [Fx_i, x_j] + [x_i, Fx_j]$ 

$$(d_{ij})$$
 E.[x<sub>i</sub>,x<sub>j</sub>] = [Ex<sub>i</sub>,x<sub>j</sub>] + [x<sub>i</sub>,Ex<sub>j</sub>].

By a direct computation we get the equivalence

$$(b_{ij})$$
 holds  $\iff$   $c_{ij}^k = 0$  if  $i+j \neq k+1$ .

So, we set

(3') 
$$[x_i, x_j] = q(i,j) u_{i+j-1}, q(i,j) = c_{ij}^{i+j-1}$$

Now conditions  $(c_{ij})$  are equivalent to conditions

LEMMA 1. Conditions  $(c_{ij})$  are equivalent to

$$(c_{ij}^{"}): q(i,j) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{k} (\frac{i}{k}) q(0,j+k).$$

Proof. Assume (c";). We have

$$q(i+1,j) + q(i,j+1) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{i+1} (-1)^k \cdot {i+1 \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+k) + \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^k \cdot {i \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+1+k) =$$

$$= q(0,j) + \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^k \cdot {i+1 \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+k) + \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^k \cdot {i \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+h+1) =$$

$$= q(0,j) + \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{k+1} \cdot {i+1 \choose k+1} \cdot q(0,j+k+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{k} \cdot {i \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+k+1) =$$

$$= q(0,j) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{k+1} \cdot {i \choose k+1} \cdot q(0,j+k+1) =$$

$$= q(0,j) + \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^{k} \cdot {i \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+k) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{k} \cdot {i \choose k} \cdot q(0,j+k) = q(i,j) \cdot$$

Conversely, assume  $(c_{ij}^{"})$ . Notice that  $(c_{0j}^{"})$  holds for every j. We can proceed inductively assuming  $(c_{ij}^{"})$ . A computation as in the first part of Lemma 1 gives  $(c_{(i+1)j}^{"})$  and so we are done.

As a consequence of Lemma 1 we have that the q(i,j)'s are uniquely determined by the q(0,j)'s consistently with  $(b_{ij})$  and  $(c_{ij})$ .

Next we see that the q(0,j)'s, 0 < j, are uniquely determined by conditions  $(d_{0j})$ . In fact,

$$E.[x_0,x_j] = q(0,j).Eu_{j-1} = q(0,j)(j-1)(-2m+j)u_{j-2}$$
, and

$$[Ex_0, x_j] + [x_0, Ex_j] = [x_0, Ex_j] = j(-m+j-1).[x_0, x_{j-1}] =$$

$$= j(-m+j-1)q(0,j-1)u_{j-2} \quad \text{that is} \quad (j-1)(2m-j)q(0,j) = j(m-j+1)q(0,j-1) \quad 0 < j.$$

Therefore

(4) 
$$q(0,j) = j \cdot \frac{(2m-j-1)!}{(m-j)!} \cdot \frac{(m-1)!}{(2m-2)!} q(0,1) \qquad 0 < j$$
$$= j \cdot \frac{(2m-j-1)!}{(m-j)!} \cdot a \qquad (a = \frac{(m-1)!}{(2m-2)!} q(0,1))$$

Next we define

$$q(0,j)$$
 ,  $0 < j \le m$  according to (4) 
$$q(0,0) = 0$$
 
$$q(j,0) = -q(0,j)$$
 ,  $0 \le j \le m$ .

The coefficients q(i,j)'s are so defined in a unique way (up to the constant factor q(0,1) consistently with conditions  $(a_{0j})$ ,  $(a_{j0})$ ,  $(b_{ij})$ ,  $(c_{ij})$ ,  $(d_{0j})$ ,  $(d_{j0})$  for all  $0 \le i,j \le m$ .

We have to verify the consistency with the remaining conditions.

*Proof.* i) Induction over i . 
$$c_{0j}^{j-1} = q(0,j) = -q(j,0) = -c_{j0}^{j-1}$$
.

