Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Volumen 39, 1995.

L^p APPROXIMATION OF GENERALIZED BI-AXIALLY SYMMETRIC POTENTIALS WITH FAST GROWTH

H. S. Kasana and D. Kumar

ABSTRACT. The paper deals with growth and approximation of solutions (not necessarily entire) of certain elliptic partial differential equations. These solutions are called generalized biaxially symmetric potentials (GBSP). We obtain the characterization of q-type and lower q-type of a GBSP, $H \in H_R$, $0 < R < \infty$, in terms of decay of approximation error $E_{n,n}^i(H, R_o)$, i = 1, 2.

1 INTRODUCTION

Generalized bi-axially symmetric potentials (GBSP's) are the solutions of elliptic partial differential equation

$$(1.1) \qquad \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y^2} + \frac{2\alpha + 1}{y} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} + \frac{2\beta + 1}{y} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

which are even in x and y cf. Gilbert [1]. A polynomial of degree n which is even in x and y is said to be a GBSP polynomial of degree n if it satisfies (1.1). A GBSP H regular about origin can be expanded as

(1.2)
$$H \equiv H(r,\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos 2\theta).$$

where $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$ and $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ are Jacobi polynomials.

Let $D_R = \{(x,y): x^2 + y^2 < R, \ 0 < R < \infty\}$ and \overline{D}_R be the closure of D_R . A GBSP H is said to be regular in D_R if the series (1.2) converges uniformly on every compact subset of D_R . Let H_R be the class of all GBSP's regular in $D_{R'}$ for every $R' \leq R$ but for no R' > R. The functions in the class H_{∞} are called entire GBSP's.

McCoy [6] considered the approximation of an entire GBSP H by GBSP polynomials and found the rate of decay of approximation error :

$$E_{n,p}(H,1) = \inf \|H - g\|_{1,p} = \inf_{g \in \pi_n} \left(\int \int_{\overline{D}_r} \mu(x,y) |H(x,y)|^p dx \ dy \right)^{1/p}$$

in terms of growth parameters associated with the maximum modulus $M(r, H) = \max_{\theta} |H(r, \theta)|$, where μ is a weight function and $1 \le p < \infty$.

Also, McCoy [7] considered the approximation of pseudo analytic functions, constructed as complex combination of real valued analytic functions to the Stokes-Beltrami system on the disc. These functions include the GBSP's. He obtained some coefficients and Bernstein type growth theorems on the disc in sup norm.

A GBSP H is said to be regular in \overline{D}_{R_o} , the closure of D_{R_o} if it is regular in $D_{R'}$ for some $R' > R_o$. Let \overline{H}_{R_o} be the class of all GBSP's regular on \overline{D}_{R_o} . For $H \in \overline{H}_{R_o}$, set

$$||H||_{R_o} = \max_{(x,y)\in D_{R_o}} |H(x,y)|,$$

and for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

(1.3)
$$||H||_{R_o,p}^1 = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} w(R_o, \theta) |H(R_0, \theta)|^p \ d\theta \right)^{1/p},$$

(1.4)
$$||H||_{R_{o,p}}^2 = \left(\int_{\overline{D}_{R_o}} \overline{w}(x,y)|H(x,y)|^p \ dx \ dy\right)^{1/p},$$

where the functions w and \overline{w} are positive and integrable (in the sense of Lebesgue) such that $\frac{1}{w}$ and $\frac{1}{\overline{w}}$ are bounded and $\| \|_{R_o,p}^1$ and $\| \|_{R_o,p}^2$ are L^p -norms on \overline{H}_{R_c} . For $H \in \overline{H}_{R_o}$ approximation errors $E_{n,p}^1(H,R_o)$ and $E_{n,p}^2(H,R_o)$ are defined as

