

CARNOT MANIFOLDS

AROLDO KAPLAN

Transparencies of the talk given at egeo2005 (La Falda, Junio de 2005), slightly edited

SUBMATH:

Subriemannian Geometry, Subelliptic Operators, Subanalytic Varieties, Subsymplectic Geometry.

Only eventually (not originally) related.

Motivations: PDE, Control Theory, non-holonomic systems, ...

Subriemannian Geometry:

M = smooth or analytic manifold

V = distribution on M (subbundle of $T(M)$, smooth or analytic)

g = inner product on V , smooth or analytic

A submanifold $N \subset M$ is *horizontal* if $\forall p \in N$

$$T_p(N) \subset V_p.$$

- Must think that moving along non-horizontal directions is forbidden. Analogy with Parking Problem.

- Whatever the V , \exists always horizontal *curves*: integral curves of vector fields in V .

- Maximal horizontal submanifolds? 2nd. half of talk.

- Horizontal curves $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ have

$$\text{Lenght}(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{g(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))} dt$$

Carnot-Carathéodory “distance” on M :

$$d(p, q) = \inf_{\gamma} \{\text{Lenght}(\gamma)\}$$

γ horizontal, $\gamma(a) = p$, $\gamma(b) = q$,

$$d(p, q) = \infty$$

if \exists no such curve.

- Main problems since ~ 1985 :
 - Regularity of $d(p, q)$
 - Recovering V from d (Gromov)
 - Admissible domains for Bdy. Value Problems for

Subelliptic Operators

Defined by regularity condition: $\exists \epsilon > 0$:

$$\|f\|_{H^\epsilon} \leq C(\|Df\|_{H^0} + \|f\|_{H^0})$$

True for elliptic D , where $\epsilon = 1$, wherefrom

$$Df = g, g \text{ smooth} \Rightarrow f \text{ smooth}$$

(def. of hypoelliptic).

But false for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) = 0!$ (take $f(x, y) = \phi(y)$ arbitrary).

Important special case: sublaplacians

$$D = X_1^2 + \dots + X_k^2$$

X_i vector fields on a manifold M

- Classical: if $\{X_i(p)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of $T_p(M) \forall p$, then D elliptic. This implies Existence, Uniqueness and Regularity for

$$Df = g$$

- Relation with previous situation:

$$V_p \leftrightarrow \text{span}\{X_i(p)\}$$

- Kohn's sublaplacian in \mathbf{R}^3 (bdy. value of the Bargmann laplacian of the 3-ball in C^2):

$$D = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^2$$

not elliptic, degenerates along z -axis. Still, hypoelliptic, even analytically so:

$$Df = g \in C^r \Rightarrow f \in C^r \quad (r = \infty, \omega)$$

Ultimate reason: R^3 is spanned by

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad [X, Y] = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

Remark: Kohn's sublaplacian can be viewed as the boundary value of the laplacian of the 3-ball in C^2 , with its Bargmann metric.

Classes of distributions

\mathcal{T} = tangent sheaf of M (germs of vector fields)

\mathcal{V} = subsheaf of fields $X_q \in V_q$.

Filtration of \mathcal{T} generated by \mathcal{V} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_1 &= \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{W}_2 &= \mathcal{V} + [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \\ \mathcal{W}_3 &= \mathcal{V} + [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] + [\mathcal{V}, [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}]] \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

- V Involutive: $\mathcal{W}_j = \mathcal{V} \forall j$ ($[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{V}$).
- V Outvolutive, bracket-generating, fat: $\exists k \mathcal{W}_k = \mathcal{T}$

Recall *Involutive* case:

- Frobenius: *Involutive* \Rightarrow *completely integrable*:

$$M = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}, \quad V_p = T_p(N_{\alpha(p)})$$

with the leaves N_{α} maximal.

Involutive subriemannian geometry? Not very interesting: each N_{α} is riemannian. d_{CC} distance between leaves is ∞ . $\sum X_i^2$ is far from regular. Still, Lie algebras of vector fields are fundamental in Control. If L is such, then $p \mapsto L(p)$ not a distribution: $\dim L(p)$ may jump. It is a “Distribution with singularities”, but involutive, so one can ask

- Is every point of M contained in a unique maximal integral submanifold of L ?

