

## MULTIPLICATIVE INTEGRALS AND GEOMETRY OF SPACES OF PROJECTIONS

G. CORACH, H. PÓRТА and L. RECHT

*Dedicated to Mischa Cotlar.*

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a (real or complex) Banach algebra with identity and

$$Q_n = \{q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in A^n: q_k^2 = q_k, q_i q_k = 0 \text{ if } i \neq k, \sum_{k=1}^n q_k = 1\}.$$

For  $q_0 = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in Q_n$  the map  $\pi: G \rightarrow Q_n$   $\pi(g) = gq_0g^{-1}$  ( $G$  denotes the group of units of  $A$ ) defines a principal fibre bundle over its image (the "joint similarity orbit" of  $q_0$ , with the terminology of [CH]); in particular, curves  $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Q_n$  with origin  $q_0$ , admit a lift  $\Gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow G$ , i.e.  $\Gamma(t)q_0\Gamma(t)^{-1} = \gamma(t)$  for all  $t \in [0,1]$  (see [CPR<sub>1</sub>]).

We shall construct, for  $\gamma$  continuous and rectifiable, an explicit lift  $\Gamma$ , which turns to be the horizontal lift of  $\gamma$  for a natural connection on  $Q_n$  introduced in [CPR<sub>1</sub>]. An analogous result is obtained for the space  $S_q = \{(a,b) \in A \times A: aq = a, qb = b, ba = q\}$  ( $q$  is a fixed idempotent of  $A$ ). Given Banach spaces  $E$  and  $F$  the set  $S(E,F) = \{(i,j) \in L(E,F) \times L(F,E): ji = 1_E\}$  (considered in [Do] and [Ko]), has the form  $S_q$ , when it is non-empty: in fact, if  $(i_0, j_0) \in S(E,F)$ ,  $q = i_0 j_0$  is an idempotent of  $A = L(F)$  and the map  $S(E,F) \rightarrow S_q$   $(i,j) \mapsto (ij_0, i_0j)$  identifies both spaces. For a fixed  $(a_0, b_0) \in S_q$  the map  $\pi': G \rightarrow S_q$ ,  $\pi'(g) = (ga_0, b_0g^{-1})$  is another principal fibre

bundle over its image. As in the case of  $Q_n$  a procedure for producing lifts of rectifiable curves  $[0,1] \rightarrow S_q$ , is obtained.

The main advantage of these constructions consists in the fact that they are explicit. They are special cases of the multiplicative integrals of [Po]. The case  $n=1$  has been considered in [PR]. The reader is also referred to [CPR<sub>1</sub>], [CPR<sub>2</sub>] and [CPR<sub>3</sub>] for a geometrical study of  $Q(=Q_1)$ ,  $Q_n$  and  $S_q$ . Earlier facts on  $Q_n$  can be found in [Ka<sub>2</sub>], [Da], [Ka<sub>1</sub>, Ch. II, §4], [DK].

## §1. LIFTING RECTIFIABLE CURVES

Let  $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow Q_n$  be a continuous rectifiable curve. Our first objective is to construct for every  $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$  an invertible element  $M_\alpha^t \gamma$  such that

$$(M_\alpha^t \gamma) \gamma(\alpha) (M_\alpha^t \gamma)^{-1} = \gamma(t) \text{ for all } t \in [\alpha, \beta].$$

The idea of the construction is the following: given  $q, r$  in  $Q_n$  close enough, it is easy to obtain an invertible  $g$  such that  $gqg^{-1} = r$ ; now, taking a partition  $T$  of  $[\alpha, t]$ ,  $T: \alpha = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n = t$  fine enough such that  $q^{(i)} = \gamma(t_i)$  is near to  $q^{(i+1)}$ , we get for each  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$  an invertible  $g_i$  such that  $g_i q^{(i)} g_{i+1} = q^{(i+1)}$ ; thus  $g = g_T = g_n g_{n-1} \dots g_0 \in G$  conjugates  $q^{(0)} = \gamma(\alpha)$  with  $q^{(n)} = \gamma(t)$ ; the difficult task is to prove that  $\Gamma(t) = \lim_{\|T\| \rightarrow 0} g_T$  exists.

Given  $q, r \in Q_n$  we write  $L(q, r) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k r_k$ .