(1.5)
$$E_{n,p}^{1}(H,R_{o}) = \inf_{g \in \pi_{n}} ||H - g||_{R_{o,p}}^{1}$$

(1.6)
$$E_{n,p}^{2}(H,R_{o}) = \inf_{g \in \pi_{n}} \|H - g\|_{R_{o},p}^{2},$$

where π_n consists of all GBSP polynomials of degree at most 2n. The concept of index q, the q-order $\rho(q)$ and lower q-order $\lambda(q)$ were introduced by Sato [8] in order to obtain a measure of growth of the maximum modulus when it is rapidly increasing. Thus, let $M(r,H) \to \infty$ as $r \to R$ and for $q = 2, 3, \ldots$, we define

$$\rho_{(q)}(H,R) = \limsup_{r \to R} \frac{\log^{[q]} M(R,H)}{\log(\frac{R}{r-r})},$$

where $\log^{[0]}M(r,H)=M(r,H)$ and $\log^{[q-1]}M(r,H)=\log(\log^{[q-2]}M(r,H)).$

The GBSP $H \in H_R$ is said to have the index q if $\rho_q(H,R) < \infty$ and $\rho_{(q-1)}(H,R) = \infty$. If q is the index of H then $\rho_q(H,R)$ is called the q-order of H. The notions of the index and q-order play a significant role in classifying the rapidly increasing functions analytic in D_R . To compare the growth of two functions analytic in D_R that have same q-orders the distinct growth parameters are used.

We have the following definitions:

Definition 1. A GBSP $H \in H_R$, $0 < R < \infty$ having q-order $\rho_q(H,R)(\rho_q(H,R) > 0)$ is said to have q-type $T_q(H,R)$ and lower q-type $t_q(H,R)$ if

$$\frac{T_q(H,R)}{t_q(H,R)} = \lim_{r \to R} \inf_{\text{inf}} \frac{\log^{[q-1]}(r,H)}{\left(\frac{R}{R-r}\right)^{\rho_q(H,R)}}, \quad 0 \le t_q(H,R) \le T_q(H,R) \le \infty.$$

In this paper we study the growth and approximation of solutions (not necessarily entire) of certain elliptic partial differential equations. These solutions are called *generalized bi-axially symmetric potentials* (GBSP's). The GBSP's are taken to be regular in a finite hyperball and influence the growth of their maximum moduli on the rate of decay of their approximation

errors in L^p -norm defined by (1.3) and (1.4). The results and methods employed are different from those of McCoy [7]. The text has been divided into three parts. Section 1 consists of introductory exposition of the topic and Section 2 includes some lemmas. Finally, we prove some theorems which characterize the q-type $T_q(H,R)$ and lower q-type $t_q(H,R)$ of a GBSP $H \in H_{Ro}$, $0 < R_o < \infty$, in terms of rate of decay of approximation errors $E_{n,p}^i(H,Ro)$, $0 < Ro < R < \infty$, i = 1, 2.

2 PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we give some lemmas as preliminary results which have been used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. Let $H \in H_R$, $R > R_o$. Then there exist GBSP polynomials $g_n \in \pi_n$ such that

$$||H - q_n|| < KM(r, H)(n+1)^{\eta+1/2}(R/r)^{2(n+1)}$$

for all r sufficiently near to R and all sufficiently large values of n. Here K is a constant independent of r and n and $n = \max(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows from [4].

Lemma 2.2. Let $H \in \overline{H}_R$, $R > R_o$. Then there exist GBSP polynomials $g_n \in \pi_n$ such that

(2.1)
$$E_{n,\nu}^{i}(H,R_{o}) \leq K_{i}(n+1)^{\eta+1/2}(R_{o}/r)^{2(n+1)}M(r,H); i=1,2$$

for all r sufficiently near to R and all sufficiently large values of n. Here K_i is a constant depending on R_o , w, and p only and K_2 a constant depending on R_o , \overline{w} and p.

Proof. Using (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and Lemma 2.1 we get the required result.

Lemma 2.3. Let $H \in \overline{H}_R$. Then for $n \geq 1$,

$$|a_n|R_o^{2n} \leq \frac{T^{1/p}(2\pi)^{1/v^*}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)P(n,\alpha,\beta)\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{(\eta+1)(n+1)}E_{n-1,p}^1(H,R_o).$$

where $P(n, \alpha, \beta,) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{(n+\alpha+1)(n+\beta+1)}$.

