

RELATION BETWEEN BICROSSED PRODUCTS AND CROSSED EXTENSIONS OF FUSION CATEGORIES

MONIQUE MÜLLER, HÉCTOR MARTÍN PEÑA POLLASTRI, AND JULIA PLAVNIK

ABSTRACT. We show that all crossed extensions defined by Natale can be recovered as duals of bicrossed products of fusion categories. As an application, we prove that any exact factorization between a pointed fusion category vec_G and a fusion category \mathcal{C} can be realized as a bicrossed product between vec_G and \mathcal{C} .

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of fusion categories has become of crucial importance in recent years, with new advances in quantum computing via the Kitaev model [7] as a way to produce error-resistant quantum computers, in the description of topological states of matter, and more generally as a way of formalizing two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory, as described in [11]. Then it is of great importance to find new examples and to understand how the known examples can be combined into other ones. Our emphasis is on concrete examples, where the associativity and all the maps involving the categorical structure can be displayed as a collection of matrices, the $6j$ -symbols, satisfying coherence conditions. Pursuing this goal, in [12], the authors defined the bicrossed product of fusion categories as a way to construct and understand exact factorizations. This construction produces all possible Grothendieck rings for an exact factorization as indicated in [12, Theorem 3.14], and a follow-up question is whether every exact factorization is equivalent to a bicrossed product in the categorical setting, as happens for groups [17], [6, §IX.1], and Hopf algebras [9], [10, §7.2], [6, §IX.2]; see [12, Question 3]. The following theorem provides an answer when one of the factors is the category of finite-dimensional G -graded vector spaces for a finite group G , denoted by vec_G .

Theorem (Theorem 3.2). *If $\mathcal{D} = \text{vec}_G \bullet \mathcal{C}$ is an exact factorization of fusion categories, then \mathcal{D} is tensor equivalent to a bicrossed product $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 18M20.

MM was partially supported by the Association for Women in Mathematics (AWM) through the AWM Mathematical Endeavors Revitalization Program (MERP) grant. The research of JP was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2146392. HMPP, MM, and JP were partially supported by Simons Foundation Award 889000 as part of the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries.

To obtain this result, we first prove in Theorem 3.1 that the extensions

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{vec}_G \bullet \mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Rep}(G) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

associated with the exact factorizations $\mathrm{vec}_G \bullet \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathrm{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$ are equivalent in the set of extensions $\mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{Rep}(G), \mathcal{C}, \mathrm{vec})$ with respect to vec ; see Definition 2.6. Now the rest of the proof follows by combining two previous results concerning exact factorizations [5] and extensions (in the sense of exact sequences as defined in [3]). In [1, Corollary 5.6], the authors show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between certain exact factorizations and extensions of fusion categories; on the other hand, a big family of extensions of fusion categories, the so-called abelian extensions, were classified in [14, Theorem 1.1] as crossed extensions of fusion categories. The main result then follows from our Theorem 3.1 about extensions mentioned previously.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the preliminaries and notation needed to state and prove the results, including an overview of bicrossed products, exact factorizations, extensions (exact sequences), and crossed extensions. In Section 3, the main results (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) are proven.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The assumption that \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed is required in references [5] and [14], from which we use results. For example, [16] explains why being algebraically closed is important. The characteristic-zero hypothesis is assumed in [14]. All vector spaces are considered to be finite-dimensional. All categories considered in this work are abelian \mathbb{k} -linear and semisimple; we refer the reader to [8] for further details. A *fusion* category \mathcal{C} is a finite semisimple rigid tensor category with product \otimes , associativity α and unital maps ℓ , r , and the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is simple; see [4, Definition 4.1.1]. The set of equivalence classes of simple objects is denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$.

Given a category \mathcal{M} and a fusion category \mathcal{C} , we say that \mathcal{M} is a (right) *module category over \mathcal{C}* if there exist a bifunctor $\bar{\otimes}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphisms $m_{M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'}: M \bar{\otimes} (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}') \rightarrow (M \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{C}'$ and $m_M^0: M \bar{\otimes} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow M$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in \mathcal{C}$, satisfying some conditions; see [4, Definition 7.1.1].

Functors are required to preserve the relevant structure. Specifically, a functor $\mathbf{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between categories is always \mathbb{k} -linear and exact. If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are fusion categories, the functor $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}_{X,Y}^2, \mathbf{F}^0)$ is also required to be tensor, where $\mathbf{F}_{X,Y}^2: \mathbf{F}(X) \otimes \mathbf{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(X \otimes Y)$ and $\mathbf{F}^0: \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$; see [4, Definition 2.4.1].

A functor $(\mathbf{F}, s): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between \mathcal{C} -module categories for a fusion category \mathcal{C} is a pair consisting of a \mathbb{k} -linear functor $\mathbf{F}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ together with natural isomorphisms $s_{M, \mathcal{C}}: \mathbf{F}(M \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(M) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the conditions given in [4, Definition 7.2.1].

Given an indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} , the dual category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ of \mathcal{C} with respect to \mathcal{M} is the (strict) fusion category $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{C} -module endofunctors $(\mathbf{F}, s): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, with tensor product given by composition of functors and duals by adjunctions. Associated to a tensor functor $\mathbf{T}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ between fusion categories

\mathcal{D} and \mathcal{C} there is an induced \mathcal{D} -module structure on \mathcal{M} by \mathbf{T} , and we have a tensor functor $\mathbf{T}^*_\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{C}^*_\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^*_\mathcal{M}$ that maps (\mathbf{F}, s) to (\mathbf{F}, t) , where $t_{M,D} = s_{M, \mathbf{T}(D)}$, for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

2.1. G -categories. In this subsection, we recall \mathbb{k} -linear abelian categories with action by a group. A category equipped with such an action is said to be a G -category, and it categorifies the notion of a G -set. This notion is relevant for describing crossed extensions and their equivalence with certain bicrossed products.