**1.1. PROPOSITION.** For  $q, r, s, q^{(0)}, \dots, q^{(m)}$  in  $Q_n$  the following properties hold

- (i)  $L(q, q) = 1$
- (ii)  $qL(q, r) = L(q, r)r$
- (iii)  $L(q, r) - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n q_i (r_i - q_i)$

$$(iv) \quad L(q^{(0)}, q^{(1)})L(q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}) \dots L(q^{(m-1)}, q^{(m)}) = \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k^{(0)} q_k^{(1)} \dots q_k^{(m)}$$

$$(v) \quad L(q^{(0)}, q^{(1)}) \dots L(q^{(m-1)}, q^{(m)}) - L(q^{(0)}, q^{(m)}) = \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k^{(0)} (q_k^{(0)} - q_k^{(i)}) (q_k^{(i)} - q_k^{(i+1)}) ;$$

$$(vi) \quad L(q, r)L(r, s) - L(q, s) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k (q_k - r_k) (r_k - s_k).$$

We omit the proof, which is straightforward; just observe for (v) that, given idempotents  $p_0, \dots, p_m$  in  $A$ , by induction on  $n$  it follows that

$$p_0 p_1 \dots p_{m-1} p_m - p_0 p_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} p_0 (p_0 - p_i) (p_i - p_{i+1}).$$

REMARK.  $L(q, r)$  will be the invertible  $g$  mentioned at the beginning of this section.

1.2 PROPOSITION. For each  $K > 0$  there exists  $K_1 > 0$  such that, for every  $q, r, s, q^{(0)}, \dots, q^{(m)}$  in  $Q_n$  with norm at most  $K$  it holds that

- (i)  $\|q_n\| \leq K_1 \quad (k \leq n)$
- (ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^n \|q_k - r_k\| \leq K_1 \|q - r\|$
- (iii)  $\|L(q, r)\| \leq \exp(K_1^2 \|q - r\|)$  and therefore  
 $\|L(q^{(0)}, q^{(1)}) \dots L(q^{(m-1)}, q^{(m)})\| \leq \exp(K_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \|q^{(i)} - q^{(i-1)}\|)$
- (iv)  $\|L(q^{(0)}, q^{(1)}) \dots L(q^{(m-1)}, q^{(m)}) - L(q^{(0)}, q^{(m)})\| \leq \\ \leq K_1^3 \max_i \|q^{(0)} - q^{(i)}\| \sum_{i=1}^m \|q^{(i)} - q^{(i-1)}\|$

and when  $m=2$ ,

$$(v) \quad \|L(q, r)L(r, s) - L(q, s)\| \leq K_1^3 \|q - r\| \|r - s\|.$$

(The norm we use in  $Q_n \subset A^n$  is  $\|q\| = \max_{k \leq n} \|q_k\|$ ).

Proof. Take  $K_1 = \max\{n, K\}$ . Then, (i) and (ii) follow easily.

In order to prove (iii) write  $L(q, r) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n q_k (r_k - q_k)$ ; using

(i) and (ii)

$$\|L(q, r)\| \leq 1 + K_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \|r_k - q_k\| \leq 1 + K_1^2 \|r - q\| \leq \exp(K_1^2 \|q - r\|)$$

Finally, 1.2 (v) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L(q^{(0)}, q^{(1)}) \dots L(q^{(m-1)}, q^{(m)}) - L(q^{(0)}, q^{(m)})\| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i,k} K_1 \|q_k^{(0)} - q_k^{(i)}\| \|q_k^{(i)} - q_k^{(i+1)}\| \leq \\ & \leq K_1^3 \max_i \|q^{(0)} - q^{(i)}\| \sum_i \|q_i - q_{i-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow Q_n$  is a (continuous) path and let  $K = \max(\|\gamma(t)\|: \alpha \leq t \leq \beta)$ . It follows from 1.2 that there is  $K_1$  such that all conclusions there in hold for elements of the form  $\gamma(t)$  with  $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ . We will use this repeatedly in the proofs below.

Consider a partition  $T: \alpha \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = \beta$  and set  $\gamma_k = \gamma(t_k)$ . We recall that  $\gamma$  has finite length if

$\sup \sum_k \|\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1}\|$  is finite (Sup taken over all partitions); we denote  $\ell(\gamma) = \text{length}(\gamma) = \sup \sum_k \|\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1}\|$ . More precisely, if  $\alpha < u < v < \beta$  we will denote by  $\ell(\gamma|_{[u,v]})$  the length of the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $[u, v]$ , i.e. the sup taken over all partitions of  $[u, v]$ .

Given a path  $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow Q_n$  and a partition  $T: \alpha \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m \leq \beta$  we define

$$M(T) = M(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m) = L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0).$$

We prove next a series of lemmas that lead to the first theorem of this section whose statement is:  $\lim M(T)$  exists for a path of finite length.

We assume from now on that  $\gamma$  has finite length, with  $K = \max \|\gamma(t)\|$ ,  $\ell = \text{length}(\gamma)$ .

