

A REMARK ON EULER'S CONSTANT

PABLO A. PANZONE

ABSTRACT. Let  $x_0$  be any real positive non-natural number which satisfies  $\Gamma(x_0) \cdot k = \Gamma'(x_0)$  with  $k$  a rational number. We prove that either Euler's constant  $\gamma$  is transcendental or  $x_0$  is irrational.

Define for  $p, q \in N$ ,

$$\alpha(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{qi} - \frac{1}{qi+p} \right) \text{ and } F(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x^{qi}}{qi} - \frac{x^{qi+p}}{qi+p} \right)$$

Obviously  $F(1) = \alpha(p, q)$  and  $\frac{dF}{dx} = x^{q-1} \frac{(1-x^p)}{(1-x^q)}$ . Thus  $\alpha(p, q) = \int_0^1 x^{q-1} \frac{(1-x^p)}{(1-x^q)} dx$  and one obtains, for example,  $\alpha(1, 2) = 1 - \ln 2$ ,  $\alpha(1, 3) = 1 - \frac{\ln 3}{2} - \frac{\pi}{6\sqrt{3}}$ , etc. Indeed one can compute  $\alpha(p, q)$  in closed form with the following formula due to Gauss ([1] pg. 35):

$$(1) \quad \alpha(p, q) = -\frac{1}{2q} \pi \cot\left(\frac{p}{q}\pi\right) - \frac{1}{q} \ln(q) + \frac{S}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$$

where  $S = \sum_{r=1}^{(q-1)/2} \cos(2\pi rp/q) \ln[4\sin^2(\pi r/q)]$ , ( $q$  odd),

$S = \sum_{r=1}^{(q-2)/2} \cos(2\pi rp/q) \ln[4\sin^2(\pi r/q)] + (-1)^p \ln 2$ , ( $q$  even).

Lemma 1.  $\alpha(p, q) - \frac{1}{p} \neq 0$  for  $p, q \in N$ ,  $0 < \frac{p}{q} < 1$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\alpha(p, q) = \frac{1}{p}$ . Then as  $0 < p < q$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \int_0^1 x^{q-1} \frac{(1-x^p)}{(1-x^q)} dx \leq \int_0^1 x^{q-1} dx = \frac{1}{q}$ , a contradiction. ■

The following theorem, proved in 1966, is due to Baker ( see [2] pg.11):

Baker's Theorem.  $e^{\beta_0} \theta_1^{\beta_1} \dots \theta_n^{\beta_n}$  is transcendental for any non-zero algebraic numbers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ .

We use this theorem to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.  $\alpha(p, q)$  is transcendental for every pair  $p, q \in N$ ,  $\frac{p}{q}$  non-integer.

Proof. There is no loss of generality if we assume  $p, q$  coprime. It is enough to prove the theorem for  $0 < \frac{p}{q} < 1$ , because  $\alpha(p, q)$  and  $\alpha(p+q, n, q)$ ,  $n \in N$ , differ by a rational number. Thus assume  $p, q$  are coprime and verify  $0 < \frac{p}{q} < 1$ . Moreover one can assume  $\frac{p}{q} \neq \frac{1}{2}$  for  $\alpha(1, 2) = 1 - \ln 2$  and  $\ln 2$  is transcendental by Lindemann's theorem ([2], pg. 6).

Recall that the set of algebraic numbers is a field. First observe that  $\sin(\frac{p}{q}\pi)$  and  $\cos(\frac{p}{q}\pi)$  are algebraic because  $\sin(\frac{1}{q}\pi)$  and  $\cos(\frac{1}{q}\pi)$  are algebraic, and this last assertion follows from De Moivre formula  $e^{inx} = (\cos x + i\sin x)^n$  with  $x = \frac{1}{q}\pi$  and  $n = q$ .

Thus from (1) for one sees that  $\alpha(p, q) = \pi \cdot \zeta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \log(\zeta_j) + \frac{1}{p}$  with  $\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  algebraic and non-zero.

Assume that  $\alpha(p, q)$  is algebraic. Then  $\beta_0 = \frac{\alpha(p, q) - 1/p}{\zeta_0} i = i\pi + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{i\delta_j \log(\zeta_j)}{\zeta_0}$  is algebraic and non-zero by lemma 1. Therefore

$$e^{i\beta_0} \zeta_1^{-i(\frac{\delta_1}{\zeta_0})} \zeta_2^{-i(\frac{\delta_2}{\zeta_0})} \dots \zeta_n^{-i(\frac{\delta_n}{\zeta_0})} = -1$$

which contradicts Baker's theorem. ■

The point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  stands for a non integer positive number which satisfies  $\Gamma(x_0) \cdot k = \Gamma'(x_0)$  where  $k$  is a rational number. Then we have

**Theorem 2.** *Either  $x_0$  is irrational or  $\gamma$  is transcendental.*

**Proof.** If  $x_0$  is irrational then the theorem is true. Thus assume  $x_0 = p/q$  is a positive rational non-integer number. Recall the well-known formula  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i+x} \right) - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} + \gamma$ . Then, replacing  $x$  by  $x_0$  in this formula we get  $q\alpha(p, q) - q/p = k + \gamma$  and therefore  $\gamma$  is transcendental by theorem 1. ■

NOTE: One such point  $x_0$  could be the point where the minimum of  $\Gamma(x)$  is attained.

#### REFERENCES

1. Harold T. Davis, *The Summation of Series*, The Principia Press of Trinity University (1962).
2. Alan Baker, *Transcendental Number Theory*, Cambridge Univesety Press (1979).

Pablo A. Panzone. Instituto y Departamento de Matemática. UNS.  
Av. Alem 1253. (8000) Bahía Blanca. Argentina.  
e-mail: inmabb@criba.edu.ar

*Recibido en Julio 1998*