Definition 2.1 ([4, Definition 2.7.1]). Let G be a group. A (right) G -category is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \triangleleft)$ where \mathcal{C} is a category equipped with a right action \triangleleft of G . That is, for every $g \in G$ there exists an exact \mathbb{k} -linear functor $-\triangleleft g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and there are natural isomorphisms $\mathbf{R}^2_{g,h}: (-\triangleleft g) \triangleleft h \rightarrow -\triangleleft gh$ and $\mathbf{R}^0: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow -\triangleleft e$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}^2_{gh,k})_X((\mathbf{R}^2_{g,h})_X \triangleleft k) &= (\mathbf{R}^2_{g,hk})_X(\mathbf{R}^2_{h,k})_{X \triangleleft g}, \\ (\mathbf{R}^2_{g,e})_X \mathbf{R}^0_{X \triangleleft g} = \text{id}_{X \triangleleft g} &= (\mathbf{R}^2_{e,g})_X((\mathbf{R}^0_X) \triangleleft g) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $g, h, k \in G$. Similarly, we define a left action \triangleright , that is, for every $g \in G$ there is an exact functor $g \triangleright -$ with natural isomorphisms $\mathbf{L}^2_{g,h}: h \triangleright (g \triangleright -) \rightarrow gh \triangleright -$ and $\mathbf{L}^0: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow e \triangleright -$ satisfying equations analogous to the previous ones.

Similarly, the notion of a G -functor between G -categories can be defined.

Definition 2.2 ([4, Definition 2.7.1]). Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be G -categories. A G -functor between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is a pair $(\mathbf{F}, \{\mu^g\}_{g \in G})$ where $\mathbf{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor and each $\mu^g_X: F(X) \triangleleft g \rightarrow F(X \triangleleft g)$ is a natural isomorphism such that the following equation is satisfied:

$$\mathbf{F}((\mathbf{R}^2_{g,h})_X)(\mu^h_{X \triangleleft g})(\mu^g_X \triangleleft h) = (\mu^{gh}_X)(\mathbf{R}^2_{g,h})_{\mathbf{F}(X)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $(\mathbf{F}, \{\mu^g\}_{g \in G})$ and $(\mathbf{H}, \{\theta^g\}_{g \in G})$ be two G -functors between the G -categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . A G -natural transformation is a natural transformation $\sigma: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ such that $\sigma_{X \triangleleft g} \mu^g_X = \theta^g_X(\sigma_X \triangleleft g)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $g \in G$.

A natural notion on a G -category \mathcal{C} is that of an equivariant object. These are pairs $(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ where X is an object in \mathcal{C} and $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ is a family of isomorphisms $u_g: X \triangleleft g \rightarrow X$ such that

$$u_{gh}(\mathbf{R}^2_{g,h})_X = u_h(u_g \triangleleft h) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $g, h \in G$; see [4, Definition 2.7.2]. We can define a category with the equivariant objects denoted by \mathcal{C}^G , namely the equivariant category or G -equivariantization of \mathcal{C} . A morphism between equivariant objects $(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ and $(Y, \{v_g\}_{g \in G})$ is a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $v_g(f \triangleleft g) = fu_g$ for all $g \in G$.

The following lemma is useful for constructing equivariant objects.

Lemma 2.3. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be G -categories, $(\mathbf{F}, \theta^g): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a G -functor, and $(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ be an equivariant object in \mathcal{C} . Then $(\mathbf{F}(X), \{\mathbf{F}(u_g)\theta^g_X\}_{g \in G})$ is an equivariant object in \mathcal{D} .

Proof. We just need to show that the following diagram is commutative for all $g, h \in G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (\mathbf{F}(X) \triangleleft g) \triangleleft h & \xrightarrow{\theta_X^g \triangleleft h} & \mathbf{F}(X \triangleleft g) \triangleleft h & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}(u_g) \triangleleft h} & \mathbf{F}(X) \triangleleft h \\
 (\mathbf{R}_{g,h}^2)_{\mathbf{F}(X)} \downarrow & & \theta_{X \triangleleft g}^h \downarrow & (ii) & \downarrow \theta_X^h \\
 \mathbf{F}(X) \triangleleft gh & (i) & \mathbf{F}((X \triangleleft g) \triangleleft h) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}(u_g \triangleleft h)} & \mathbf{F}(X \triangleleft h) \\
 & & \mathbf{F}((\mathbf{R}_{g,h}^2)_X) \downarrow & (iii) & \downarrow \mathbf{F}(u_h) \\
 & \searrow \theta_X^{gh} & \mathbf{F}(X \triangleleft gh) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}(u_{gh})} & \mathbf{F}(X).
 \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the diagram (i) follows from (2.2), (ii) follows from the naturality of θ^h , and (iii) from applying \mathbf{F} to (2.3). \square

Module categories over vec_G . Let G be a finite group. We denote by vec_G the category of finite-dimensional G -graded vector spaces. This is a fusion category with isomorphism classes of simple objects labeled by elements $g \in G$, where g denotes the one-dimensional vector space concentrated in degree g . The tensor product of simple objects is given by the product of the group $g \otimes h = gh$, and the associativity isomorphisms are the ones from vector spaces.

There is an intimate relation between G -actions on a category \mathcal{M} and vec_G -module category structures on \mathcal{M} . Indeed, let \mathcal{M} be a module category over vec_G . The following lemma gives a structure of a \mathbb{k} -linear G -category on \mathcal{M} . We omit the proof because it is straightforward.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a (right) vec_G -module category. Then there is an induced (right) G -action on \mathcal{M} defined as follows:*

- (i) *the functor $-\bar{\triangleleft}g$ is given by $-\bar{\otimes}g$ for each $g \in G$;*
- (ii) *the natural isomorphism $(\mathbf{R}_{g,h}^2)_M : (M\bar{\triangleleft}g)\bar{\triangleleft}h \rightarrow M\bar{\triangleleft}gh$ is given by $m_{M,g,h}^{-1}$;*
- (iii) *the isomorphism $\mathbf{R}_M^0 : M \rightarrow M\bar{\triangleleft}e$ is given by $(m_M^0)^{-1}$.*

Furthermore, a vec_G -module functor (\mathbf{F}, s) induces a G -functor (\mathbf{F}, θ^g) , with $\theta_M^g = (s_{M,g})^{-1}$.