Recall that  $K_1$  is the number of 1.2.; we set  $K_2 = \exp(K_1^2)$ .

1.3. LEMMA. For all  $T$   $\|M(T)\| \leq K_2$ .

The proof follows easily from 1.1.(iii).

Consider now the partition obtained by adding a new partition point  $v$  between  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ , i.e.  $T'$ :  $\alpha \leq t_0 \leq v \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m \leq \beta$ .

1.4. LEMMA.  $\|M(T') - M(T)\| \leq K_1^3 K_2 \|\gamma_1 - \gamma(v)\| \|\gamma(v) - \gamma_0\|$ .

*Proof.* Write

$$\begin{aligned} M(T') - M(T) &= L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_1, \gamma(v)) L(\gamma(v), \gamma_0) - \\ &\quad - L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0) = \\ &= M(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) [L(\gamma_1, \gamma(v)) L(\gamma(v), \gamma_0) - L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0)] \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, using 1.1 (v) and 1.3

$$\|M(T') - M(T)\| \leq K_2 K_1^3 \|\gamma_1 - \gamma(v)\| \|\gamma(v) - \gamma_0\|$$

as desired.

Suppose now that  $S$ :  $\alpha \leq s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_\ell \leq \beta$  is a partition finer than  $T$ :  $\alpha \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m \leq \beta$ . In order to prove the next lemma we will label the partition points as follows:

$$\alpha \leq s_0 \leq t_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_h = t_1 \leq s_{h+1} \leq \dots \leq s_k = t_2 \leq \dots \text{ etc.}$$

1.5. LEMMA.  $\|M(S) - M(T)\| \leq K_1^3 K_2 \ell \max_j \{\ell(\gamma| [t_j, t_{j+1}])\}$ .

*Proof.* Write

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= M(s_0, \dots, s_h), \quad x_1 = M(s_h, \dots, s_k), \text{ etc.}, \\ \gamma_0 &= M(t_0, t_1), \\ \gamma_1 &= M(t_1, t_2), \text{ etc.}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w = M(S) - M(T).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} W &= x_{m-1}x_{m-2}\dots x_0 - y_{m-1}y_{m-2}\dots y_0 = \\ &= x_{m-1}\dots x_1(x_0 - y_0) + x_{m-1}\dots x_2(x_1 - y_1)y_0 + \dots + \\ &+ x_{m-1}\dots x_{j+1}(x_j - y_j)y_{j-1}\dots y_0 + \dots + (x_{m-1} - y_{m-1})y_{m-2}\dots y_0 \end{aligned}$$

and also  $x_{m-1}\dots x_{j+1} = M(s_q, s_{q+1}, \dots, s_m)$  with  $s_q = t_{j+1}$  and  $y_{j-1}\dots y_0 = M(t_0, \dots, t_j)$ .

Therefore, using 1.3

$$\|w\| \leq K_2^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j - y_j\|.$$

We can write  $x_j - y_j = M(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r) - M(u_0, u_r)$  where  $u_0, \dots, u_r$  denote the  $s_j$  between  $t_j$  and  $t_{j+1}$ , so that in particular  $u_0 = t_j$ ,  $u_r = t_{j+1}$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} x_j - y_j &= M(u_0, \dots, u_r) - M(u_0, u_2, \dots, u_r) + M(u_0, u_2, \dots, u_r) - \\ &\dots + M(u_0, u_{r-1}, u_r) - M(u_0, u_r), \end{aligned}$$

and to each difference we apply 1.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|M(u_0, u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_r) - M(u_0, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_r)\| &\leq \\ &\leq K_1^3 K_2 \|\gamma(u_{i+1}) - \gamma(u_i)\| \|\gamma(u_i) - \gamma(u_0)\| \leq \\ &\leq K_1^3 K_2 \ell(\gamma| [t_j, t_{j+1}]) \|\gamma(u_{i+1}) - \gamma(u_i)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|x_j - y_j\| \leq K_1^3 K_2 \ell(\gamma| [t_j, t_{j+1}])^2$  and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\| &\leq K_1^3 K_2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \ell(\gamma| [t_j, t_{j+1}]) \leq \\ &\leq K_1^3 K_2 \ell \max_j \ell(\gamma| [t_j, t_{j+1}]), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.

The results of the next lemma are well-known.

1.6. LEMMA. Let  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$  be a continuous path with fini

te length in a Banach space and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Then:

- (i) there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\alpha = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m = \beta$  is a partition of  $[\alpha, \beta]$  with  $\max_k |t_k - t_{k-1}| < \delta$  then
- $$\ell(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^m \|\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})\|;$$
- (ii) there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that if  $[u, v] \subset [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $0 \leq v - u \leq \delta'$  then  $\ell(\gamma|_{[u, v]}) \leq \varepsilon$ .