Proof. By (1.5), for $H \in \overline{H}_{R_o}$ there exists a GBSP polynomial $g_{n-1}^* \in \pi_{n-1}$ such that

$$(2.2) 2E_{n-1}^{1}(H,R_{o}) \ge ||g_{n-1}^{*}||_{R_{o},p}^{1} \ge \frac{1}{T^{1/p}} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(R_{0},\theta) - g_{n-1}^{*}(R_{o},\theta)|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p},$$

since 1/w is bounded and we have $w \ge \frac{1}{T}$, T > 0. For p > 1 choose v^* such that $1/p + 1/v^* = 1$. Using Holder's inequality we get

$$(2.3) \int_0^{2\pi} |H(R_0, \theta) - g_{n-1}^*(R_o, \theta)| d\theta \leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |H(R_0, \theta) - g_{n-1}^*(R_o, \theta)|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \right)^{1/v^*}.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$2E_{n-1,p}(H,R_o) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi T^{1/p}} \int_0^{2\pi} |H(R_0,\theta) - g_{n-1}^*(R_o,\theta)| d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/v^*} T^{1/p}} \int_0^{\pi/2} |H(R_0,\theta) - g_{n-1}^*(R_o,\theta)| d\theta$$
(2.4)

for p > 1, since GBSP's H and g_{n-1}^* are even in x and y. For p = 1, (2.4) is obvious with $v^* = 0$. From the orthogonality of Jacobi polynomials [9] and uniform convergence of the series (1.2) on \overline{D}_{R_o} , we have

$$\frac{a_n R_o^{2n}}{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)p(n, \alpha\beta)} = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} H(R_0, \theta) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos 2\theta) \sin^{2\alpha+1}\theta \cos^{2\beta+1}\theta \ d\theta.$$

Thus, for any $q \in \pi_{n-1}$ we have

$$(2.5) \frac{a_n R_o^{2n} p(n,\alpha,\beta)^{-1}}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)} = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} (H(R_0,\theta) - g(R_0,\theta)) p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\cos 2\theta) \sin^{2\alpha+1}\theta \cos^{2\beta+1}\theta \ d\theta.$$

From [9], we know that

(2.6)
$$\max_{-1 \le t \le 1} |p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)| = \frac{\Gamma(k+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(k+1)}, \quad \eta = \max(\alpha,\beta).$$

Taking in particular, g_{n-1}^* it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{a_n R_o^{2n}}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)p(n,\alpha\beta)} \le 2 \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(\eta+1)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_0^{\pi/2} |H(R_0,\theta) - g_{n-1}^*(R_o,\theta)| d\theta.$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.7), the lemma follows.

Lemma 2.4. Let $H \in \overline{H}_{R_0}$. Then for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$|a_n|R_o^{2n+2} \leq \frac{\tilde{T}^{1/p}(\pi R_o^2)^{1/v^*}(2n+2)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)P(n,\alpha,\beta)\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+1)}E_{n-1,p}^2(H,R_o).$$

Proof. By (1.6), for $H \in \overline{H}_{R_0}$, there exists $\tilde{g}_{n-1} \in \pi_{n-1}$ such that

$$2E_{n-1,p}^{2}(H,R_{o}) \geq \|H - \tilde{g}_{n-1}\|_{R_{o},p}^{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\tilde{T}^{1/p}} \left(\int_{\overline{D}_{R_{o}}} |H(x,y) - \tilde{g}_{n-1}(x,y)|^{p} dx dy \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\tilde{T}^{1/p}(\pi R_{o}^{2})^{1/v^{*}}} \left(\int_{\overline{D}_{R_{o}}} |H(x,y) - \tilde{g}_{n-1}(x,y)| dx dy \right)^{1/p},$$

$$(2.8)$$

where $\tilde{w} = \frac{1}{T}, \tilde{T} > 0$ and $1/p + 1/v^* = 1$. From the orthogonality of Jacobi polynomials and uniform convergence of the series (1.2) on \overline{D}_{R_0} , we have for $0 \le r \le R$,

$$\frac{a_n r^{2n}}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)P(n,\alpha,\beta)} = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} (H(r,\theta) - \overline{g}_{n-1}(r,\theta)) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos\theta) \sin^{2\alpha+1}\theta \cos^{2\beta+1}\theta \ d\theta.$$