2.2. Exact factorizations and extensions. In this subsection, we recall definitions and results of exact factorizations and extensions of fusion categories. We start with exact factorizations of groups. An *exact factorization* of a group Σ is a pair (G, Γ) of subgroups of Σ such that $\Sigma = G\Gamma$ and $G \cap \Gamma = \{e\}$. We can describe this notion in terms of a *matched pair* of groups, which is a collection $(G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft)$ such that G and Γ are groups, and \blacktriangleright and \blacktriangleleft are left and right actions $\Gamma \xleftarrow{\blacktriangleleft} \Gamma \times G \xrightarrow{\blacktriangleright} G$ satisfying

$$(kt) \blacktriangleleft g = (k \blacktriangleleft (t \blacktriangleright g)) (t \blacktriangleleft g) \quad \text{and} \quad k \blacktriangleright (gh) = (k \blacktriangleright g) ((k \blacktriangleleft g) \blacktriangleright h)$$

for all $k, t \in \Gamma, g, h \in G$.

A matched pair of groups defines an exact factorization as follows. Given a matched pair of groups $(G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft)$, we define $G \bowtie \Gamma$ as the set $G \times \Gamma$ equipped with the product

$$(h, k)(g, t) = (h(k \blacktriangleright g), (k \blacktriangleleft g)t), \quad k, t \in \Gamma, g, h \in G.$$

The group $G \bowtie \Gamma$ is known as the *Zappa–Szé​p product* or *bicrossed product* of the groups G and Γ , and it is an exact factorization of them. Furthermore, every exact factorization of G and Γ can be obtained in this way; see [17, Proposition 2.4].

Let \mathcal{B} be a fusion category, and let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} be fusion subcategories of \mathcal{B} . The intersection category $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory of \mathcal{B} whose objects are in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} . The category \mathcal{B} is an *exact factorization* of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{vec}$, the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{k} , and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{A}) \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$; see [5, Definition 3.4]. In that case, we write $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{C}$. Next, we will define the set $\text{ExFac}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}'_1 \bullet \mathcal{A}''_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}'_2 \bullet \mathcal{A}''_2$ be exact factorizations of fusion categories, and $\iota'_i: \mathcal{A}'_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i, \iota''_i: \mathcal{A}''_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$ be the corresponding inclusion functors. We say that the exact factorizations \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are *equivalent* if there exist tensor equivalences $\mathbf{T}: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2, \mathbf{T}': \mathcal{A}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_2$ and $\mathbf{T}'': \mathcal{A}''_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}''_2$ such that the following diagram commutes up to a monoidal natural transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}'_1 & \xleftarrow{\iota'_1} & \mathcal{A}_1 & \xleftarrow{\iota''_1} & \mathcal{A}''_1 \\ \downarrow \mathbf{T}' & & \downarrow \mathbf{T} & & \downarrow \mathbf{T}'' \\ \mathcal{A}'_2 & \xleftarrow{\iota'_2} & \mathcal{A}_2 & \xleftarrow{\iota''_2} & \mathcal{A}''_2 \end{array}$$

Given fusion categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\text{ExFac}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ the set of equivalence classes of exact factorizations $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{C}$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a finite semisimple abelian category and $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})$ be the category of right endofunctors of \mathcal{M} . A fusion category \mathcal{D} is an *extension of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{A} with respect to \mathcal{M}* if there exist tensor functors

$$\mathcal{A} \xhookrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$$

such that

- (i) ι is injective, that is, ι is a fully faithful embedding;
- (ii) \mathbf{F} is surjective, that is, every object $X \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$ is a subquotient of $\mathbf{F}(D)$ for some $D \in \mathcal{D}$ (see equivalent conditions in [2, Lemma 3.1]);
- (iii) $\iota(\mathcal{A}) = \ker(\mathbf{F})$, where $\ker(\mathbf{F})$ is the subcategory of objects $X \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathbf{F}(X) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$; and
- (iv) \mathbf{F} is normal, that is, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a subobject $X_0 \subseteq X$ such that $\mathbf{F}(X_0)$ is the largest subobject of $\mathbf{F}(X)$ such that $\mathbf{F}(X_0) \simeq \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{G}$ for some $\mathbf{G} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$;
- (v) the category \mathcal{M} admits a canonical structure of a right \mathcal{A} -module category by taking $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$ as $\rho = \mathbf{F} \circ \iota$, which is well defined by (iii). We require \mathcal{M} to be indecomposable as an \mathcal{A} -module category.

Notice that \mathbf{F} is faithful by [2, Lemma 2.1].

Next, we define the set $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$; see also [14, §3].

Definition 2.6. Two extensions of fusion categories

$$\mathcal{A}'_1 \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathcal{A}_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_1} \mathcal{A}''_1 \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M}_1)^{op} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}'_2 \xrightarrow{\iota_2} \mathcal{A}_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathcal{A}''_2 \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M}_2)^{op}$$

are *equivalent* if there exist tensor equivalences $\mathbf{T}: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$, $\mathbf{T}': \mathcal{A}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_2$ and $\mathbf{T}'': \mathcal{A}''_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}''_2$, and a \mathbb{k} -linear equivalence $\mathbf{S}: \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that the following diagrams commute up to monoidal natural transformations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}'_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \mathcal{A}_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_1} & \mathcal{A}''_1 \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M}_1)^{op} \\ \downarrow \mathbf{T}' & & \downarrow \mathbf{T} & & \downarrow \mathbf{T}'' \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathbf{S}) \\ \mathcal{A}'_2 & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & \mathcal{A}_2 & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_2} & \mathcal{A}''_2 \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M}_2)^{op}, \end{array}$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the tensor functors defining the module category structure, and $\text{End}(\mathbf{S})$ is the tensor functor induced by \mathbf{S} that maps an endofunctor $\psi: \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ to $\mathbf{S} \circ \psi \circ \mathbf{S}^{-1}$. Given fusion categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} and an exact indecomposable \mathcal{A} -module category \mathcal{M} , we denote by $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ the set of equivalence classes of extensions $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$.