1.7. THEOREM. Let  $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow Q_n$  be a continuous path with finite length. Then, there is an element  $M_\alpha^\beta \gamma$  when  $\max_k |t_k - t_{k-1}| \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  be a sequence of partitions of  $[\alpha, \beta]$  such that  $T_{m+1}$  is finer than  $T_m$  and with  $|T_m| \rightarrow 0$ . From 1.5 and 1.6 (ii) it follows that  $\{M(T_m)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A$ . Let  $M_\alpha^\beta \gamma = \lim M(T_m)$ .

Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By 1.6 (ii) there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 \leq v - u \leq \delta$  implies  $K_1^3 K_2 \ell(\gamma|_{[u, v]}) \leq \varepsilon$ . Assume now that  $W$  is any partition of  $[\alpha, \beta]$  with  $|W| \leq \delta$ . We can write

$$(\ast) \quad \begin{aligned} \|M_\alpha^\beta \gamma - M(W)\| &\leq \|M_\alpha^\beta \gamma - M(T_m)\| + \|M(T_m) - M(T_m \cup W)\| + \\ &\quad + \|M(T_m \cup W) - M(W)\|. \end{aligned}$$

From 1.5 with  $T=W$  and  $S = T_m \cup W$  we get

$$\|M(T_m \cup W) - M(W)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m;$$

again from 1.5 with  $T=T_m$  and  $S = T_m \cup W$  and using 1.6 we get

$$\lim_m \|M(T_m) - M(T_m \cup W)\| = 0.$$

Hence taking limit for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $(\ast)$  we obtain  $\|M_\alpha^\beta \gamma - M(W)\| \leq \varepsilon$  and this concludes the proof.

Clearly, by considering the restrictions of  $\gamma$  to subintervals

$[u, v] \subset [\alpha, \beta]$  we will have elements  $M_u^v \gamma$ .

1.8. COROLLARY. If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of Banach algebras preserving the identities, then  $f(M_\alpha^\beta \gamma) = M_\alpha^\beta (f \circ \gamma)$ . In particular, for every  $g \in G(A)$   $g(M_\alpha^\beta \gamma) g^{-1} = M_\alpha^\beta (g \gamma g^{-1})$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that  $f(M_\gamma(T)) = M_{f \circ \gamma}(T)$  for each partition  $T$  and apply the theorem.

1.9. PROPOSITION. For each  $[u, v] \subset [\alpha, \beta]$  the element  $M_u^v \gamma$  is invertible in  $A$  and  $(M_u^v \gamma)^{-1} = M_u^v \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the opposite path of  $\gamma$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(t) = \gamma(u+v-t)$ . Moreover,  $M_u^v \gamma = 1$  ( $u \in [\alpha, \beta]$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $T: u = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m = v$  be a partition of  $[u, v]$  and  $S$  the "opposite partition", i.e.,  $s_k = u+v-t_{m-k}$ . Then  $(M_u^v \gamma)(M_u^v \sigma) = \lim M_\gamma(T) \cdot M_\sigma(S)$  as  $T$  gets finer, where the notation  $M_\gamma(T)$ ,  $M_\sigma(S)$  is self-explanatory.

Clearly  $M_\gamma(T) M_\sigma(S) = L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \cdot L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_{m-2}) \dots L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0) \cdot L(\sigma_m, \sigma_{m-1}) \cdot L(\sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_{m-2}) \dots L(\sigma_1, \sigma_0) = L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0) \cdot L(\gamma_0, \gamma_1) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m)$  where  $\gamma_j = \gamma(t_j)$  and  $\sigma_k = \sigma(s_k)$ . Now, for  $p, q$  in  $P_n$  it holds  $L(p, q)L(q, p) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(q_i - p_i)^2 p_i$  so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_\gamma(T) M_\sigma(S) &= L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0) L(\gamma_0, \gamma_1) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m) = \\ &= L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_1^{(i)} (\gamma_0^{(i)} - \gamma_1^{(2)})^2 \gamma_1^{(i)} \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot L(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m) = \\ &= L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m) - \\ &\quad - L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_0^{(i)} - \gamma_1^{(i)})^2 \gamma_1^{(i)} \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot L(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m). \end{aligned}$$



We can apply the same device to the central term  $L(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \cdot L(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  so we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_\gamma(T)M_\sigma(S) &= L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_3, \gamma_2)L(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m) - \\ &\quad - L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_3, \gamma_2) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_2^{(i)} (\gamma_1^{(i)} - \gamma_2^{(i)})^2 \gamma_2^{(i)} \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot L(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m) - \\ &\quad - L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_1^{(i)} (\gamma_0^{(i)} - \gamma_1^{(i)})^2 \gamma_1^{(i)} \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot L(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \dots L(\gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m). \end{aligned}$$