Assume 
$$(a_{ij})$$
. Then  $q(i+1,j) + q(i,j+1) = q(i,j)$ 
$$q(j+1,i) + q(j,i+1) = q(j,i)$$

and adding we get q(i+1,j) + q(j,i+1) = 0, that is  $(a_{(i+1)j})$ .

ii) Induction over i. Case  $(d_{0j})$  is true. Assume  $(d_{(i-1)j})$ . Then,

$$E.[x_{i},x_{j}] - [Ex_{i},x_{j}] - [x_{i},Ex_{j}] = E.[Fx_{i-1},x_{j}] - [EFx_{i-1},x_{j}] - [x_{i},Ex_{j}]$$

= 
$$E(F.[x_{i-1},x_j] - [x_{i-1},Fx_j]) - [EFx_{i-1},x_j] - [x_i.Ex_j] =$$

= 
$$FE.[x_{i-1},x_j] + H.[x_{i-1},x_j] - E.[x_{i-1},Fx_j] - [EFx_{i-1},x_j] - [x_i,Ex_j] =$$

= 
$$F([Ex_{i-1},x_j] + [x_{i-1},Ex_j]) + H.[x_{i-1},x_j] - [Ex_{i-1},Fx_j] - [EFx_{i-1},x_j]$$

$$-[x_{i-1},EFx_i] - [x_i,Ex_i] =$$

= 
$$[FEx_{i-1}, x_j] + [Ex_{i-1}, Fx_j] + [Fx_{i-1}, Ex_j] + [x_{i-1}, FEx_j] + H.[x_{i-1}, x_j]$$

- 
$$[Ex_{i-1}, Fx_j]$$
 -  $[FEx_{i-1}, x_j]$  -  $[Hx_{i-1}, x_j]$  -  $[x_{i-1}, FEx_j]$  -  $[x_{i-1}, Hx_j]$  -

$$-[x_{i}, Ex_{j}] = [x_{i}, Ex_{j}] - [x_{i}, Ex_{j}] = 0.$$

We now pass to define a Lie algebra structure on  $L = \underline{s} \oplus V \oplus U$ .

We define products  $\underline{s} \times \underline{s} \longrightarrow \underline{s}$ ,  $\underline{s} \times V \longrightarrow V$ ,  $\underline{s} \times U \longrightarrow U$ ,  $V \times U \longrightarrow 0$  UxU  $\longrightarrow 0$  such that conditions i), ii) and iii) of Theorem are satisfied. We define a product  $V \times V \longrightarrow U$  by (3') where the q(i,j)'s are determined by  $(c_{ij})$ , (4) and (5) giving to q(0,1) any non-zero value.

In this way we get a Lie algebra structure on L and we claim that condition iv) of the Theorem is satisfied. In fact,  $\underline{\mathbf{n}} = V \oplus U$  is an ideal of L (the radical) satisfying  $\underline{\mathbf{n}}^2 \subset U$ . Moreover  $\underline{\mathbf{n}}^2 \neq 0$  since it contains the products  $[x_0, x_j] = q(0,j) \ u_{j-1}$  and  $q(0,j) \neq 0$ , 0 < j. Since  $\underline{\mathbf{n}}^2$  is an ideal of L is stable under  $\underline{\mathbf{s}}$ . But the representation U is irreducible, so  $V^2 = \underline{\mathbf{n}}^2 = U$ . The uniqueness in the Theorem follows clearly from the uniqueness in which coefficients q(i,j) are determined.

We have finally to prove that L so defined is a perfect Lie algebra. To this end observe that the adjoint representation of  $\underline{s}$  on L is faithful and completely reducible. Therefore if I is an ideal of L we have  $[\underline{s}, I] = I$  and, a fortiori, [L, I] = I.

REMARKS. We now add some remarks on perfect Lie algebras and their Lie algebra of derivations.

- 1) Let L be a perfect Lie algebra. Then its radical is nilpotent. In fact, let  $\underline{r}$  and  $\underline{n}$  denote respectively the radical and the nilpotent radical. For any x in L we have  $\operatorname{ad}_L(x)(\underline{r}) \subset \underline{n}$ . Therefore  $\underline{r} = [\underline{r},L] \subset \underline{n}$ , that is  $\underline{r} = \underline{n}$ .
- 2) Let D(L) denote the Lie algebra of derivations of a perfect Lie algebra. Then D(D(L)) = D(L). In fact, observe that the center of L is 0. Our claim follows from the Schenkman's derivation tower theorem (See [2], Chap.II, Ex.16).
- 3) Let L be one of the perfect Lie algebras constructed above. Then

 $L \neq D(L)$ , that is, L has outer derivations. In fact, the radical of L is a (nilpotent) quasy-cyclic Lie algebra in the sense of Leger (See [3], pag.145). Therefore Theorem 5 of [3] applies and we have then our claim.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. BOURBAKI. Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chap.I, Paris (1960).
- [2] N. JACOBSON, Lie Algebras, Interscience (1962).
- [3] S. TÔGÔ, *Derivations of Lie algebras*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I, 28, 133-158 (1964).
- [4] E.R. GENTILE and A.R. LAROTONDA, On perfect Lie Algebras, Preprint, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad de Buenos Aires (1967).

Universidad de Buenos Aires Argentina.