Remark 2.7. The definitions of exact factorization and extensions can be done in the finite tensor category context (without the semisimplicity hypothesis). Both definitions are stable under the semisimplicity condition, that is, if both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are semisimple then the possible factorizations and extensions are semisimple too; see [1, Corollary 3.13] and [3, Theorem 3.8].

Remark 2.8. Notice that in the definition of $\text{ExFac}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ the categories involved in the label are defined up to tensor (or module) equivalence. That is, if \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{C}' are tensor equivalent to \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} , respectively, then $\text{ExFac}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) = \text{ExFac}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{C}')$, since the corresponding equivalences induce an equivalence of exact factorizations, and similarly for extensions.

2.3. Basak–Gelaki correspondence. In the work of Basak and Gelaki [1, Theorem 5.1], the authors stated a correspondence between exact factorizations and extensions of tensor categories. They showed that this correspondence is one-to-one in some specific cases; see [1, Corollaries 5.4 and 5.6]. For a fusion category \mathcal{A} and an indecomposable right \mathcal{A} -module category \mathcal{M} , the correspondence is given by functions

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}: \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{ExFac}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{M}})$$

and

$$\psi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}: \text{ExFac}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M})$$

that will be described next.

The map $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}$. Given an extension $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$, we map it to the exact factorization $\mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{M}}}} \mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{N}} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{F}^*} (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op})^*_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $\mathcal{N} = \text{Ind}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{D}$ is an indecomposable \mathcal{D} -module category (see the proof of [1, Theorem 5.1]) with structure induced by ι , and $\iota_{\mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{M}}}$ maps an \mathcal{A} -module functor $\mathbf{G}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to

the induced \mathcal{D} -module functor $\mathbf{G} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{G}) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. It follows from the proof [1, Theorem 5.1] that $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op})_{\mathcal{N}}^* \simeq \mathcal{C}$. We can consider $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ as a $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$ -module category with the canonical action on each component (the \mathcal{C} -action by taking the tensor product on the right, and the $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$ -action by evaluation). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is also a \mathcal{D} -module category by restriction along \mathbf{F} , and $\mathbf{F}^* : (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op})_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^*$ just views a module functor over $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$ as a \mathcal{D} -module functor. By [3, Theorem 2.9], $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \simeq \mathcal{N}$ as right \mathcal{D} -module categories, hence $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^* \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}}^*$. Under this identification, we obtain the desired exact factorization by [1, Theorem 5.1].

The map $\psi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}$. Given an exact factorization $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{B} \xleftarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{C}$, we map it to the extension $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}^* \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}^* \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}^*$, where $\mathcal{N} = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$ is a \mathcal{B} -module category with the structure induced by ν ; $\iota_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}$ maps an \mathcal{A} -module functor $\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to the induced \mathcal{B} -module functor $\mathbf{G} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{G}) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, since $\mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$ as \mathcal{C} -module categories; $\kappa^* : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}^*$ is defined by just viewing a \mathcal{B} -module functor $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ as a \mathcal{C} -module functor; and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}^* \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$. By [1, Theorem 5.1], we have the equivalent extension $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}^* \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}^* \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(\mathcal{M})^{op}$ given an alternative expression of the image of $\psi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}$.

Remark 2.9. The above correspondence is one-to-one when $\mathcal{M} = \text{vec}$; see [1, Corollary 5.6].

Remark 2.10. In [1], the correspondence is stated under a more general hypothesis; it is valid for finite tensor categories without semisimplicity, but by Remark 2.7 we can restrict ourselves to the semisimple case.

2.4. Matched pairs and bicrossed product of fusion categories. In [12, §4], we introduced the notion of a bicrossed product of fusion categories as a way to construct exact factorizations. At the Grothendieck ring level, these characterize all possible exact factorizations; see [12, Theorem 3.14]. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} be fusion categories and let G and Γ be finite groups. A *matched pair of fusion categories* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ consists of:

- faithful gradings $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g$ and $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{k \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}_k$;
- a matched pair of groups $(G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft)$;
- a categorical left \mathbb{k} -linear action \triangleright of Γ in \mathcal{A} and a categorical right \mathbb{k} -linear action \triangleleft of G in \mathcal{C} such that $k \triangleright \mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{A}_{k \blacktriangleright g}$ and $\mathcal{C}_k \triangleleft g = \mathcal{C}_{k \blacktriangleleft g}$;
- two collections of natural isomorphisms $\gamma = (\gamma^k)_{k \in \Gamma}$ and $\eta = (\eta^g)_{g \in G}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'}^k : k \triangleright (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}') &\xrightarrow{\cong} (k \triangleright \mathcal{A}) \otimes (k \blacktriangleleft |\mathcal{A}|) \triangleright \mathcal{A}', & \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}' \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A}), \\ \eta_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'}^g : (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}') \triangleleft g &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C} \triangleleft (| \mathcal{C}' | \blacktriangleright g) \otimes \mathcal{C}' \triangleleft g, & \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}); \end{aligned}$$

- collections $\gamma_0^k : k \triangleright \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ and $\eta_0^g : \mathbf{1} \triangleleft g \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, $k \in \Gamma$, $g \in G$,

satisfying the diagrams in [12, Definition 4.1]. Using this data, we can construct the *bicrossed product fusion category* $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{C}$, which is an exact factorization between \mathcal{A}

and \mathcal{C} ; see [12, Corollary 4.9]. The category $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$ as an abelian category. To define the tensor product, we pick a skeleton for $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$ (that always exists for fusion categories, see [4, Remark 2.8.7]) and then we define

$$A \bowtie C \otimes A' \bowtie C' = A \otimes |C| \triangleright A' \bowtie C \triangleleft |A'| \otimes C', \quad A, A' \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A}), C, C' \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Here $A \bowtie C$ is the isomorphism class chosen for the object $A \boxtimes C$. The associativity is necessarily non-trivial (as we used a skeleton) and it is given in [12, §4].