After  $m$  steps we reach, using 1.1 (iv)  $m$  times,

$$M_\gamma(T)M_\sigma(S) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} M_\gamma(T_i) (\gamma_k^{(i)} - \gamma_k^{(i+1)})^2 M_\gamma(S_i)$$

where  $T_j: t_j \leq t_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq t_m$  and

$$S_j: s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_{n-j-1}.$$

Therefore, taking norms and using 1.3

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\gamma(T)M_\sigma(S) - 1\| &\leq K_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|\gamma_k^{(i)} - \gamma_k^{(i+1)}\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq K_2^2 \cdot n \max_i \ell(\gamma_i | [u, v]) \max_{i,k} \|\gamma_k^{(i)} - \gamma_k^{(i+1)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as  $T$  gets finer, we conclude from the uniform continuity of  $\gamma$  that

$$\max_{i,k} \|\gamma_k^{(i)} - \gamma_k^{(i+1)}\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $\lim M_\gamma(T)M_\sigma(S) = 1$ , as claimed.

For  $u \leq v$  in  $[\alpha, \beta]$  we define

$$M_v^u \gamma = (M_u^v \gamma)^{-1}.$$

With this definition we are allowed to write  $M_u^v \gamma$  for any pair  $u, v$  in  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

1.10. PROPOSITION.  $(M_u^v \gamma)(M_v^w \gamma) = M_u^w \gamma$  for all  $u, v, w$  in  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $w \leq u \leq v$ . Let  $T$  and  $S$  be partitions of  $[w, u]$  and  $[u, v]$ , respectively. Clearly  $M(S)M(T) = M(T \cup S)$  and taking limits we obtain the desired formula. All other cases reduce to this. For instance, when  $w \leq v \leq u$  we get  $M_w^u \gamma = M_w^u \gamma \cdot M_w^v \gamma$ , whence  $(M_v^u \gamma)^{-1} M_w^u \gamma = M_w^v \gamma$ ; but  $M_u^v \gamma = (M_v^u \gamma)^{-1}$  and the formula follows.

The next result proves the lifting property of  $M_u^v \gamma$ .

1.11. THEOREM.  $(M_u^v \gamma) \gamma(u) (M_u^v \gamma)^{-1} = \gamma(v)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T: t_0 = u \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m = v$  be a partition of  $[u, v]$ ; then

$$M(T) = L(\gamma_m, \gamma_{m-1}) \dots L(\gamma_1, \gamma_0)$$

and each  $L(\gamma_j, \gamma_{j-1})$  is invertible if  $T$  is fine enough. Then, using 1.1 (ii)  $m$  times,

$$M(T) \gamma(u) M(T)^{-1} = M(T) \gamma_0 M(T)^{-1} = \gamma(v)$$

and the theorem follows taking the limit as  $T$  gets finer.

If we define, for each  $t$  in  $[\alpha, \beta]$ ,

$$\Gamma(t) = M_a^t \gamma,$$

we summarize the properties of  $M_u^v \gamma$  as follows.

1.12. THEOREM. (i)  $\Gamma$  is a function from  $[\alpha, \beta]$  into  $G$  with  $\Gamma(\alpha) = 1$ ; (ii) ("Barrow's rule")  $M_u^v \gamma = \Gamma(v) \Gamma(u)^{-1}$  ( $u, v \in [\alpha, \beta]$ ); (iii)  $\gamma(t) = \Gamma(t) \gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(t)^{-1}$  ( $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ ).

Finally, we prove  $\Gamma$  coincides with the lifting of  $\gamma$

exhibited in  $[CPR_1]$ , when  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$ .

1.13. THEOREM. (i) If  $\gamma$  is a continuous and rectifiable path in  $Q_n$  then  $\Gamma$  is continuous and rectifiable in  $G$ ;

(ii) If  $\gamma$  is a  $C^1$  path in  $Q_n$  then  $\Gamma$  is also a  $C^1$  path in  $G$  and satisfies  $\dot{\Gamma} = (\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\gamma}_i \gamma_i) \Gamma$ , to  $\gamma$ .

