

L_p – SATURATION THEOREM FOR A LINEAR COMBINATION OF INTEGRAL BASKAKOV TYPE OPERATORS

T.A.K. Sinha , P.N. Agrawal and Ali J. Mohammad

ABSTRACT. In [1], Agrawal and Thamer introduced a sequence of linear positive operators named as integral Baskakov type operators and studied some direct results in L_p – approximation by a linear combination of this sequence. In [2] they established an inverse theorem in L_p – norm for the same operators. The present paper is a continuation of their work in [1] and [2]. Here we aim to discuss a saturation theorem in L_p – norm for the above combination of integral Baskakov type operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, Agrawal and Thamer [1] introduced a new sequence of linear positive operators in the following way:

$$(1.1) \quad L_n(f(t); x) = \int_0^{\infty} K_n(t, x) f(t) dt,$$

where $K_n(t, x) = (n-1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(x) p_{n,\nu-1}(t) + (1+x)^{-n} \delta(t)$, $\delta(t)$ being the Dirac-delta function and

$$p_{n,\nu}(x) = \binom{n+\nu-1}{\nu} x^{\nu} (1+x)^{-n-\nu}, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

May [3] and Rathore [4] have described a method for forming linear combinations of a sequence of linear positive operators so as to improve the order of approximation. Following their method, in [1] Agrawal and Thamer established some direct theorems for a linear combination of the operators (1.1). Later, they [2] also obtained an inverse theorem for these operators. The approximation process is described as follows:

KEYWORDS: Linear positive operators, Saturation theorem in L_p – space, Linear combination, Steklov mean.

For $k \in N^0$ (the set of nonnegative integers), the linear combination $L_n(f, k, x)$ of the operators $L_{d_j n}(f; x)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ is defined as:

$$(1.2) \quad L_n(f, k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) L_{d_j n}(f; x),$$

where $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k \in N$ (the set of positive integers) are arbitrary and distinct but fixed and

$$(1.3) \quad C(j, k) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i}, \quad k \neq 0 \text{ and } C(0, 0) = 1.$$

Throughout this paper, we denote by $C[a, b]$ the set of all continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$, $C^m[a, b]$ the subset of $C[a, b]$ of m -times continuously differentiable functions, C_0 the set of continuous functions on $(0, \infty)$ having a compact support and C_0^k the subset of C_0 of k -times continuously differentiable functions. Also, we denote by $AC[a, b]$, the class of absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$, $0 < a, b < \infty$, $BV[a, b]$ the class of functions of bounded variation over $[a, b]$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C[a, b]}$, the sup norm on the space $C[a, b]$.

Let $m \in N$ and $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $f \in L_p[a, b]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the m^{th} order integral modulus of smoothness of f is defined as:

$$\omega_m(f, \tau, p, [a, b]) = \sup_{0 < \delta \leq \tau} \left\| \Delta_\delta^m f(t) \right\|_{L_p[a, b - m\delta]},$$

where $\Delta_\delta^m f(t)$ is the m^{th} order forward difference of the function f with step length δ and $0 < \tau \leq (b - a) / m$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $0 < a_1 < a_3 < a_2 < b_2 < b_3 < b_1 < \infty$, $I_i = [a_i, b_i]$

$(i = 1, 2, 3)$, $\langle h, g \rangle = \int_0^\infty h(x)g(x) dx$ the inner product on the space $L_p[0, \infty)$ and C

denotes a constant not necessarily the same in different cases.

The object of the present paper is to prove the following (**saturation theorem**):

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, in the following statements, the implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iv) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vi) hold:

- (i) $\|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(I_1)} = O(n^{-(k+1)})$;
- (ii) f coincides almost everywhere (a.e.) with a function F on I_3 having $2k + 2$ derivatives such that:
 - (a) when $p > 1$, $F^{(2k+1)} \in AC(I_3)$ and $F^{(2k+2)} \in L_p(I_3)$,
 - (b) when $p = 1$, $F^{(2k)} \in AC(I_3)$ and $F^{(2k+1)} \in BV(I_3)$;
- (iii) $\|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(I_2)} = O(n^{-(k+1)})$;

