

A CHARACTERIZATION OF PRÜFER RINGS

Angel V. Oneto

ABSTRACT. Let R be a domain. In this paper we prove the following result: R is a Prüfer ring if and only if, for every finitely generated R -module M , $t(M)$ (the torsion submodule) is a pure submodule of M . This has as corollary a theorem of Kaplansky characterizing Prüfer rings.

Through this paper we assume R is a commutative ring with identity 1. We recall first, for reference, the definition and a characterization of pure submodules ([1], 2, ex. 24. a.) ([2], th. 2.4.).

DEFINITION. An exact sequence of R -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{p} M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

is called pure exact (also we say that M' is a pure submodule of M) iff for every R -module N , the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow N \otimes_R M' \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i} N \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes p} N \otimes_R M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

LEMMA. Every direct summand is a pure submodule.

THEOREM 1. Let M be an R -module and M' a submodule of M . M' is a pure submodule of M if and only if, for every finite family $(a'_j)_{j=1}^n \subset M'$ such that $a'_j = \sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij} a_i$ ($a_i \in M$, $r_{ij} \in R$, $j = 1, \dots, n$), there exist a family $(b'_i)_{i=1}^m \subset M'$ such that:

$$a'_j = \sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij} b'_i \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

In this paper we give the following characterization of Prüfer rings.

THEOREM 2. Let R be a domain. R is Prüfer if and only if for every R -module M of finite type, the torsion submodule $t(M)$ is a pure submodule of M .

Before proving theorem 2, let us show that it has as corollary the following theorem ([3]):

THEOREM 3. Let R be a domain. R is a Prüfer ring if and only if, for

every R -module M of finite type, $t(M)$ is a direct summand of M .

Proof. The non trivial part (the implication for the left) follows from the lemma and theorem 2.

Proof of theorem 2. If R is Prüfer, $\frac{M}{t(M)}$ is projective, then the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow t(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \frac{M}{t(M)} \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, so is pure exact.

For the converse we use the fact that R Prüfer is equivalent to say that every finitely generated ideal $I \neq 0$ of R is invertible. Let $I \neq 0$ an ideal of R generated by a_1, \dots, a_n with, say, $a_1 \neq 0$. Put:

$$M = \frac{R^n}{(a_1(a_2, \dots, a_n))}$$

We have $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in t(M)$, and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{e}_i$ where (e_i) is the canonical base of R^n . Being, by hypothesis, $t(M)$ a pure submodule of M , by theorem 1 there exist $p_{ij} \in R$ with $(p_{i1}, \dots, p_{in}) \in t(M)$, such that:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (p_{i1}, \dots, p_{in})$$

In particular:

$$(1) \quad a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_{i1} + r a_1 a_1 \quad (r \in R)$$

We have $(p_{i1}, \dots, p_{in}) \in t(M)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then there must exist $r_i, s_i \in R$, $r_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that:

$$(2) \quad r_i p_{ij} = s_i a_1 a_j \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

Replacing $p_{i1} = \frac{s_i a_1 a_1}{r_i}$ in (1) and using the fact that $a_1 \neq 0$, we obtain:

$$1 = (r + \frac{s_1 a_1}{r_1}) a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{s_i a_1}{r_i} a_i$$

To conclude the proof we only have to verify that:

$$\frac{s_i a_1}{r_i} a_j \in R \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

but this is clear from (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] BOURBAKI, N., *Alg. Commut.*, Chap. 1.
- [2] COHN, P.M., *On the free products of associative rings*, *Math. Zeit.* t. 71 - 1959 p.380-398.
- [3] KAPLANSKY, I., *A characterization of Prüfer rings*, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 24 (1960), 279-281 (1961).

Universidad del Zulia
Maracaibo-Venezuela.