We need a lemma

1.14. LEMMA. If  $\gamma$  is a path of class  $C^1$  and  $T: u = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m = v$  is a partition, then

$$\|M(T) - M(u, v)\| \leq (v - u) K_2 \|\dot{\gamma}\|_{\infty} \ell(\gamma| [u, v]).$$

*Proof.* Write

$$\begin{aligned} M(T) - M(u, v) &= M(t_0, \dots, t_m) - M(t_0, t_m) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} M(t_0, t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_m) - M(t_0, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_m). \end{aligned}$$

From 1.3 and 1.4 each term can be majorized by

$$K \ell(\gamma| [u, v]) \|\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j\| \quad (\text{for } K = K_1^3 K_2)$$

so that

$$\|M(T) - M(u, v)\| \leq K \ell(\gamma| [u, v]) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j\|.$$

The mean value theorem applied to each  $\|\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j\|$  gives the majorant

$$K \ell(\gamma| [u, v]) \|\dot{\gamma}\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{j+1} - t_j), \text{ as claimed.}$$

*Proof of 1.14.* Write

$$\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t) = (M_t^{t+h} \gamma) \Gamma(t) - \Gamma(t) = (M_t^{t+h} \gamma - 1) \Gamma(t)$$

and define  $z = (M_t^{t+h} \gamma - 1) - (M(t, t+h) - 1)$ . Then

$$z = \lim(M(t, t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, t+h) - M(t_1, t+h)).$$

The inequality used in the proof of 1.14 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M(t, t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, t+h) - M(t, t+h)\| &\leq K\ell(\gamma|[t, t+h]) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j\| \leq \\ &\leq K\ell(\gamma|[t, t+h])^2. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $z$ ,  $\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t) = (z + M(t, t+h) - 1)\Gamma(t)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t)\| &\leq \|\Gamma(t)\| (\|z\| + \|M(t, t+h) - 1\|) \leq \\ &\leq \|\Gamma(t)\| K\ell(\gamma|[t, t+h])^2 + \|M(t, t+h) - 1\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $M(t, t+h) = L(\gamma(t+h), \gamma(t))$  and we get, using 1.3,

$$\|M(t, t+h) - 1\| \leq K' \|\gamma(t)\| \|\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t)\|.$$

Thus  $\|\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t)\| \leq (r+s)\|\Gamma(t)\|$ , with  $r = K\ell(\gamma|[t, t+h])^2$ ,

$s = K' \|\gamma\|_\infty \|\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t)\|$ , which proves the continuity of  $\Gamma$ .

Applying the last inequality to each pair  $t=t_j$ ,  $t+h=t_{j+1}$  of a partition  $T$  of  $[\alpha, \beta]$  we get

$$\sum_j \|\Gamma(t_{j+1}) - \Gamma(t_j)\| \leq \|\Gamma\|_\infty K \sum_j \ell(\gamma|[t_j, t_{j+1}])^2 + \|\Gamma\|_\infty K' \ell(\gamma).$$

Taking limits as  $T$  gets finer it follows that  $\ell(\Gamma) \leq \|\Gamma\|_\infty K' \ell(\gamma)$

This proves part (i). Assume now that  $\gamma$  is of class  $C^1$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{h}(\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t)) = \frac{1}{h}(M_t^{t+h} \gamma - 1)\Gamma(t),$$

$$\frac{1}{h} z = \lim_T \frac{1}{h} (M(t, t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, t+h) - M(t, t+h))$$

and by 1.14

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h} z \right\| \leq K'' \|\dot{\gamma}\|_\infty \ell(\gamma|[t, t+h])$$

whence  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} z = 0$ .

We compute now

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t)) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (M_t^{t+h} \gamma - 1)\Gamma(t) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{h} z + \frac{1}{h} (M(t, t+h) - 1) \right) \Gamma(t) = \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (L(\gamma(t+h), \gamma(t) - 1)) \Gamma(t) ;
\end{aligned}$$

but  $\frac{1}{h} (L(\gamma(t+h), \gamma(t) - 1)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(t+h) \cdot \frac{1}{h} (\gamma_i(t) - \gamma_i(t+h))$  which converges, when  $h \rightarrow 0$ , to  $-\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(t) \dot{\gamma}_i(t)$  or, which is the same, to  $\sum_{i=1}^m \dot{\gamma}_i(t) \gamma_i(t)$ . Thus

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t)) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\gamma}_i(t) \gamma_i(t) \right) \Gamma(t) ,$$

as claimed.

1.15. REMARKS. (i) The results above follow the patterns established in [PR]<sup>H</sup>, although the computations here are more involved.

(ii) The differential equation  $\dot{\Gamma} = (\sum \dot{\gamma}_i \gamma_i) \Gamma$  has been found, independently, by Kato [Ka<sub>2</sub>] and Daleckii [Da] in the 50's. The geometrical meaning of their solutions, however, has been first established in [CPR<sub>1</sub>].