- (iv) $\|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(I_1)} = o(n^{-(k+1)});$
 - (v) f coincides a.e. with a function F on I_3 , where F is $2k + 2$ times continuously differentiable on I_3 and satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{2k+2} p(j, k, x) F^{(j)}(x) = 0$, where $p(j, k, x)$ are the polynomials occurring in (2.1);
 - (vi) $\|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(I_2)} = o(n^{-(k+1)}),$
- where $O(1)$ and $o(1)$ terms are with respect to n , when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In order to prove the saturation theorem, we shall require the following results: Let $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, for sufficiently small $\eta > 0$, the Steklov mean $f_{\eta, m}$ of m^{th} order corresponding to f is defined as follows:

$$f_{\eta, m}(t) = \eta^{-m} \left(\int_{-\eta/2}^{\eta/2} \right)^m \left\{ f(t) + (-1)^{m-1} \Delta_{\sum_{i=1}^m t_i}^m f(t) \right\} \prod_{i=1}^m dt_i, \quad t \in I_1.$$

LEMMA 1 [6]. For the function $f_{\eta, m}(t)$ defined above, we have

- (a) $f_{\eta, m}(t)$ has derivatives upto order m over I_1 , $f_{\eta, m}^{(m-1)} \in AC(I_1)$ and $f_{\eta, m}^{(m)}$ exists a.e. and belongs to $L_p(I_1)$;
- (b) $\|f_{\eta, m}^{(r)}\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq M_r \eta^{-r} \omega_r(f, \eta, p, I_1), r = 1, 2, \dots, m;$
- (c) $\|f - f_{\eta, m}\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq M_{m+1} \omega_m(f, \eta, p, I_1);$
- (d) $\|f_{\eta, m}\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq M_{m+2} \|f\|_{L_p(I_1)};$
- (e) $\|f_{\eta, m}^{(m)}\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq M_{m+3} \eta^{-m} \|f\|_{L_p(I_1)},$

where M_i 's are certain constants that depend on i but are independent of f and η .

LEMMA 2 [1]. Let $m \in N^0$, the m^{th} order moment for the operators (1.1) be defined by:

$$U_{n, m}(x) = L_n((t-x)^m; x) = (n-1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p_{n, \nu}(x) \int_0^{\infty} p_{n, \nu-1}(t) (t-x)^m dt + (-x)^m (1+x)^{-n}.$$

Then $U_{n, 0}(x) = 1, U_{n, 1}(x) = \frac{2x}{n-2}$ and

$$(n-m-2)U_{n, m+1}(x) = x(1+x)U'_{n, m}(x) + [(2x+1)m + 2x]U_{n, m}(x) + 2mx(1+x)U_{n, m-1}(x), \quad n > m + 2.$$

Further, we have the following consequences of $U_{n,m}(x)$:

- (i) $U_{n,m}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree m ;
- (ii) for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ $U_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2})$, where $[\beta]$ denotes the integer part of β .

LEMMA 3 [1]. For $m \in N^0$, the function $V_{n,m}(t)$ be defined by:

$$V_{n,m}(t) = (n-1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu-1}(t) \int_0^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(x)(x-t)^m dx.$$

Then $V_{n,0}(t) = 1$, $V_{n,1}(t) = \frac{2(1+t)}{(n-2)}$ and there holds the recurrence relation

$$(n-m-2)V_{n,m+1}(t) = t(1+t)V'_{n,m}(t) + \{(2t+1)(m+1) + 1\}V_{n,m}(t) + 2mt(1+t)V_{n,m-1}(t), \quad n > m+2,$$

Consequently:

- (i) $V_{n,m}(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree m ;
- (ii) for every $t \in [0, \infty)$, $V_{n,m}(t) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2})$.

LEMMA 4 [3]. If $C(j, k)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ are defined as in (1.3), then

$$\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) d_j^{-m} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad m = 0 \\ 0 & , \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{cases}.$$

LEMMA 5 [1]. For $m \in N$ and n sufficiently large, there holds

$$L_n((t-x)^m, k, x) = n^{-(k+1)} \{q(m, k, x) + o(1)\},$$

where $q(m, k, x)$ is a certain polynomial in x of degree m and $x \in [0, \infty)$ is arbitrary but fixed. Further, we have

$$q(2k+1, k, x) = \frac{(-1)^k (2k+1)!}{k! \prod_{j=0}^k d_j} \{(k+1)(1+2x) - 1\} \{x(1+x)\}^k \text{ and}$$

$$q(2k+2, k, x) = \frac{(-1)^k (2k+2)!}{(k+1)! \prod_{j=0}^k d_j} \{x(1+x)\}^{k+1}.$$

LEMMA 6. Suppose that $f \in C^{2k+2}(I_1)$ has a compact support. Then, there holds

$$(2.1) \quad L_n(f, k, x) - f(x) = n^{-(k+1)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} p(i, k, x) f^{(i)}(x) + o(1) \right\}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in $x \in I_1$, where $p(i, k, x)$ is a polynomials in x of degree i and does not vanish on $(0, \infty)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+2$.