2.5. Crossed extensions of fusion categories. In this subsection, we recall the construction of crossed extensions of fusion categories introduced in [13]. These are extensions of a fusion category \mathcal{C} by $\text{Rep } G$ (with G a finite group) with respect to the $\text{Rep } G$ -module category vec of finite-dimensional vector spaces.

Let $(G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft)$ be a matched pair of groups. A (G, Γ) -crossed action on a fusion category \mathcal{C} consists of a faithful grading on $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{k \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}_k$, a categorical right \mathbb{k} -linear action \triangleleft of G in \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{C}_k \triangleleft g = \mathcal{C}_{k \triangleleft g}$, and a family of natural isomorphisms $\eta_{C, C'}^g : (C \otimes C') \triangleleft g \rightarrow C \triangleleft (|C'| \blacktriangleright g) \otimes C' \triangleleft g$, for all $g \in G, C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$, such that the diagrams in [13, Definition 4.1] commute. A crossed action gives rise to an extension $\mathcal{C}^{(G, \Gamma)}$ such that

$$\text{Rep } G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(G, \Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}. \tag{2.4}$$

The objects of $\mathcal{C}^{(G, \Gamma)}$ are equivariant objects $(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ in \mathcal{C}^G with the monoidal structure given in [13, Theorem 5.1]. Reciprocally, any exact sequence $\text{Rep } G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to (2.4), for some (G, Γ) -crossed action on \mathcal{C} ; see [14, Theorem 1.1]. The category $\mathcal{C}^{(G, \Gamma)}$ is called a (G, Γ) -crossed extension.

Remark 2.11. The results from Natale are stated under a more general hypothesis; they are valid for finite tensor categories without semisimplicity, but by Remark 2.7, we can restrict ourselves to the semisimple case.

3. EQUIVALENCE OF CROSSED EXTENSION AND BICROSSED PRODUCTS WITH vec_G

In this section, we use the Basak–Gelaki correspondence to show that a crossed extension $\mathcal{C}^{(G, \Gamma)}$ corresponds to a bicrossed product $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$. Since all extensions of \mathcal{C} by $\text{Rep } G$ with respect to vec are given by crossed extensions, this shows that every exact factorization of the form $\text{vec}_G \bullet \mathcal{C}$ is a bicrossed product. Our main results are the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category and consider a (G, Γ) -crossed action on \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a matched pair between vec_G and \mathcal{C} such that the crossed extension $\mathcal{C}^{(G, \Gamma)}$ corresponds to a bicrossed product $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$ under the Basak–Gelaki correspondence.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \text{vec}_G \bullet \mathcal{C}$ be an exact factorization of fusion categories. Then \mathcal{D} is tensor equivalent to a bicrossed product $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$.*

Our strategy for proving these theorems is as follows:

- (i) Start with a crossed extension data for \mathcal{C} and construct the tensor category $\mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$ and a matched pair between \mathcal{C} and vec_G to define $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$.
- (ii) Apply the Basak–Gelaki correspondence to $\mathcal{B} = \text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$ to obtain an extension

$$\text{Rep } G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}. \tag{3.1}$$

- (iii) Check that the extension (3.1) is equivalent to the original crossed extension

$$\text{Rep } G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}. \tag{3.2}$$

- (iv) Since every exact factorization $\text{vec}_G \bullet \mathcal{C}$ corresponds in a one-to-one way to an extension $\text{Rep } G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, and furthermore, every such extension is equivalent to one like (3.2), then the exact factorization is equivalent to a bicrossed product $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$ by Theorem 3.1.

Items (i)–(iii) prove Theorem 3.1 and item (iv) proves Theorem 3.2.

The next result shows that there exists a matched pair of fusion categories associated with a (G, Γ) -crossed action.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft)$ be a matched pair of groups, and \mathcal{C} be a fusion category with a (G, Γ) -crossed action \triangleleft . Then there exists a matched pair of fusion categories $(\text{vec}_G, \mathcal{C}, G, \Gamma, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, with left action $k \triangleright g = k \blacktriangleright g$, for all $k \in \Gamma$, $g \in \text{Irr}(\text{vec}_G) = G$. The rest of the data are identity maps.*

Proof. The diagrams from [13, Definition 4.1] are the same as [12, Equations (9), (12), (13), (15), (17)] and [12, Lemma 4.3, Equations (19), (20)]. All other diagrams commute trivially because all the arrows involved are identities. \square

Therefore, we have the bicrossed product fusion category $\mathcal{B} = \text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$, by [12, Corollary 4.9].

Lemma 3.4. *The category \mathcal{C} is a right \mathcal{B} -module category with action $\bar{\otimes}$ given by*

$$M \bar{\otimes} (g \bowtie C) = M \triangleleft g \otimes C,$$

module associativity constraint given by the map

$$m_{M, g \bowtie C, g' \bowtie C'} = ((\eta_{M \triangleleft g, C}^h)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{C'}) ((\mathbf{R}_{g, |C| \blacktriangleright g'}^2)_M^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{C \triangleleft g'} \otimes \text{id}_{C'}) \alpha_{M \triangleleft g(|C| \blacktriangleright g'), C \triangleleft g', C'}^{-1},$$

and unit constraint given by $m_M^0 = r_M((\mathbf{R}_M^0)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_1)$ for all $C, C', M \in \mathcal{C}$ and all $g, g' \in G$.