Using (2.6), we get

$$\frac{a_n r^{2n}}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)P(n,\alpha,\beta)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{2\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\eta+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} |H(r,\theta_-\tilde{g}_{n-1}(r,\theta)| \ d\theta.$$

Since H and \tilde{g}_{n-1} are even in x and y. Multiplying both sides of the above inequality by r dr and integrating from 0 to R_o , we get

$$(2.9) \ \frac{a_n r^{2n+2} (2n+2)^{-1}}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1) P(n,\alpha,\beta)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{2\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\eta+1)} \int \int\limits_{\overline{D}_{R\alpha}} |H(x,y) - \tilde{g}_{n-1}(x,y)| \ dx \ dy.$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain the required result.

Lemma 2.5. Let $H \in H_R$, $0 < R < \infty (R > R_o)$. Then

$$M(r, H) \le |a_o| + \frac{T^{1/p} (2\pi)^{1/v^*}}{\Gamma(n+1)} M(r, h)$$

where $h(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n + \alpha + \beta + 1) P(n, \alpha, \beta) \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)} E_{n-1,p}^1(H, R_o) (\frac{u}{R_o})^{2n}$.

Proof. Using (2.6) and Lemma 2.3 we get

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos 2\theta)| \le |a_o| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| r^{2n} \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\eta+1)}$$

or

$$\leq |a_o| + \frac{T^{1/p}(2\pi)^{1/v^*}}{\Gamma(n+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n-1}^1(H, R_o) (\frac{r}{R_o})^{2n} (2n+\alpha+\beta+1) P(n, \alpha, \beta) \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)}.$$

which corresponds to desired result.

Lemma 2.6. Let $H \in H_R$, $0 < R < \infty$. Then

$$M(r,H) \le |a_o| + \frac{\tilde{T}^{1/p}(\pi)^{1/v^*}}{v^*\Gamma(n+1)} R_o^2 (1-v^*) M(r,h^*)$$

where $h^*(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+2)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)P(n,\alpha,\beta) \frac{\Gamma(n+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)} E_{n-1,p}^2(H,R_o)(\frac{u}{R_o})^{2n}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.4 the proof has the same analysis as that of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be analytic in |z| < R. Then the function f(z) is of q-order and q-type T(q) if and only if

$$T(q) = B(q) V(q),$$

where $B(q) = (\rho + 1)^{\rho+1}/\rho^{\rho}$, A(q) = 1 for q = 2; B(q) = 1, A(q) = 0 for q = 3, 4... and $V(q) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} (\log^{[q-2]} n)(\log^+ |a_n| R^n)^{\rho(q) + A(q)}$.

Proof. The lemma can be proved by simple manipulation of the results in [2] and [3].

Lemma 2.8. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n_k} z^{n_k}$ be analytic in |z| < R and have q-order $\rho(q)$ ($\rho(q) > 0$) and lower q-type t(q). If $\psi(n_k) = |a_{n_k}/a_{n_{k+1}}|^{1/(n_{k+1}-n_k)}$ forms a nondecreasing sequence of k for $k > k_o$, then

(2.10)
$$B(q)t(q) \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} (\log^{[q-2]} n_k) \left(\frac{\log^+ |a_{n_k}| R^{n_k}}{n_k} \right)^{\rho(q) + A(q)}$$

and

$$(2.11) B(q)t(q) \le L(q) \liminf_{k \to \infty} (\log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}) \left(\frac{\log^+ |a_{n_k}| R^{n_k}}{n_k} \right)^{\rho(q) + A(q)}.$$

where $L(q) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} (\log^{[q-2]} n_k / \log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1})$ and B(q) and A(q) have the same meaning as in Lemma 2.7.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is available in [2] and [3].