Answer: NO for smooth, YES for analytic.

(Hermann-Nagano Theorem). This is why real analyticity – and, eventually, sub-analyticity – eventually come in [S].

Outvolutive distributions

- Example: $M = \mathbf{R}^3$

$$\mathcal{V} = \text{span}\left\{X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\}$$

$$[X, Y] = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \notin \mathcal{V}$$

so $X, Y, [X, Y]$, span $T_p(\mathbf{R}^3)$ everywhere.

From now on, V will be outvolutive.

THEOREMS. Assume M can be connected with smooth arcs.

- Chow (anti-Frobenius). *Any two points can be joined by a smooth horizontal curve.*

$\Rightarrow d(p, q) < \infty$ for any subriemannian structure on (M, V) .

- Regularity of $d(p, q)$ is critical. For example, unless $V \neq T(M)$, the function $p \mapsto d(p_o, p)$ is not continuously differentiable in any punctured neighborhood of p_o !

Instead,

- Agrachev:

(M, V, g) analytic $\Rightarrow d(p_o, p)$ subanalytic

i.e., d -balls are subanalytic.

Subanalytic sets: locally projections of semianalytic. Equivalently, locally of the form

$$\{\mathbf{x} : f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0, g_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0\}$$

with f_i, g_j , real analytic.

Lojasiewicz, Sussmann, ...

As to subellipticity,

- Hormander:

If X_i is a local basis of \mathcal{V} , then $\sum X_j^2$ is hypoelliptic

Horizontal Submanifolds

$$N \hookrightarrow M : \quad T_p(N) \subset V_p$$

- \exists many horizontal curves. Higher dimension?

Integral objects of non-integrable things are likely interesting. Also occur spontaneously in minimal surfaces, Jets of Maps, Control, ... But are hard to find, no general pattern.

Models:

Carnot Groups

$$Lie(G) = \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{v}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{v}_k$$

satisfying

$$[\mathfrak{v}_1, \mathfrak{v}_j] = \mathfrak{v}_{j+1}$$

Canonical distribution on G :

$$V = \mathfrak{v}_1$$

- Origin: Gromov's Theorems on growth of discrete groups
- Not just examples: any outvolutive distribution filters \mathcal{T}_M . The associated graded

$$Gr^W = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{W}_j / \mathcal{W}_{j+1}$$

is a sheaf of Carnot algebras.

- How many? Even step 2

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} = [\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}]$$

no classification is possible for $\dim \mathfrak{z} > 3$. (Bernstein-Gelfand-Ponomarev-Gabriel-Coxeter-Dynkin Diagram has $\dim \mathfrak{z}$ edges joining 2 vertices)

Models of models?

Groups of Heisenberg type

But “as role models go, they are hard to emulate”: only Carnot groups with abundant domains admissible for the Dirichlet problem and/or explicit fundamental solutions for $\sum X_i^2$, weakly convex gauge ...

- Definition: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ with inner products such that

$$J_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$$

defined by

$$(J_z u, u')_{\mathbf{v}} = (z, [u, u'])_{\mathbf{z}}$$

satisfies

$$J_z^2 = -2|z|^2 I.$$

Equivalently: J_z defines unitary representation of $\text{Cliff}(\mathbf{z})$ on \mathbf{v} .

Groups of H type \approx Clifford modules

Parametrized by 2 or 3 natural numbers

$$\mathfrak{g} = (n\mathbf{S}_m) \oplus \mathbf{R}^m$$

or

$$\mathfrak{g} = (n_+ \mathbf{S}_m^+ \oplus n_- \mathbf{S}_m^-) \oplus \mathbf{R}^m$$

$\mathbf{S}_m, \mathbf{S}_m^+, \mathbf{S}_m^-$, spinor spaces.

- Analogy with symplectic.
- History: fundamental solution for $D = \sum X_j^2$:

$$D\Phi = \delta \quad \text{for} \quad \Phi(\exp(v+z)) = \frac{C}{(|v|^4 + 16|z|^2)^N}$$

Since then keep yielding interesting riemannian examples (K., Willmore-Damek-Ricci, Selberg-Lauret, Gordon, Szabo, ...).