## \* §2. LIFTING CURVES OF BANACH SPACE DECOMPOSITIONS

Recall, from the Introduction, that an idempotent  $q$  of a Banach algebra  $A$  determines a space

$$S_q = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : aq = a, qb = b, ba = q\}.$$

An extensive study of the geometry of  $S_q$ , together with some associated maps like  $S_q \rightarrow Q$ , given by  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ , can be found in [CPR<sub>4</sub>]. A connection  $\nabla$  is introduced in  $S_q$  and the horizontal lift of a curve in  $S_q$  (horizontal with respect to  $\nabla$ ) is obtained by means of a linear differential equation, just as in the case of  $Q_n$  (see §1). In this section we describe the construction of a specific lift of a (rectifiable and

continuous) curve  $\gamma$  in  $S_q$ , which turns to be, when  $\gamma$  is, say, of class  $C^1$ , the horizontal lift of  $\gamma$ . We shall follow the lines of §1 so that we only need to prove the main inequalities.

Given  $\delta = (a, b)$ ,  $\delta' = (a', b')$  in  $S_q$  consider  $L(\delta, \delta') = ab' + (1-r)(1-r')$ , where  $r = ab$  and  $r' = a'b'$ ; observe that both are idempotent elements of  $A$ .

It is clear that, for  $\delta, \delta'$  close enough,  $L(\delta, \delta')$  is invertible. Moreover, if we define an action of  $G$  over  $S_q$  by  $g \cdot \delta = (ga, bg^{-1})$ , it is clear that  $L(\delta, \delta') \cdot \delta' = \delta$ .

**2.1. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\delta, \delta', \delta''$  be fixed elements of  $S_q$  with norm at most  $K$ . There exists  $K_1 > 0$  such that*

- (i)  $\|L(\delta, \delta')\| \leq 1 + K_1 \|\delta - \delta'\|$
- (ii)  $\|L(\delta, \delta')L(\delta', \delta'') - L(\delta, \delta'')\| \leq K_1 \|\delta - \delta'\| \|\delta' - \delta''\|$ .

*Proof.* Both inequalities follow easily from the identities

$$(2.1.1) \quad L(\delta, \delta') = 1 + (1-r)(a-a')b' + a(b'-b)$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad L(\delta, \delta')L(\delta', \delta'') - L(\delta, \delta'') = \\ = [(a'-a)b' + ab(a-a')b'] [a'(b'-b'') + a'b'(a'-a'')b''] .$$

Let  $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow S_q$  be a rectifiable continuous curve and consider a partition of  $[\alpha, t]$ ,  $T: t_0 = \alpha \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = t$  fine enough such that, for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$g_k = L(\gamma(t_k), \gamma(t_{k-1}))$  is invertible. Denoting  $\gamma(t) = (a(t), b(t))$  and  $\gamma(t_k) = \gamma_k = (a_k, b_k)$ , we shall prove that all invertible elements of the form  $g_T = g_n g_{n-1} \dots g_1$  are uniformly bounded, independently of  $T$ .

**2.2. LEMMA.** *There is a constant  $M$ , depending only on  $\gamma$ , such that for every partition  $T$  of  $[\alpha, t]$   $\|g_T\| \leq M$ .*

*Proof.* By 2.1 (i), with  $K = \sup \|\gamma(t)\|$ , it follows that

$\|g_k\| \leq 1 + K_1 \|\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1}\|$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_T\| &\leq \prod_{k=1}^n \|g_k\| \leq \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + K_1 \|\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1}\|) \leq \\ &\leq \exp \sum_{k=1}^n K_1 \|\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1}\| \leq \exp(K_1 \ell_t) = M. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell_t$  is the length of  $\gamma|[\alpha, t]$ .

2.3. LEMMA. *Given two partitions*

$$T_1: s \leq w \leq v_0 \leq \dots \leq v_n \quad ; \quad T_2: s \leq v_0 \leq \dots \leq v_n$$

of  $[s, v_n] \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ , for the same constant  $K_1$  of 2.1, it holds that

$$\|g_{T_1} - g_{T_2}\| \leq K_1 \|g_T\| \ell_s^{v_n} \|\gamma(v_0) - \gamma(w)\|$$

where  $T': v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_n$  and  $\ell_s^{v_n}$  is the length of  $\gamma|[\alpha, v_n]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = L(\gamma(v_0), \gamma(w))L(\gamma(w), \gamma(s)) - L(\gamma(v_0), \gamma(t))$ . By 2.1 (ii)

$$\|x\| \leq K_1 \|\gamma(w) - \gamma(s)\| \|\gamma(w) - \gamma(v_0)\| \leq K_1 \|\gamma(w) - \gamma(v_0)\| \ell_s^{v_n}.$$