Since \mathcal{C} is equipped with a (G, Γ) -crossed action, we can consider the crossed extension $\mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$. The next result shows the relation between this construction and the bicrossed product $\mathcal{B} = \text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 3.5. *There is a tensor equivalence $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* = \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$.*

Proof. The abelian part of the equivalence is similar to the usual equivalence between the dual of the equivariantization and the semidirect product with a group (see, for example, [15, Example 3.17]), but, in this situation, a bit more detail is necessary since the G -action induced in \mathcal{C} is different (in principle) from the action \triangleleft that \mathcal{C} comes equipped with. Because of this, we provide the full details of this equivalence. First, we define the functors $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$ and $\mathbf{S} : \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$, and check that they are well defined and inverse to each other. Then we show that \mathbf{S} has a tensor structure, and this concludes the proof.

The functor $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$ is defined as $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{F}, s) = (\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}), \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$, where $u_g : \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}) \triangleleft g \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1})$ is given by $u_g = \mathbf{F}(r_1)\mathbf{F}(\eta_0^g \otimes \text{id}_1)s_{\mathbf{1},g \triangleright \mathbf{1}}^{-1}r_{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}) \triangleleft g}^{-1}$, and given a natural transformation $\epsilon : (\mathbf{F}, s) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}, t)$, $\mathbf{T}(\epsilon) = \epsilon_1$, where $\epsilon_1 : (\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}), \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{1}), \{v_g\}_{g \in G})$. The functor $\mathbf{S} : \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$ is defined by $\mathbf{S}(U, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) = (\mathbf{S}_U, s)$, where $\mathbf{S}_U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is given by $\mathbf{S}_U(C) = U \otimes C$ and, for all $M, C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g \in G$, $s_{M,g \triangleright C} : \mathbf{S}_U(M \otimes g \triangleright C) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_U(M) \otimes (g \triangleright C)$ is given by

$$s_{M,g \triangleright C} = (\eta_{U,M}^g)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_C (u_{|M| \blacktriangleright g}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{M \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_C) \alpha_{U,M \triangleleft g,C}^{-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

and given a morphism $f : (U, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) \rightarrow (U', \{u'_g\}_{g \in G})$, $\mathbf{S}(f) = \epsilon$, where $\epsilon : (\mathbf{S}_U, s) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_{U'}, s')$ is given by $\epsilon_C = f \otimes \text{id}_C$.

Now, we check that \mathbf{T} is well defined, that is, $(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}), \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ is an equivariant object. Since the category \mathcal{C} is a \mathcal{B} -module category by Lemma 3.4, then it is a vec_G -module category. By Lemma 2.4, \mathcal{C} is a G -category with induced action $M \bar{\triangleleft} g = M \triangleleft g \otimes \mathbf{1}$, and \mathbf{F} is a G -functor. The G -categories $(\mathcal{C}, \triangleleft)$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{\triangleleft})$ are equivalent through the G -functor $(\mathbf{Id}, r_{-\triangleleft g})$. By [12, Equation (15)], the pair $(\mathbf{1}, \eta_0^g)$ is an equivariant object in $(\mathcal{C}, \triangleleft)$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to this object with the G -functor $(\mathbf{Id}, r_{-\triangleleft g})$, we get that $(\mathbf{1}, \eta_0^g r_{\mathbf{1} \triangleleft g}) = (\mathbf{1}, r_1(\eta_0^g \otimes \text{id}_1))$ is an equivariant object in $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{\triangleleft})$. Applying again Lemma 2.3 to the G -functor (\mathbf{F}, s) , we get that $(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{F}(r_1)\mathbf{F}(\eta_0^g \otimes \text{id}_1)s_{\mathbf{1},g \triangleright \mathbf{1}}^{-1})$ is an equivariant object in $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{\triangleleft})$. Finally, again by Lemma 2.3, now applied to $(\mathbf{Id}, r_{-\triangleleft g}^{-1})$, we obtain the desired equivariant object.

It is straightforward to see that ϵ_1 is a morphism of equivariant objects, that is, $\epsilon_1 u_g = v_g(\epsilon_1 \triangleleft g)$ for all $g \in G$, by using the naturality of r and ϵ , and that $\epsilon : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{B} -module functors.

Next, we prove that \mathbf{S} is well defined. To see that (\mathbf{S}_U, s) is a \mathcal{B} -module functor, we use the naturality of $\alpha_{U,-,\mathbf{1}}$ ([12, Lemma 4.3, Equation (18)], [4, Property (3.1.7)]), and that $(U, \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ is a G -equivariant object. It follows from α and η^g being natural transformations and from $f : (U, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) \rightarrow (U', \{u'_g\}_{g \in G})$ being a morphism of equivariant objects that the natural transformation ϵ is a morphism of \mathcal{C} -module functors.

Now, we show that $\mathbf{S} \circ \mathbf{T} \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^*}$. We have

$$(\mathbf{S} \circ \mathbf{T})(\mathbf{G}, s) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{1}), \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) = (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{1})}, t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{M,g \bowtie C} &= (\eta_{\mathbf{G}(1),M}^g)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_C (r_{\mathbf{G}(1) \triangleleft (|M| \blacktriangleright g)} \otimes \text{id}_{M \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_C) \\
 &\quad (s_{\mathbf{1},(|M| \blacktriangleright g) \bowtie \mathbf{1}} \otimes \text{id}_{M \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_C) (\mathbf{G}(\eta_0^{|M| \blacktriangleright g} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes \text{id}_{M \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_C) \\
 &\quad (\mathbf{G}((r_{\mathbf{1}})^{-1}) \otimes \text{id}_{M \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_C) \alpha_{\mathbf{G}(1),M \triangleleft g,C}^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we define the natural isomorphism $\nu : (\mathbf{G}, s) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{G}(1)}, t)$ by

$$\nu_C = ((\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{G}(1)}^0)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_C) s_{\mathbf{1},e \bowtie C} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}^0 \otimes \text{id}_C) \mathbf{G}(\ell_C^{-1}).$$

The condition that ν is a morphism of \mathcal{C} -module functors, that is, $(\nu_M \bar{\otimes} \text{id}_{g \bowtie C}) s_{M,g \bowtie C} = t_{M,g \bowtie C} \nu_{M \bar{\otimes} g \bowtie C}$, follows from the naturality of $s_{-,g \bowtie C}$, the naturality of $\eta_{-,M}^g$, [12, Lemma 4.3, Equation (19)], [12, Equation (12)], [12, Equation (15)], the naturality of $s_{-,e \bowtie M \triangleleft g \otimes C}$, the naturality of \mathbf{R}^0 , Equation (2.1), the fact that (\mathbf{G}, s) is a \mathcal{C} -module functor, [12, Equation (13)], [12, Lemma 4.3, Equation (19)], the definition of m , the module functor structure of G given by s , and the naturality of $s_{\mathbf{1},-}$.