Proof. We can write f by using Taylor's expansion of f on x as:

$$(2.2) \quad f(t) - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \frac{(t-x)^i}{i!} f^{(i)}(x) + \frac{(t-x)^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} \left(f^{(2k+2)}(\xi) - f^{(2k+2)}(x) \right),$$

where ξ lies between t and x .

Now, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\left| f^{(2k+2)}(u) - f^{(2k+2)}(w) \right| < \varepsilon$, where $|u - w| < \delta$, $u, w \in I_1$. Thus, for all $t, x \in I_1$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \left| (t-x)^{2k+2} \left(f^{(2k+2)}(\xi) - f^{(2k+2)}(x) \right) \right| < \varepsilon (t-x)^{2k+2} + \frac{2}{\delta^2} \left\| f^{(2k+2)} \right\|_{C(I_1)} (t-x)^{2k+4}.$$

Now, by the positivity of the operators L_n and the Lemma 2, we get

$$(2.4) \quad \left| L_n \left((t-x)^{2k+2} \left(f^{(2k+2)}(\xi) - f^{(2k+2)}(x) \right); x \right) \right| < C n^{-(k+1)} (\varepsilon + n^{-1}).$$

Using Lemma 5, we have

$$(2.5) \quad L_n \left(\frac{(t-x)^i}{i!}, k, x \right) = n^{-(k+1)} (p(i, k, x) + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+2$ and o -term holds uniformly in $x \in I_1$.

Again, using Lemma 2 (the recurrence relation), we can see that $p(i, k, x)$ does not vanish on $(0, \infty)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+2$. By applying the linear combination $L_n(\cdot, k, x)$ to (2.2), we get

$$(2.6) \quad L_n(f, k, x) - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} L_n((t-x)^i, k, x) + \frac{1}{(2k+2)!} L_n \left((t-x)^{2k+2} \left(f^{(2k+2)}(\xi) - f^{(2k+2)}(x) \right), k, x \right).$$

Finally, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, using (2.4)-(2.6) the required result is immediate. ■

LEMMA 7. Let $h \in L_p(I_1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with $\text{supp } h \subset I_1$. If h has $2k+1$ derivatives with $h^{(2k)} \in AC(I_1)$ and $h^{(2k+1)} \in L_p(I_1)$, then for each $g \in C_0^{2k+2}$ with $\text{supp } g \subset (0, \infty)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \langle L_n(h, k, x) - h(x), g(x) \rangle \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \left\| h^{(r)} \right\|_{C(I_1)}.$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$(2.7) \quad \langle L_n(h, k, x) - h(x), g(x) \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \langle L_{d_j, n}(h(t); x), g(x) \rangle - \langle h, g \rangle.$$

By using Fubini's theorem and Taylor's expansion of g on t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle L_{d,j,n}(h(t); x), g(x) \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) h(t) g(x) dt dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) h(t) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \frac{(x-t)^i}{i!} g^{(i)}(t) + \frac{(x-t)^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} g^{(2k+2)}(\xi_1) \right] dx dt, \\
&\hspace{15em} (\xi_1 \text{ lying between } x \text{ and } t) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) dx \right) h(t) g(t) dt + \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) (x-t) dx \right) h(t) g'(t) dt \\
&\hspace{10em} + \sum_{i=2}^{2k+1} \frac{1}{i!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) (x-t)^i h(t) g^{(i)}(t) dx dt \\
&\hspace{10em} + \frac{1}{(2k+2)!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) (x-t)^{2k+2} h(t) g^{(2k+2)}(\xi_1) dx dt \\
&= \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{2k+1} \sigma_i + \sigma_{2k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sigma_0 &= \langle h, g \rangle \text{ and} \\
\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sigma_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_{d,j,n}(t, x) (x-t) dx h(t) g'(t) dt \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \int_0^\infty \frac{2(1+t)}{d_j n - 2} h(t) g'(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the compactness of g' and Lemma 4, we get:

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sigma_1 \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^{k+1}} \|h\|_{C(I_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sigma_{2k+2} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^{k+1}} \|h\|_{C(I_1)}.$$

Now, let $h_i(t) = h(t) g^{(i)}(t)$, $2 \leq i \leq 2k+1$ then by using Taylor's expansion of h_i on x , we have $h_i(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{2k-1} \frac{(t-x)^r}{r!} h_i^{(r)}(x) + \frac{(t-x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} h_i^{(2k)}(\xi_2)$ where ξ_2 lies between t and x . Applying Fubini's theorem and Lemma 4, we have for each i

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sigma_i \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \|h_i^{(r)}\|_{C(I_1)} \right\} \leq \frac{C}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \|h^{(r)}\|_{C(I_1)} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \left| \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \left(\sum_{i=2}^{2k+1} \sigma_i \right) \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \|h^{(r)}\|_{C(I_1)}.$$

Finally, combining the estimates of $\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sigma_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k + 2$ with (2.7), the required result follows. ■

Our next result is the inverse theorem for $L_n(\cdot, k, x)$ of the operators (1.1).

