

A BEER'S THEOREM IN UNIFORM SPACES

A. MARTIN MENDEZ and J. L. LOPEZ ROSENDO

ABSTRACT. The fact that completeness and total boundedness become a characterization of compactness in the category of uniform spaces is well known. Beer [1] establishes a new characterization for chainable metric spaces based on two conditions: uniform local compactness, which is stronger than completeness, and uniform chainability, weaker than total boundedness. In this paper, we extend this result to the context of all uniform - T_2 spaces.

1. PREVIOUS DEFINITIONS.

Let X be a Tychonoff space endowed with a diagonal uniformity \mathcal{D} . We say that a subset A of X has a *lower diameter than* $D \in \mathcal{D}$ if there exists a point x in X such that $A \subset D[x]$. If p, q are points of X , a *D-chain of length n , from p to q* is a sequence a_0, \dots, a_n in X , being $a_0 = p$ and $a_n = q$, such that given a_{j-1}, a_j , $i = 1, \dots, n$ there exists a subset A_j of X with a lower diameter than D containing (a_{j-1}, a_j) . Let us call (X, \mathcal{D}) *D-chainable* if each two points in X can be joined by a D -chain. (X, \mathcal{D}) will be called a *chainable* space if it is D -chainable for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let us suppose (X, \mathcal{D}) a chainable uniform space. Then X is *uniformly D-chainable* if there is a positive integer n_D such that any pair of points in X can be joined by a D -chain of length

n_D at most. (X, \mathcal{D}) is called *uniformly chainable* if it is uniformly D -chainable for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

On the other hand, extending the condition of uniform-local compactness given by Beer for metric spaces, we say that a uniform- T_2 space is a *uniformly locally compact* one if there exists a surrounding D such that $\overline{D[x]}$ is compact for all x in X , where $D[x] = \{y \in X / (x, y) \in D\}$. However, for operational reasons we introduce an alternative way: (X, \mathcal{D}) is called a *uniformly locally compact space* if and only if X admits a $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\overline{D[x]}$ is compact for each $x \in X$, [2], being $\overline{D[x]} = \{y \in X / (x, y) \in \overline{D}\}$.

2.

LEMMA 1. ([2]). *The collections $\{\overline{D[x]} / D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\{\overline{D[x]} / D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ are equivalent local systems of neighborhoods for the topology of X .*

LEMMA 2. *Let (X, \mathcal{D}) be a chainable uniform space. If X is totally bounded, then X is uniformly chainable. If X is uniformly locally compact, then X is complete.*

Proof. For X totally bounded and $D \in \mathcal{D}$, set $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ a finite cover of X such that $U_k \times U_k \subset D$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that each U_k has a lower diameter than D . If we choose a point a_i in U_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\phi_D(a_i, a_j)$ denotes the length of the shortest D -chain joining a_i with a_j , then there exists U_i and U_j such that $x, a_i \in U_i$; $y, a_j \in U_j$. Thus, x and y can be joined by a D -chain of length $2 + \max\{\phi_D(a_i, a_j); 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ at most, for any $x, y \in X$.

For the second assertion, we needn't use the hypothesis of chainability for (X, \mathcal{D}) . If we pick up a Cauchy-net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in X and a surrounding D_0 such that each $\overline{D_0[x]}$ is compact,

there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ large enough such that the net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is residually in the compact subset $\bar{D}_0[x_{\lambda_0}]$. Then a closure point of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ exists in $\bar{D}_0[x_{\lambda_0}]$, which is also a convergence point.

THEOREM. *Let (X, \mathcal{D}) be a chainable uniform space. Then X is compact if and only if X is uniformly locally compact and uniformly chainable.*

Proof. Since any $\bar{D}[x]$ is a closed set in the compact X , then X is uniformly locally compact. However X is totally bounded, and by virtue of lemma 2, X is uniformly chainable.

To show the converse, let X be uniformly locally compact and uniformly chainable and let $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\bar{D}_0[x]$ is compact for all x in X . Choose $E \in \mathcal{D}$, $E \circ E \subset D_0$. Lemma 1 allows us to pick up an open and symmetric surrounding D satisfying $D \subset \bar{D} \subset E$. [2]

Firstly, let C be a closed subset in X ; we will show that $\bar{D}[C] = \bigcup_{x \in C} \bar{D}[x]$ is also a closed set. Suppose that $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a net in $\bar{D}[C]$ convergent to a point x ; there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $(x, x_\lambda) \in D$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Let c_λ a point in C verifying $x_\lambda \in \bar{D}[c_\lambda]$, for each λ ; thus $(x, x_\lambda), (c_\lambda, x_\lambda) \in \bar{D} \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0$. But since D is symmetric, likewise is \bar{D} , and so $(x, c_\lambda) \in \bar{D}_0$. Then, $(c_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is residually in the compact $\bar{D}_0[x]$, and because C is a closed set, a subnet (c_μ) exists, convergent to a point c in C . Moreover, $(c, x) \in \bar{D}$, because the subnet $(c_\mu, x_\mu) \subset \bar{D}$ converges to (c, x) ; so $x \in \bar{D}[c]$ and $x \in \bar{D}[C]$.

Secondly let A be a compact subset of X , we assert that $\bar{D}[A]$ is also compact. To this end, let $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in $\bar{D}[A]$. As in the previous case, choose $a_\lambda \in A$ such that $(a_\lambda, x_\lambda) \in \bar{D}$; hence a net $(a_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in A is obtained; as A is compact, there exists a closure point a in A for $(a_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. If (a_μ) is a subnet convergent to this point, we have $(a, a_\mu) \in D$ if $\mu \geq \mu_0$,

being μ_0 large enough. Then, $(a, x_\mu) \in \overline{D_0} \overline{D} \subset \overline{D_0}$ and (x_μ) is residually in the compact $\overline{D_0}[a]$; therefore (x_μ) as well as (x_λ) , has a closure point in $\overline{D_0}[a]$. But $\overline{D}[A]$ is a closed set, and that closure point will be in $\overline{D}[A]$.

Finally, given a point p in X , it follows from the last assertions that $\overline{D}[\{p\}]$ is compact, and so is $\overline{D}^k[\{p\}] = \overline{D}[\overline{D}^{k-1}[\{p\}]]$, ($\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$). As X is uniformly chainable a positive integer n exists such that every point in X can be joined to p by a D -chain of length n , at most, showing that $X = \overline{D}^n[\{p\}]$ is compact. q.e.d.

As a consequence of the fact that chainability is a weaker condition than connectedness, and that compact chainable uniform spaces are connected, [4] we conclude the following: *a chainable uniform space is a continuum if and only if it is uniformly chainable and uniformly locally compact.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BEER, G., *Which connected metric spaces are compact?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83, 4, (1981) 807-811.
- [2] MARTIN MENDEZ, A. and LOPEZ ROSENDO, J. L.: *Algunas propiedades relativas a la topología de los espacios uniformes*, Acta Cientif. Comp. Preprint.
- [3] ISBELL, J. R., *Uniform spaces*, Math. Surv. 12 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence (1964).
- [4] WILLARD, S., *General Topology*, Addison Wesley. London (1968).

Departamento de Geometría y Topología
Facultad de Matemáticas
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela
Spain.

Recibido en marzo de 1987.