We check now that $\mathbf{T} \circ \mathbf{S} \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}(G,\Gamma)}$. We have that

$$(\mathbf{T} \circ \mathbf{S})(U, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) = (\mathbf{S}_U, s) = (\mathbf{S}_U(\mathbf{1}), \{u'_g\}_{g \in G}),$$

where

$$u'_g = (\text{id}_U \otimes m_{\mathbf{1}}^0)(\text{id}_V \otimes \eta_0^g \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}) \alpha_{V,\mathbf{1} \triangleleft g,\mathbf{1}}(u_g \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{1} \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}})(\eta_{U,\mathbf{1}}^g \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}) r_{(U \otimes \mathbf{1}) \triangleleft g}^{-1}.$$

In \mathcal{C} , we have the natural isomorphism r between the two underlying objects. To see that the natural isomorphism $r_U^{-1} : (U, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_U(\mathbf{1}), \{u'_g\}_{g \in G})$ is a map in $\mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$, we use the naturality of r , [12, Equation (13)], and [4, Diagram (2.13)].

The functor \mathbf{S} is tensor with $J_{(U,\{u_g\}),(U',\{u'_g\})} : \mathbf{S}(U) \otimes \mathbf{S}(U') \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(U \otimes U')$ given by $J_{(U,\{u_g\}),(U',\{u'_g\})}(C) := \alpha_{U,U',C}^{-1} : U \otimes (U' \otimes C) \rightarrow (U \otimes U') \otimes C$. In fact, the associativity in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$ is the identity, and the associativity of $\mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$ is the associativity of \mathcal{C} ; hence the diagram for the tensor structure of (\mathbf{S}, J) is the pentagon for the associativity of \mathcal{C} . \square

Lemma 3.6. *There is an equivalence of extensions between*

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_1} \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\iota_2} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. We first describe the functors that appear in the statement. Let us fix the tensor functor,

$$\text{can}_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad \text{can}_{\mathcal{C}}(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim V} \mathbf{1},$$

and then we have that

$$\iota_1(V, \{\rho(g)\}_{g \in G}) = \left(\text{can}_{\mathcal{C}}(V), \left\{ \text{can}_{\mathcal{C}}(\rho(g)) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim V} \eta_0^g \right) \right\}_{g \in G} \right).$$

The functor $\mathbf{F}_1 : \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the forgetful functor.

We define

$$\iota_2(V, \rho) = (F_V, s),$$

with the functor $F_V(C) = \text{can}_C(V) \otimes C$ and $s_{M,g \triangleright C}$ given by

$$(\eta_{\text{can}_C(V),M}^g)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_C \left(\text{can}_C(\rho(g)^{-1}) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim V} \eta_0^{|M| \triangleright g^{-1}} \right) \otimes \text{id}_{M \triangleleft g} \otimes \text{id}_C \right) \alpha_{\text{can}_C(V),M \triangleleft g,C}^{-1}$$

for all $M, C \in \mathcal{C}, g \in G$.

The functor \mathbf{F}_2 is given by $\mathbf{F}_2 = \mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{I} \circ \mathbf{G}$, where each functor is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\otimes}^{\sim}$ be the \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{C} with the action restricted from the action of \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{C} , more precisely, $M \tilde{\otimes} X = M \triangleleft e \otimes X$ and $\tilde{m}_{M,X,Y}$ is given by $m_{M,e \triangleright X,e \triangleright Y}(\text{id}_{M \triangleleft e} \otimes (\mathbf{R}_X^0)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_Y)$ for all $M, X \in \mathcal{C}$. The tensor functor $\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\otimes}^{\sim}$ is given by $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F}, s) = (\mathbf{F}, \bar{s})$, with $\bar{s}_{M,X} = s_{M,e \triangleright C}$ for all $M, X \in \mathcal{C}$, and the monoidal structure of \mathbf{G} is the identity. Notice that $\mathcal{C}_{\otimes}^{\sim} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ as \mathcal{C} -module categories, where \mathcal{C} is viewed as a \mathcal{C} -module category with the regular action. Therefore, there is a tensor equivalence $\mathbf{I} : \mathcal{C}_{\otimes}^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\otimes}^*$ explicitly given as $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{F}, s) = (\mathbf{F}, s')$, $s'_{M,X} = ((\mathbf{R}^0)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_X) s_{M,X} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R}_M^0 \otimes \text{id}_X)$ for all $M, X \in \mathcal{C}$, and the monoidal structure of \mathbf{I} is the identity. The tensor functor $\mathbf{H} : \mathcal{C}_{\otimes}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the canonical functor given by $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}, t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{1})$ with monoidal structure $\mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{F},t),(\mathbf{F}',t')}^2 = \mathbf{F}(\ell_{\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{1})}) t_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{1})}^{-1}$.