THEOREM 2 [2]. Let $0 < \alpha < 2k + 2$, $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and

$$\|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(I_1)} = O(n^{-\alpha/2}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $\omega_{2k+2}(f, \tau, p, I_3) = O(\tau^\alpha)$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM 3 [5]. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $f \in L_p[a, b]$ and there hold

$$\omega_m(f, \tau, p, [a, b]) = O(\tau^{r+\alpha}), \quad (\tau \rightarrow 0),$$

where $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $f(x)$ coincides a.e. on $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$ with a function $F(x)$ possessing an absolutely continuous derivative $F^{(r-1)}(x)$, the r^{th} derivative $F^{(r)}(x) \in L_p[c, d]$, and there holds $\omega(F^{(r)}, \tau, p, [c, d]) = O(\tau^\alpha)$, $(\tau \rightarrow 0)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

We assume that $a_1 < x_1 < x_2 < a_3 < b_3 < y_2 < y_1 < b_1$, and $J_i = [x_i, y_i]$ ($i = 1, 2$). We get from Theorems 2, 3 that f coincides a.e. on (x_1, y_1) with a function called F such that $F^{(2k)} \in AC(J_1)$ and $F^{(2k+1)} \in L_p(J_1)$. Moreover, for $0 < \beta < 1$,

$$(3.1) \quad \omega(F^{(2k+1)}, \tau, p, J_1) = O(\tau^\beta), \quad \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $q \in C_0^{2k+2}$ with $\text{supp } q \subset (a_1, b_1)$ and $q(x) = 1$ if $x \in J_1$. Put $\hat{f}(x) = F(x)q(x)$, $x \in [0, \infty)$ then

$$\|L_n(\hat{f}, k, \cdot) - \hat{f}\|_{L_p(J_2)} \leq \|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(J_2)} + \|L_n(\hat{f} - f, k, \cdot)\|_{L_p(J_2)}.$$

Because of $\hat{f} = f$ on J_1 , the contribution of the second term of the right hand side can be made arbitrary small as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, assuming (i), it follows that

$$\|L_n(\hat{f}, k, \cdot) - \hat{f}\|_{L_p(J_2)} = O(n^{-(k+1)}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, if $p > 1$, using Alaoglu's theorem there exists a function $h(x) \in L_p(J_2)$ such that for some subsequence $\{n_j\}$ and for every $g \in C_0^{2k+2}$ with $\text{supp } g \subset (a_1, b_1)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, \cdot) - \hat{f}, g \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle.$$

When $p = 1$, the functions $\phi_n(x)$ defined by:

$$\phi_n(u) = \int_{x_2}^u n^{k+1} \{L_n(\hat{f}, k, x) - \hat{f}(x)\} dx$$

are uniformly bounded and are of uniformly bounded variation. Making use of Alaoglu's theorem, it follows that there exists a function $\phi_0(x) \in AC(J_2)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, \cdot) - \hat{f}, g \rangle = -\langle \phi_0, g' \rangle.$$

Now, suppose that $\hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}$ is the Steklov mean of $(2k+2)^{th}$ order corresponding to \hat{f} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, x) - \hat{f}(x), g(x) \rangle &= \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}, k, x) - (\hat{f} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2})(x), g(x) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}, k, x) - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}(x), g(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}, k, x) - (\hat{f} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2})(x), g(x) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n_j^{k+1}} \langle P_{2k+2}(D)\hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}(x), g(x) \rangle + o\left(\frac{1}{n_j^{k+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 6, where $P_{2k+2}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} p(i, k, x) D^i$ and $D \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Let $P_{2k+2}^*(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} p^*(i, k, x) D^i$ denote the differential operator adjoint to $P_{2k+2}(D)$,

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, x) - \hat{f}(x), g(x) \rangle &- \langle \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}(x), P_{2k+2}^*(D)g(x) \rangle \\ &= n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}, k, x) - (\hat{f} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2})(x), g(x) \rangle + o(1) \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \left\| \hat{f}^{(r)} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}^{(r)} \right\|_{C(I_1)} \right\} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

(in view of Lemma 1 (property (a)) and Lemma 7).