3 MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let $H \in H_R$ and have q-order $\rho_q(H,R)$ $(0 < \rho_q(H,R) < \infty)$ and q-type $T_q(H,R)$. Then $G(q) = B(q,H) T_q(H,R)$,

where

$$G(q) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} (\log^{[q-2]} n) \left(\frac{\log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H,R_o) (\frac{R}{R_o})^{2n}}{n} \right)^{\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)} \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$B(q,H) = \frac{(\rho_2(H,R)+1)^{\rho_2(H,R)+1}}{\rho_2(H,R)^{\rho_2(H,R)}}, \quad A(q) = 1 \text{ if } q = 2 \text{ and } B(q,H) = 1, \quad A(q) = 0 \text{ if } q = 3,4 \dots.$$

Proof. Let $G(q) < \infty$. For given $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $n > n_o(\epsilon)$, we have

$$(\log^{[q-2]} n) \left(\frac{\log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H, R_o)(\frac{R}{R_o})^{2n}}{n} \right)^{\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)} < G(q) + \epsilon$$

or

$$\log^{[q-1]} n + (\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)) + (\log^+ \log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H,R_o) + 2n \log \frac{R}{R_o} - \log n < G(q) + \epsilon$$
 or

$$\begin{split} \rho_q(H,R) + A(q) > \frac{\log^{[q-1]} n}{\log n - \log^+ \log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H,R_o) - 2n \log(R/R_o)} \\ - \frac{\log(G(q) + \epsilon)}{\log n - \log^+ \log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H,R_o) - 2n \log(R/R_o)}. \end{split}$$

Let $0 \le T_q(H, R) < \infty$. For given $\epsilon > 0$ and $r > r_o$ (1.7) implies

$$\log M(r,H) < \exp^{[q-2]} \left\{ (T_q(H,R) + \epsilon) \left(\frac{R}{R-r} \right)^{\rho(H,R)} \right\}.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we further have

$$\begin{split} \log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H,R_o) (\frac{R}{R_o})^{2n} & \leq \log M(r,H) + (\eta + \frac{1}{2}) \log(n+1) + 2n \log(\frac{R}{r}) + \log K_i \\ (3.2) & \leq \exp^{[q-2]} \left\{ (T_q(H,R) + \epsilon) (\frac{R}{R-r})^{\rho_q(H,R)} \right\} + (\eta + \frac{1}{2}) \log(n+1) + 2n \log \frac{R}{r} + O(1) \end{split}$$

Let r be given by the equation

(3.3)
$$\prod_{i=0}^{q-2} \exp^{[i]} \left\{ (T_q(H,R) + \epsilon) (\frac{R}{R-r})^{\rho_q(H,R)} \right\} = \frac{2n(R-r)}{R\rho_q(H,R)}.$$

For q = 2, using (3.3) in (3.2) we have for sufficiently large values of n

$$\log^{+}(E_{n,p}^{i}(H,R_{o})(\frac{R}{R_{o}})^{2n} \leq \frac{(T_{\rho}(H,R)+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{\rho_{q}(H,R)+1}}(2n)^{\frac{\rho_{q}(H,R)}{\rho_{q}(H,R)+1}}}{(\rho_{q}(H,R))^{\frac{\rho_{q}(H,R)}{\rho_{q}(H,R)+1}}}(1+\rho_{q}(H,R))+o(1)$$

On proceeding to limits, the above inequality yields $G(2) \leq B(2, H) T_2(H, R)$.

Next, for $q = 3, 4, \ldots, (3.3)$ implies

$$\frac{R}{R-r} = \left(\frac{\log^{[q-2]}}{T_q(H,R)+\epsilon}\right)^{1/\rho_q(H,R)} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Using above in (3.2), we have

$$\log^+ E^i_{n,p}(H,R_o)(\frac{R}{R_o})^{2n} < n + (\eta + \frac{1}{2})\log(n+1) + 2\log(\frac{R}{r}) + O(1)$$

or

$$(\log^{[q-2]} n(1+o(1))) \left(\frac{\log^+ E_{n,p}^i(H,R_o)(\frac{R}{R_o})^{2n}}{n}\right)^{\rho_q(H,R)} < (T_q(H,R)+\epsilon)(1+o(1)).$$

Taking limits as $n \to \infty$, we observe that $T_q(H, R) \ge G(q)$ for $q \ge 3$.