The good definition of ι_2 is the same as the good definition of the functor \mathbf{S} , defined in Theorem 3.5, compared to Equation 3.3. It is clear that the left square of the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{CD} \text{Rep } G @<\iota_1<< \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} @>\mathbf{F}_1>> \mathcal{C} \\ @| @VV\mathbf{S}V @| \\ \text{Rep } G @<\iota_2<< \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* @>\mathbf{F}_2>> \mathcal{C} \end{CD}$$

Let us see that the right square commutes up to a monoidal natural isomorphism. Since $(\mathbf{F}_2 \circ \mathbf{S})(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) = X \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_1(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G}) = X$, we have the natural isomorphism $r : \mathbf{F}_2 \circ \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_1$. The monoidal structure of the functor $\mathbf{F}_2 \circ \mathbf{S}$ is given by

$$(\mathbf{F}_2 \circ \mathbf{S})_{(X,\{u_g\}_{g \in G}),(Y,\{v_g\}_{g \in G})}^2 = \alpha_{X,Y,\mathbf{1}}^{-1}(\text{id}_X \otimes \ell_{Y \otimes \mathbf{1}}) \bar{s}_{\mathbf{1},Y \otimes \mathbf{1}}^{-1},$$

where s is the module structure of the functor \mathbf{F}_X defined in (3.3). To see that r is monoidal, we use the triangle equation, the naturality of α and ℓ , [4, Diagram (2.13)], [12, Equation (20)], and the fact that $(X, \{u_g\}_{g \in G})$ is an equivariant object. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Given the matched pair given in Proposition 3.3, we have a bicrossed product of fusion categories $\mathcal{B} = \text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$; see [12, Theorem 4.6]. By [12, Proposition 4.7], we have canonical inclusion functors $\text{vec}_G \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{B} \xleftarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{C}$. Now, as described in Subsection 2.3, we apply $\psi_{\text{vec}_G, \text{vec}}$ to the exact factorization $\mathcal{B} = \text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$ to get an exact sequence

$$\text{Rep } G \simeq \text{vec}_{G^*}^* \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{vec}_G^*}^*} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^* \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^* \simeq \mathcal{C}.$$

It is straightforward to see that this sequence is equivalent to the sequence

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\iota_2} \mathcal{B}_C^* \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathcal{C},$$

defined in Lemma 3.6. By the same lemma, this sequence is equivalent to the sequence

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_1} \mathcal{C}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2.

Let $\text{vec}_G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an exact factorization of fusion categories. Then, by [1, Theorem 5.1], there exists an exact sequence of fusion categories

$$\text{Rep } G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_C^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \tag{3.4}$$

associated to \mathcal{D} . It follows from [14, Theorem 1.1] that Sequence (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}_1} \mathcal{C}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{(G,\Gamma)}$ is a crossed extension, and the functors ι_1 and \mathbf{F}_1 are described in the proof of Lemma 3.6. By Theorem 3.1, Sequence (3.5) is equivalent to the image of $\psi_{\text{vec}_G, \text{vec}}$ applied to the bicrossed product $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$. By [3, Corollary 5.6], the map $\psi_{\text{vec}_G, \text{vec}}$ is one-to-one; hence, \mathcal{D} is equivalent to $\text{vec}_G \bowtie \mathcal{C}$. \square

Remark 3.7. In [12, §5.1], we characterize the bicrossed products between a Tambara–Yamagami fusion category and a pointed fusion category. Then the previous proposition shows that these data characterize all exact factorizations between vec_G and a Tambara–Yamagami fusion category.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

HMPP and JP would like to thank the hospitality and excellent working conditions at the Department of Mathematics at the University of Hamburg, where JP has carried out part of this research as an Experienced Fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and HMPP as a visitor. We thank the referee for the detailed and helpful comments to improve this manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] T. BASAK and S. GELAKI, Exact factorizations and extensions of finite tensor categories, *J. Algebra* **631** (2023), 46–71. DOI MR Zbl

[2] A. BRUGUIÈRES and S. NATALE, Exact sequences of tensor categories, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* no. 24 (2011), 5644–5705. DOI MR Zbl

[3] P. ETINGOF and S. GELAKI, Exact sequences of tensor categories with respect to a module category, *Adv. Math.* **308** (2017), 1187–1208. DOI MR Zbl

[4] P. ETINGOF, S. GELAKI, D. NIKSHYCH, and V. OSTRIK, *Tensor categories*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. DOI MR Zbl

[5] S. GELAKI, Exact factorizations and extensions of fusion categories, *J. Algebra* **480** (2017), 505–518. DOI MR Zbl

[6] C. KASSEL, *Quantum groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155, Springer, New York, 1995. DOI MR Zbl

- [7] A. KITAEV, Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond, *Ann. Physics* **321** no. 1 (2006), 2–111. DOI MR Zbl
- [8] S. MACLANE, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5, Springer, New York, 1971. MR Zbl
- [9] S. MAJID, Physics for algebraists: Non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction, *J. Algebra* **130** no. 1 (1990), 17–64. DOI MR Zbl
- [10] S. MAJID, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. DOI MR Zbl
- [11] G. MOORE and N. SEIBERG, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** no. 2 (1989), 177–254. MR Zbl Available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104178762>.
- [12] M. MÜLLER, H. M. PEÑA POLLASTRI, and J. PLAVNIK, *On bicrossed product of fusion categories and exact factorizations*, [v1] 2024, [v2] 2025. arXiv:2405.10207v2 [math.QA].
- [13] S. NATALE, Crossed actions of matched pairs of groups on tensor categories, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **68** no. 3 (2016), 377–405. DOI MR Zbl
- [14] S. NATALE, Extensions of tensor categories by finite group fusion categories, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **170** no. 1 (2021), 161–189. DOI MR Zbl
- [15] D. NIKSHYCH, Morita equivalence methods in classification of fusion categories, in *Hopf algebras and tensor categories*, Contemp. Math. 585, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 289–325. DOI MR Zbl
- [16] S. SANFORD, Fusion categories over non-algebraically closed fields, *J. Algebra* **663** (2025), 316–351. DOI MR Zbl
- [17] M. TAKEUCHI, Matched pairs of groups and bismash products of Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **9** no. 8 (1981), 841–882. DOI MR Zbl

M. Müller[✉]

Departamento de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei, Brazil & Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, USA
monique@ufsj.edu.br

H. M. Peña Pollastri

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, USA
hpenapol@iu.edu

J. Plavnik

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, USA & Department of Mathematics and Data Science, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
jplavnik@iu.edu

Received: July 11, 2025

Accepted: September 10, 2025

Early view: September 14, 2025