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, x) - \hat{f}(x), g(x) \rangle &- \langle \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}(x), P_{2k+2}^*(D)g(x) \rangle \\ &\leq C \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \left\| \hat{f}^{(r)} - \hat{f}_{\eta, 2k+2}^{(r)} \right\|_{C(I_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and using (3.1) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, x) - \hat{f}(x), g(x) \rangle - \langle \hat{f}(x), P_{2k+2}^*(D)g(x) \rangle = 0.$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.4), we have $\langle \hat{f}(x), P_{2k+2}^*(D)g(x) \rangle = \langle h(x), g(x) \rangle$ and hence

$$(3.5) \quad h = P_{2k+2}(D)\hat{f}$$

as generalized functions. Now, in view of Lemmas 5 and 6, we have

$$p(2k+2, k, x) = \frac{q(2k+2, k, x)}{(2k+2)!} = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)! \prod_{j=0}^k d_j} \{x(1+x)\}^{k+1} \neq 0.$$

Hence, regarding (3.5) as a generalized first order linear differential equation for $\hat{f}^{(2k+1)}$ with the non-homogeneous terms linearly depending on $\hat{f}^{(i)}$, $0 \leq i \leq 2k$ and h with polynomial coefficients, as $\hat{f}^{(i)} \in C(J_2)$, ($0 \leq i \leq 2k$) and $h \in L_p(J_2)$ we conclude that $\hat{f}^{(2k+1)} \in AC(J_2)$ and therefore that $\hat{f}^{(2k+2)} \in L_p(J_2)$. Since \hat{f} coincides with F on J_2 it follows that $F^{(2k+1)} \in AC(I_2)$ and that $F^{(2k+2)} \in L_p(I_2)$.

When $p=1$, proceeding as in the case of $p > 1$ with (3.2) replaced by (3.3) we find that $F^{(2k)} \in AC(I_2)$ and $F^{(2k+1)} \in BV(I_2)$. This completes the proof of implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from proposition of [1] for the case $p > 1$ and $p = 1$. Assuming (iv), since $n^{k+1} \|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_{L_p(I_1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, proceeding as in the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) it follows that $n^{k+1} \|L_n(\hat{f}, k, \cdot) - \hat{f}\|_{L_p(J_2)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence we find that $h(x)$ and $\phi_0(x)$ are zero functions.

Thus, $P_{2k+2}^*(D)\hat{f}(x) = 0$. This implies that \hat{f} is $2k+2$ times continuously differentiable function. Now, applying Lemma 6 for the function \hat{f}

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} n_j^{k+1} \langle L_{n_j}(\hat{f}, k, \cdot) - \hat{f}, g \rangle = \langle P_{2k+2}(D)\hat{f}, g \rangle.$$

Comparing (3.4) and (3.6), we have $P_{2k+2}(D)\hat{f}(x) = 0$. Hence, over I_2 , F is $2k+2$ times continuously differentiable function and $P_{2k+2}(D)F(x) = 0$.

Finally, (v) \Rightarrow (vi) follows from Lemma 6. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Agrawal, P.N. and Thamer, Kareem J.: A new sequence of linear positive operators for higher order L_p - approximation, *Revista de la U.M.A.*, **41(4)**(2000), 9-18.
- [2] Agrawal, P.N. and Thamer, Kareem J.: Inverse theorem for a new sequence of linear positive operators on L_p - spaces, *Revista de la U.M.A.*, **42(1)**(2000), 1-8.
- [3] May, C.P.: Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential type operators, *Canad. J. Math.*, **28** (1976), 1224-1250.
- [4] Rathore, R.K.S., *Linear Combinations of Linear Positive Operators and Generating Relations in Special Functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, I.I.T. Delhi (India), (1973).
- [5] Sinha, T.A.K.: *Restructured Sequences of Linear Positive Operators for Higher Order L_p - Approximation*, Ph.D. Thesis, I.I.T. Kanpur (India), (1981).
- [6] Timan, A.F.: *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable* (English Translation), Dover Publications, Inc., N.Y., 1994.

T.A.K. Sinha

Department of Mathematics, S.M.D. College, Poonpooon, Patna (BIHAR), India.

P.N. Agrawal

Department of Mathematics, I.I.T. Roorkee, Roorkee-247 667, India.

e-mail: pnappfma@iitr.ernet.in

Ali J. Mohammad

Department of Mathematics, I.I.T. Roorkee, Roorkee-247 667, India.

e-mail: alijadma@iitr.ernet.in

Recibido: 23 de febrero de 2003

Aceptado: 26 de agosto de 2003