Let  $z = g_{T_1} - g_{T_2} = g_T x$ . Then

$$\|z\| \leq \|g_T\| \|x\| \leq K_1 \|g_T\| \ell_s^{v_n} \|\gamma(v_0) - \gamma(w)\|.$$

2.4. LEMMA. *Given partitions*

$$S = (s_0, \dots, s_m), \quad T = (t_0, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{of } [\alpha, t],$$

$S$  finer than  $T$ , there exists a constant  $K_2$  depending only on  $\gamma$  such that

$$\|g_S - g_T\| \leq K_2 \ell_\beta \max_k \ell_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}}.$$

We omit the proof because is a simple variation of that of 1.5, using the estimates of 2.2 and 2.3. Moreover the rest of the proof of the following theorem follows the lines of 1.7-1.14, just replacing the corresponding lemmas by these proven in this section.

2.5. THEOREM. Let  $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow S_q$  be a rectifiable and continuous curve in  $S_q$ . Then, for every  $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$  the limit  $\Gamma(t) = \lim_{\|T\| \rightarrow 0} g_T$  exists and  $\Gamma$  is a rectifiable and continuous curve  $[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow G$  such that  $\Gamma(t) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) = \gamma(t)$  for all  $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ . If  $\gamma$  is a  $C^1$ -curve then  $\Gamma$  is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\dot{\Gamma} = (-a\dot{b} + \dot{r}r)\Gamma, \quad \Gamma(\alpha) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We only consider the last assertion. Let  $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $h > 0$  and  $T$  a partition of  $[\alpha, t]$   $T: t_0 = \alpha < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$ . If  $h$  is small enough and  $g_{t+h} = a(t+h)b(t) + (1-r(t+h))(1-r(t))$ ,

$$\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t) = g_{t+h}\Gamma(t) - \Gamma(t) + o(h),$$

by the first part of the theorem; so it is enough to prove that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (g_{t+h} - 1)/h = -a(t)\dot{b}(t) + \dot{r}(t)r(t)$ .

Computing

$$\begin{aligned} g_{t+h} - 1 &= a(t+h)b(t) - r(t+h) - r(t) + r(t+h)r(t) = \\ &= a(t+h)(b(t) - b(t+h)) + (r(t+h) - r(t))r(t) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.



## REFERENCES

- [CH] R.CURTO and D.A.HERRERO, *On closures of joint similarity orbits*, Int.Eq.Op.Th.8 (1985), 489-556.
- [CPR<sub>1</sub>] G.CORACH, H.PORTA and L.RECHT, *Differential geometry of systems of projectors in Banach algebras*, Pac.J. Math. 143 (1990), 1-20.
- [CPR<sub>2</sub>] G.CORACH, H.PORTA and L.RECHT, *The geometry of spaces of projections in C\*-algebras*, Advances in Mathematics, to appear.
- [CPR<sub>3</sub>] G.CORACH, H.PORTA and L.RECHT, *Two C\*-algebra inequalities*, Analysis at Urbana (Proc.Special Year in Modern Analysis at Urbana, Illinois), Cambridge University Press (1989), 141-143.
- [CPR<sub>4</sub>] G.CORACH, H.PORTA and L.RECHT, *Differential Geometry of spaces of relatively regular operators*, Int.Eq.Op.Th. (to appear).
- [Da] Ju.L.DALECKII, *On continuous rotation of subspaces in a Banach space*, Uspehi Mat.Nauk 12(1957) 3(75), 147-154 (Russian).
- [DK] Ju.L.DALECKII and M.G.KREIN, *Stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Trans. Math.Monographs 43, AMS, Providence, 1974.
- [Do] A.DOUDY, *Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espace analytique donné*, Ann.Inst.Fourier 16 (1966), 1-95.
- [Ka<sub>1</sub>] T.KATO, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, 2nd. edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [Ka<sub>2</sub>] T.KATO, *On the adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics*, J.Phys.Soc.Japan 5 (1950), 433-439.
- [Po] V.P.POTAPOV, *The multiplicative structure of J-contractive matrix functions; Appendix*, Transl.Amer.Soc.(II) 15 (1960), 131-244.
- [PR] H.PORTA and L.RECHT, *Spaces of projections in a Banach algebra*, Acta Cient.Venez.38 (1987), 408-426.

G. CORACH

Instituto Argentino de Matemática  
CONICET  
Viamonte 1636  
(1054) Buenos Aires. Argentina.

H. PORTA

Department of Mathematics  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Urbana, Il. 61801, U.S.A.

L. RECHT

Universidad Simón Bolívar  
Apartado Postal 89000  
Caracas. Venezuela

Recibido en Marzo de 1989.