To prove the reverse inequality we utilise Lemma 2.5 for the case i = 1 and Lemma 2.6 for i = 2 and then apply Lemma 2.7 to the functions h(u) and $h^*(u)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $H \in H_R$ and H have q-order $\rho_q(H,R)$ and lower q-type $t_q(H,R)$. Let n_k be an increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then

$$B(q,H)t_q(H,R) \geq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left[(\log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}) \left(\frac{\log^+ E^i_{n_{k,p}}(H,R_o) \left(\frac{R}{R_o} \right)^{2n_k}}{n_k} \right)^{\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)} \right].$$

Proof. Let

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \left[\left(\frac{\log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}}{B(q,H)} \right) \left(\frac{\log^+ E^i_{n_{k,p}}(H,R_o) \left(\frac{R}{R_o} \right)^{2n_k}}{n_k} \right)^{\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)} \right] = \phi(q) \equiv \phi.$$

First suppose that $0 < \phi < \infty$. Then, for $\phi > \epsilon > 0$ and $k > k_o$,

$$\log^{+} E_{n_{k,p}}^{i}(H, R_{o}) \left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{2n_{k}} > n_{k} \left\{ \frac{(\phi - \epsilon)B(q, H)}{\log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho_{q}(H, R) + A(q)}}$$

Choose a sequence $\{r_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$2\log\frac{R}{r_{n_k}} = \frac{(\phi-\epsilon)C'(q)}{\log^{[q-2]}n_{k-1}},$$

where $C'(q) = \rho_2(H, R)$ if q = 2 and C'(q) = C', 0 < C' < 1 if $q = 3, 4 \dots$

By Lemma 2.2, if $k > k_o$ and $r_k \le r < r_{k+1}$, then denoting $\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)$ by ρ^* , we get

$$\log M(r,H) \geq \log E_{n_{k,p}}^{i}(H,R_{o})(\frac{R}{R_{o}})^{2n_{k}} - (\eta + \frac{1}{2})\log(n_{k+1}) - 2n_{k}\log(\frac{R}{r_{n_{k}}}) - \log K_{i}$$

$$> n_{k}\left(\frac{(\phi - \epsilon)B(q,H)}{\log^{[q-2]}n_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho^{*}}} - (\eta + \frac{1}{2})\log n_{k+1} - n_{k}\left(\frac{(\phi - \epsilon)C'(q)}{\log^{[q-2]}n_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho^{*}}} - \log K_{i}$$

$$= n_{k}\left(\frac{(\phi - \epsilon)}{\log^{[q-2]}n_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho^{*}}}\left\{B(q,H)^{\frac{1}{\rho^{*}}} - C'(q)^{\frac{1}{\rho^{*}}}\right\} + O(1).$$

Using (3.4) we get

$$\begin{split} \log M(r,H) & > & \frac{\exp^{[q-2]} \left\{ (\phi - \epsilon) C'(q) (2 \log \frac{R}{r_{n_k}})^{-\rho^*} \right\}}{C'(q)^{\frac{1}{\rho^*}} (2 \log \frac{R}{r_{n_k}})^{-1}} \left\{ B(q,H)^{\frac{1}{\rho^*}} - C'(q)^{\frac{1}{\rho^*}} \right\} + O(1) \\ & > & \frac{\exp^{[q-1]} \left\{ (\phi - \epsilon) C'(q) (2 \log \frac{R}{r})^{-\rho^*} \right\}}{(2 \log \frac{R}{r})^{-1}} \left[(\frac{B(q,H)}{C'(q)})^{\frac{1}{\rho^*}} - 1 \right] + O(1). \end{split}$$

For q = 2, we have

$$\frac{\log M(r,H)}{(\frac{R}{R-r})^{\rho_2(H,R)}} > \frac{(\phi-\epsilon)\rho_2(H,R)(\log R^2/r^2)^{-\rho_2(H,R)}}{(\frac{R}{R-r})^{\rho_2(H,R)}} + o(1).$$

Proceeding to limits as $r \to R$ we get $t_2(H, R) \ge \phi$.