- $\text{Aut}(G)$ is largest. As to subriemannian:

“Manifolds of Heisenberg type are to subriemannian Geometry, as Euclidean spaces, or symmetric spaces, are to riemannian geometry”

- The search for maximal horizontal submanifolds in groups of Heisenberg type is joint work with Levstein, Saal, Tiraboschi.

- *In any Carnot group, for any horizontal submanifold N and any point $p \in N$, there exists a unique horizontal subgroup $G_{N,p}$ such that*

$$T_p(N) = (L_p)_*(T_e(G_{N,p})).$$

Any horizontal subgroup is abelian.

Hope to find all the latter. The following points to other reasons

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Maximal isotropic subspaces of } (\mathbf{v}, [,]) & & U \\ \updownarrow & & \\ \text{Maximal horizontal subgroups of } G & & \exp(U) \\ \updownarrow & & \\ \text{Maximal abelian subgroups of } G & & \exp(U+Z) \end{array}$$

- Classical examples to keep in mind:

On the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group, the distribution is 2-dimensional and the maximal horizontal submanifolds are 1-dimensional. But too many. Subgroups then.

On the $2n+1$ dimensional Heisenberg, the distribution is $2n$ -dimensional and the maximal horizontal submanifolds are n -dimensional. Distinguished class: $\exp(U)$ with $U \subset \mathfrak{v}$ totally isotropic in the usual sense.

- In general, maximal possible dimension is $\dim \mathfrak{v}/2$: “Lagrangian” subspaces. Not always achieved. $Lag(G)$ is a variety. Have a description (to be presented by Levstein in Colonia).
- Relation with Schroedinger Representations (?), Deligne’s “Reality and the Heisenberg group”.

SOME CONCLUSIONS

- Sometimes $Lag(G) = \emptyset$
- Sometimes any two Lagrangians are conjugate by an automorphism of G , sometimes not.
- Sometimes $Lag(G)$ is a group. For example, if $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and

$$Lie(G) = (\mathbf{S}_m^+)^n \oplus (\mathbf{S}_m^-)^n \oplus \mathbf{R}^m$$

then

$$Lag(G) = O(n)$$

- Always $Lag(G) = \text{finite } \bigcup \text{ of } Aut(G)\text{-orbits, of the form } K/K', \text{ with } K, K', \text{ reductive}$
- NEXT: Maximal, but $\dim < n/2$?

Examples! In $G =$ Quaternionic 7-dimensional or Octonionic 15-dimensional Heisenberg there are no horizontal submanifolds of dimension > 1 . The distribution has dimension 4 and 8, respectively.

Bibliography

A good starting point for geometers is R. Montgomery’s review of Gromov’s book. The following references were chosen specifically for the talk, but they and their bibliography are representative

R. Montgomery *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, A.M.S. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 2002

Gromov *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, in Subriemannian Geometry, Progr. Math., 144, Birkhauser (1996)

Review by R. Montgomery in MathSciNet 2000f:53034

Capogna - Garofalo - Nhieu, *Properties of harmonic measures in the Dirichlet problem for nilpotent Lie groups of Heisenberg type*, American Journal of Mathematics 124, 2 (2002)

Danielli - Garofalo - Nhieu, *Notions of convexity in Carnot groups*, Comm. in Analysis and Geom., 11-2 (2003)

M. Christ, *A remark on sums of squares of complex vector fields*, math.CV/0503506

Kaplan *Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic operators associated with composition of quadratic forms*, Trans. A.M.S. 258 (1980)

Agrachev - Gauthier *On the subanalyticity of CC distances*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré; Analyse non-linéaire 18, No. 3, (2001),

Review by Sussmann in MathSciNet 2002h:93031

Sussmann, *Why real analyticity is important in Control Theory*, Perspectives in control theory, Birkhuser (1990)

Citti - Sarti *A cortical based model of perceptual completion in the roto-translation space*, Workshop on Second Order Subelliptic Equations and Applications, Cortona, June 2003

Aroldo Kaplan

FaMAF-CIEM, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba,

Córdoba 5000, Argentina

kaplan@mate.uncor.edu

Recibido: 30 de septiembre de 2005

Aceptado: 27 de septiembre de 2006