Now, for q = 3, 4...,

$$\liminf_{r \to R} \frac{\log^{[q-1]} M(r, H)}{\left(\frac{R}{R-r}\right)^{\rho_2(H, R)}} \ge \phi C'.$$

Since the above inequality holds for every C', making $C' \to 1$, we get $t_q(H, R) \ge \phi$ for $q = 3, 4 \dots$ If $\phi = 0$ the result follows trivially. If $\phi = \infty$, the above inequality with an arbitrary large number in place of $\phi - \epsilon$ gives $t_q(H, R) = \infty$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $H \in H_R$ $0 < r < \infty(R_o < R)$ and H have q-order $\rho_q(H,R)$ and lower q-type $t_q(H,R)$. If $\psi(n_k) = (E^i_{n_{k,p}}(H,R_o)/(E^i_{n_{k+1,p}}(H,R_o))^{1/(n_{k+1}-n_k)}$ forms a nondecreasing function of k for $k > k_o$ and $\log^{[q-2]} n_k \approx \log^{[q-2]} n_{k+1}$ as $k \to \infty$, then

$$(3.5) B(q,H)t_{q}(H,R) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left[(\log^{[q-2]} n_{k}) \left(\frac{\log^{+} E_{n_{k,p}}^{i}(H,R_{o})(\frac{R}{R_{o}})^{2n_{k}}}{n_{k}} \right)^{\rho_{q}(H,R) + A(q)} \right] \\ \leq L(q) \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left[(\log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}) \left(\frac{\log^{+} E_{n_{k,p}}^{i}(H,R_{o})(\frac{R}{R_{o}})^{2n_{k}}}{n_{k}} \right)^{\rho_{q}(H,R) + A(q)} \right],$$

where $L(q) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} (\log^{[q-2]} n_k / \log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}).$

Proof. The proof of the above theorem follows by using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.7 for i = 1 to the function h(u) and for i = 2 to the function $h^*(u)$.

On combining theorems 2 and 3 we have the following result:

Theorem 3.4. Let $H \in H_R$ $0 < r < \infty(R_o < R)$ and H have q-order $\rho_q(H,R)$ and lower q-type $t_q(H,R)$. If $\psi(n_k) = (E^i_{n_{k,p}}(H,R_o)/(E^i_{n_{k+1,p}}(H,R_o))^{1/(n_{k+1}-n_k)}$ forms a nondecreasing function of k for $k > k_o$ and $\log^{[q-2]} n_k \approx \log^{[q-2]} n_{k+1}$ as $k \to \infty$

$$B(q,H)t_q(H,R) = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \biggl[(\log^{[q-2]} n_{k-1}) \bigl(\frac{\log^+ E^i_{n_{k,p}}(H,R_o) (\frac{R}{R_o})^{2n_k}}{n_k} \bigr)^{\rho_q(H,R) + A(q)} \biggr].$$

References

- [1] R. P. Gilbert, Integral operator methods in biaxially symmetric potential theory, Contrib. Diff, Eqns. 2 (1963), 441-456.
- [2] K. Gopal and G. P. Kapoor, Coefficient characterization for functions analytic in the unit disc having fast rates of growth, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumaine (N.S.) **25** (1981), no. 1, 367-380.
- [3] G. P. Kapoor and K. Gopal, On the coefficients of functions analytic in unit disc having fast rates of growth, Annali di Mat. Pura ed Applicata 61 (1979), 337-339.
- [4] G. P. Kapoor and A. Nautiyal, Growth and approximation of generalized bi-axially symmetric potentials, Indian J. pure and Appl. Math. 19 (1980), 464-476.
- [5] H. S. Kasana and D. Kumar, Approximation of generalized bi-axially symmetric potentials with fast rates of growth, Acta Mathematica Scientia (Wuhan-China), 15 (1995), no. 5, in Press.
- [6] P. A. McCoy, Best L^p approximation of generalized bi-axially symmetric potentials. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1980), 435-440.
- [7] P. A. McCoy, Approximation of pseudo analytic functions, Complex Variables, Theory and Application, 6 (1986), 123-133.
- [8] D. Sato, On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 411-414.
- [9] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, Colloquium Publications, 23 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R. I. 1967.

Department of Mathematics Birla Institute of Technology and Science Pilani-333 031, (Raj.), India

Department of Mathematics D. S. M. Degree College Kanth-244 501 (U.P.), India

Recibido en junio de 1994.